

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, Evan Gershbein, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am employed by Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC, the Court appointed claims and noticing agent for the Debtors in the above-captioned cases.

On June 28, 2006, I caused to be served the documents listed below (i) upon the parties listed on Exhibit A hereto via overnight delivery, (ii) upon the parties listed on Exhibit B hereto via electronic notification, (iii) upon the parties listed on Exhibit C hereto via facsimile, and (iv) upon the parties listed on Exhibit D hereto via postage pre-paid U.S. mail:

- 1) Declaration of Kevin M. Butler in Support of Debtors' Motion for Order Under 11 U.S.C. Section 363(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition Program and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No. 4393) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit E]
- 2) Declaration of David L. Resnick in Support of Debtors' Motion for Order Under 11 U.S.C. Section 363(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition Program and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No. 4395) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F]
- 3) Declaration of John D. Sheehan in Support of Debtors' Motion for Order Under 11 U.S.C. Section 363(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition Program and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No. 4396) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit G]
- 4) Proposed Human Capital Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No.2 Hearing Agenda (Docket No. 4405) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit H]

On June 28, 2006, I caused to be served the documents listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit I hereto via overnight delivery:

- 5) Debtors' Omnibus Reply to Objections to Motion for Order Under 11 U.S.C. Section 363 and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition Program and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No. 4398) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit J]
- 6) Revised Exhibit A to Debtors' Omnibus Reply to Objections to Motion for Order Under 11 U.S.C. Section 363(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition Program and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No. 4402) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit K]

Dated: June 30, 2006

/s/ Evan Gershbein
Evan Gershbein

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 30th day of June, 2006, by Evan Gershbein, personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.

Signature : /s/ Sarah Elizabeth Frankel

Commission Expires: 12/23/08

EXHIBIT A

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels LLP	Robert J. Stark	Seven Times Square		New York	NY	10036	212-209-4800	212-2094801	rstark@brownrudnick.com	Indenture Trustee
Cohen, Weiss & Simon	Bruce Simon	330 W. 42nd Street		New York	NY	10036	212-356-0231	212-695-5436	bsimon@cwsny.com	
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	Steven J. Reisman	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061	2126966000	2126971559	sreisman@cm-p.com	Counsel for Flextronics International, Inc.; Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.; Flextronics Asia-Pacific Ltd.; Flextronics Technology (M) Sdn. Bhd
Davis, Polk & Wardwell	Donald Bernstein Brian Resnick	450 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-450-4092 212-450-4213	212-450-3092 212-450-3213	donald.bernstein@dpw.com brian.resnick@dpw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Postpetition Administrative Agent
Delphi Corporation	Sean Corcoran, Karen Craft	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2000	248-813-2670	sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com karen.j.craft@delphi.com	Debtors
Electronic Data Systems Corp.	Michael Nefkens	5505 Corporate Drive MSIA		Troy	MI	48098	248-696-1729	248-696-1739	mike.nefkens@eds.com	Creditor Committee Member
Flextronics International	Carrie L. Schiff	305 Interlocken Parkway		Broomfield	CO	80021	303-927-4853	303-652-4716	cschiff@flextronics.com	Counsel for Flextronics International
Flextronics International USA, Inc.	Paul W. Anderson	2090 Fortune Drive		San Jose	CA	95131	408-428-1308		paul.anderson@flextronics.com	Counsel for Flextronics International USA, Inc.
Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.	Richard Lee Chambers, III	6501 William Cannon Drive West	MD: OE16	Austin	TX	78735	512-895-6357	512-895-3090	trey.chambers@freescale.com	Creditor Committee Member
Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson	Brad Eric Sheler Bonnie Steingart Vivek Melwani Jennifer L. Rodburg Richard J. Slivinski	One New York Plaza		New York	NY	10004	212-859-8000	212-859-4000	rodbuje@ffhsj.com sliviri@ffhsj.com	Proposed Counsel to Equity Security Holders Committee
FTI Consulting, Inc.	Randall S. Eisenberg	3 Times Square	11th Floor	New York	NY	10036	212-2471010	212-841-9350	randall.eisenberg@fticonsulting.com	Financial Advisors to Debtors
General Electric Company	Valerie Venable	9930 Kinsey Avenue		Huntersville	NC	28078	704-992-5075	866-585-2386	valerie.venable@ge.com	Creditor Committee Member
Groom Law Group	Lonie A. Hassel	1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW		Washington	DC	20006	202-857-0620	202-659-4503	lhassel@groom.com	Counsel for Employee Benefits
Hodgson Russ LLP	Stephen H. Gross	152 West 57th Street	35th Floor	New York	NY	10019	212-751-4300	212-751-0928	sgross@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel for Hexcel Corporation
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Frank L. Gorman, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	313-465-8000	fgorman@honigman.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Robert B. Weiss, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	313-465-8000	rweiss@honigman.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Internal Revenue Service	Attn: Insolvency Department, Maria Valerio	290 Broadway	5th Floor	New York	NY	10007	212-436-1038	212-436-1931	mariaivalerio@irs.gov	IRS
Internal Revenue Service	Attn: Insolvency Department	477 Michigan Ave	Mail Stop 15	Detroit	MI	48226	313-628-3648	313-628-3602		Michigan IRS
IUE-CWA	Conference Board Chairman	2360 W. Dorothy Lane	Suite 201	Dayton	OH	45439	937-294-7813	937-294-9164		Creditor Committee Member
Jefferies & Company, Inc.	William Q. Derrough	520 Madison Avenue	12th Floor	New York	NY	10022	212-284-2521	212-284-2470	bderrough@jefferies.com	UCC Professional
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Thomas F. Maher, Richard Duker, Gianni Russello	270 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-270-0426	212-270-0430	thomas.f.maher@chase.com richard.duker@jpmorgan.com gianni.russello@jpmorgan.com	Postpetition Administrative Agent
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Vilma Francis	270 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-270-5484	212-270-4016	vilma.francis@jpmorgan.com	Prepetition Administrative Agent
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Gordon Z. Novod	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036	212-715-9100	212-715-8000	gnovod@kramerlevin.com	Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Thomas Moers Mayer	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036	212-715-9100	212-715-8000	tmayer@kramerlevin.com	Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC
Kurtzman Carson Consultants	James Le	12910 Culver Blvd.	Suite I	Los Angeles	CA	90066	310-751-1511	310-751-1561	jle@kccllc.com	Noticing and Claims Agent
Latham & Watkins LLP	Robert J. Rosenberg	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-906-1370	212-751-4864	robert.rosenberg@lw.com	Counsel to Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
Law Debenture Trust of New York	Daniel R. Fisher	767 Third Ave.	31st Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-750-6474	212-750-1361	daniel.fisher@lawdeb.com	Indenture Trustee
Law Debenture Trust of New York	Patrick J. Healy	767 Third Ave.	31st Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-750-6474	212-750-1361	patrick.healy@lawdeb.com	Indenture Trustee

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	David D. Cleary	227 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60606	312-372-2000	312-984-7700	dcleary@mwe.com	Counsel for Recticel North America, Inc.
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Mohsin N. Khambati	227 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60606	312-372-2000	312-984-7700	mkhambati@mwe.com	Counsel for Recticel North America, Inc.
McTigue Law Firm	J. Brian McTigue	5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W.	Suite 350	Washington	DC	20015	202-364-6900	202-364-9960	bmctigue@mctiquelaw.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
McTigue Law Firm	Cornish F. Hitchcock	5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W.	Suite 350	Washington	DC	20015	202-364-6900	202-364-9960	conh@mctiquelaw.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
Mesirow Financial	Leon Szlezinger	666 Third Ave	21st Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-808-8366	212-682-5015	lszlezinger@mesirowfinancial.com	UCC Professional
Morrison Cohen LLP	Joseph T. Moldovan, Esq.	909 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	2127358603	9175223103	jmoldovan@morrisoncohen.com	Counsel for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Michigan
Northeast Regional Office	Mark Schonfeld, Regional Director	3 World Financial Center	Room 4300	New York	NY	10281	212-336-1100	212-336-1323	newyork@sec.gov	Securities and Exchange Commission
Office of New York State	Attorney General Eliot Spitzer	120 Broadway		New York City	NY	10271	212-416-8000	212-416-6075	ServeAG@oag.state.ny.us	New York Attorney General's Office
O'Melveny & Myers LLP	Robert Siegel	400 South Hope Street		Los Angeles	CA	90071	213-430-6000	213-430-6407	rsiegel@omm.com	Special Labor Counsel
O'Melveny & Myers LLP	Tom A. Jerman, Rachel Janger	1625 Eye Street, NW		Washington	DC	20006	202-383-5300	202-383-5414	tjerman@omm.com	Special Labor Counsel
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Jeffrey Cohen	1200 K Street, N.W.	Suite 340	Washington	DC	20005	202-326-4020	202-326-4112	garrick.sandra@pbqc.gov efile@pbqc.gov	Counsel for Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Ralph L. Landy	1200 K Street, N.W.	Suite 340	Washington	DC	20005-4026	2023264020	2023264112	landy.ralph@pbqc.gov	Chief Counsel for the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Phillips Nizer LLP	Sandra A. Riemer	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103	212-841-0589	212-262-5152	sriemer@phillipsnizer.com	Counsel for Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems
Rothchild Inc.	David L. Resnick	1251 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020	212-403-3500	212-403-5454	david.resnick@us.rothschild.com	Financial Advisor
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Robert W. Dremluk	1270 Avenue of the Americas	Suite 2500	New York	NY	10020-1801	2122185500	2122185526	rdremluk@seyfarth.com	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Shearman & Sterling LLP	Douglas Bartner, Jill Frizzley	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-8484000	212-848-7179	dbartner@shearman.com jfrizzley@shearman.com	Local Counsel to the Debtors
Simpson Thatcher & Bartlett LLP	Kenneth S. Ziman, Robert H. Trust, William T. Russell, Jr.	425 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-455-2000	212-455-2502	kziman@stblaw.com rtrust@stblaw.com wrussell@stblaw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Prepetition Administrative Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	John Wm. Butler, John K. Lyons, Ron E. Meisler	333 W. Wacker Dr.	Suite 2100	Chicago	IL	60606	312-407-0700	312-407-0411	jbutler@skadden.com jlyonsch@skadden.com rmeisler@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Thomas J. Matz	4 Times Square	P.O. Box 300	New York	NY	10036	212-735-3000	212-735-2000	kmarafo@skadden.com tmatz@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP	Daniel D. Doyle	1 North Brentwood Boulevard	Tenth Floor	St. Louis	MO	63105	314-863-7733	314-862-4656	didoyle@spencerfane.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP	Nicholas Franke	1 North Brentwood Boulevard	Tenth Floor	St. Louis	MO	63105	314-863-7733	314-862-4656	nfranke@spencerfane.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
Stevens & Lee, P.C.	Chester B. Salomon, Constantine D. Pourakis	485 Madison Avenue	20th Floor	New York	NY	10022	2123198500	2123198505	cp@stevenslee.com cs@stevenslee.com	Counsel for Wamco, Inc.
Togut, Segal & Segal LLP	Albert Togut	One Penn Plaza	Suite 3335	New York	NY	10119	212-594-5000	212-967-4258	altoqut@tearntogut.com	Conflicts Counsel to the Debtors
Tyco Electronics Corporation	MaryAnn Brereton, Assistant General Counsel	60 Columbia Road		Morristown	NJ	7960	973-656-8365	973-656-8805 212-668-2255 does not take service via fax		Creditor Committee Member
United States Trustee	Alicia M. Leonhard	33 Whitehall Street	21st Floor	New York	NY	10004-2112	212-510-0500			Counsel to United States Trustee
Warner Stevens, L.L.P.	Michael D. Warner	1700 City Center Tower II	301 Commerce Street	Fort Worth	TX	76102	817-810-5250	817-810-5255	mwarner@warnerstevens.com	Proposed Conflicts Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Jeffrey L. Tanenbaum, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	jeff.tanenbaum@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Martin J. Bienenstock, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	martin.bienenstock@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Michael P. Kessler, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	michael.kessler@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Wilmington Trust Company	Steven M. Cimalore	Rodney Square North	1100 North Market Street	Wilmington	DE	19890	302-636-6058	302-636-4143	scimalore@wilmingtontrust.com	Creditor Committee Member/Indenture Trustee

Contact	Company	Address 1	Address 2	City	State	Zip
Al Coven	UAW Local 699	1191 Bagley St		Saginaw	MI	48601
Bennie Calloway	UAW Local 2188	342 Perry House Rd.		Fitzgerald	GA	31750
Bill Riddle	UAW Local 659	1222 Glenwood		Flint	MI	48502
Carl Kolb, Ted Williams	IUE-CWA Local 698	International Union of Electrical Workers	1001 Industrial Park Dr	Clinton	MS	39056-3211
Conference Board Chairman	IUE-CWA Automotive Conf Board	2360 Dorothy Lane	Ste. 201	Dayton	OH	45439
Darel Green	UAW Local 1021	804 Meadowbrook Dr.		Olathe	KS	66062
Darrell Shepard	UAW Local 2157	4403 City View Dr.		Wichita Falls	TX	76305
David York	UAW Local 438	7435 S. Howell Ave.		Oak Creek	WI	53154
Dennis Bingham	USW Local 87	21 Abbey Avenue		Dayton	OH	45417
Frank Andrews	UAW Local 686	524 Walnut St.		Lockport	NY	14094
Gary Resier	IUE-CWA Local 717	2950 Sierra Dr. NW		Warren	OH	44483
Jack White	UAW Local 167	5545 Fieldstone Ct.		Middleville	MI	49333
James Clark	IUE-CWA Industrial Division	501 Third Street NW		Washington	DC	20001-2797
James Hurren	UAW Local 467	2104 Farmer St.		Saginaw	MI	48601
Joe Buckley	UAW Local 696	1543 Alwildy Ave		Dayton	OH	45408
John Clark	UAW Local 2031	5075 Belmere Dr.		Manitou Beach	MI	49253
John Huber	UAW Local 1097	221 Dewey Ave		Rochester	NY	14608
Kizziah Polke	UAW Local 2083	c/o Delphi T & I Garry Gilliam		Cottondale	AL	35453
Larry Phillips	IUE-CWA Local 711	4605 Airport Rd.		Gadsden	AL	35904
Larry West	IUE-CWA Local 755	1675 Woodman Dr.		Dayton	OH	45432
Lattie Slusher	UAW Local 913	3114 S. Hayes Ave.		Sandusky	OH	44870
Mark Profit	IUE-CWA Local 801	1250 W. Dorothy Lane	Suite 301	Dayton	OH	45439
Mark Sweazy	UAW Local 969	3761 Harding Dr.		Columbus	OH	43228
Richard Shoemaker	Vice-President GM Department	8000 E Jefferson		Detroit	MI	48214
Rick Zachary	UAW Local 662	2715 Rangeline Dr.		Anderson	IN	46017
Rob Betts	UAW Local 2151	140 64th Ave.		Coopersville	MI	49404
Ron Gettelfinger	UAW President	8000 E Jefferson		Detroit	MI	48214
Russ Reynolds	UAW Local 651	3518 Robert T. Longway Blvd.		Flint	MI	48506
Scott Painter	IUE-CWA Local 1111	1051 S. Rockefeller Ave.		Ontario	CA	91761
Skip Dziedzic	UAW Local 1866	7435 S. Howell Ave.		Oak Creek	WI	53154
Sona Camp	UAW Local 292	1201 W. Alto Rd.		Kokomo	IN	46902
Steve Ishee	UAW Local 2190	1 Thames Ave.		Laurel	MS	39440
Terry Scruggs	UAW Local 2195	20564 Sandy Rd.		Tanner	AL	35671
William Humber	IUE-CWA Local 416	760 Jersey Avenue		New Brunswick	NJ	08901
ZebWells	IUE-CWA Local 718	925 Industrial Park Rd.		Brookhaven	MS	39601

Delphi Corporation
Attrition Plan
Union Counsel Service List

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Cohen, Weiss & Simon LLP	Joseph J. Vitale	330 West 42nd Street		New York	NY	10036		212-356-0238	646-473-8238	jvitale@cwsny.com	Counsel for International Union, United Automobile, Areospace and Agriculture Implement Works of America (UAW)
IUE-CWA	James D Clark	501 Third St NW	Sixth Fl	Washington	DC	20001			202-434-1343		
IUE-CWA	Peter Mitchell	501 Third St NW	Sixth Fl	Washington	DC	20001					
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray, P.C.	Thomas Kennedy	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003					Attorneys for the International Union of Electronic, Electrical, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers-Communications Workers of America
Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C.	Lowell Peterson, Esq.	1350 Broadway	Suite 501	New York	NY	10018		212-239-4999	212-239-1311	lpeterson@msek.com	Counsel to United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO
United Auto Workers	Daniel Sherrick	8000 E Jefferson Ave		Detroit	MI	48214					

EXHIBIT B

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels LLP	Robert J. Stark	Seven Times Square		New York	NY	10036	212-209-4800	212-209-4801	rstark@brownrudnick.com	Indenture Trustee
Cohen, Weiss & Simon	Bruce Simon	330 W. 42nd Street		New York	NY	10036	212-356-0231	212-695-5436	bsimon@cwsny.com	
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosie LLP	Steven J. Reisman	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061	212-696-6000	212-697-1559	sreisman@cm-p.com	Counsel for Flextronics International, Inc.; Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A. de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.; Flextronics Asia-Pacific Ltd.; Flextronics Technology (M) Sdn. Bhd
Davis, Polk & Wardwell	Donald Bernstein Brian Resnick	450 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-450-4092 212-450-4213	212-450-3092 212-450-3213	donald.bernstein@dpw.com brian.resnick@dpw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Postpetition Administrative Agent
Delphi Corporation	Sean Corcoran, Karen Craft	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2000	248-813-2670	sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com karen.j.craft@delphi.com	Debtors
Electronic Data Systems Corp.	Michael Nefkens	5505 Corporate Drive MSIA		Troy	MI	48098	248-696-1729	248-696-1739	mike.nefkens@eds.com	Creditor Committee Member
Flextronics International	Carrie L. Schiff	305 Interlocken Parkway		Broomfield	CO	80021	303-927-4853	303-652-4716	cschiff@flextronics.com	Counsel for Flextronics International
Flextronics International USA, Inc.	Paul W. Anderson	2090 Fortune Drive		San Jose	CA	95131	408-428-1308		paul.anderson@flextronics.com	Counsel for Flextronics International USA, Inc.
Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.	Richard Lee Chambers, III	6501 William Cannon Drive West	MD: OE16	Austin	TX	78735	512-895-6357	512-895-3090	trey.chambers@freescale.com	Creditor Committee Member
Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson	Brad Eric Sheler Bonnie Steingart Vivek Melwani Jennifer L. Rodburg Richard J. Slivinski	One New York Plaza		New York	NY	10004	212-859-8000	212-859-4000	rodbuje@ffhsj.com sliviri@ffhsj.com	Proposed Counsel to Equity Security Holders Committee
FTI Consulting, Inc.	Randall S. Eisenberg	3 Times Square	11th Floor	New York	NY	10036	212-247-1010	212-841-9350	randall.eisenberg@fticonsulting.com	Financial Advisors to Debtors
General Electric Company	Valerie Venable	9930 Kincey Avenue		Huntersville	NC	28078	704-992-5075	866-585-2386	valerie.venable@ge.com	Creditor Committee Member
Groom Law Group	Lonie A. Hassel	1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW		Washington	DC	20006	202-857-0620	202-659-4503	lhassel@groom.com	Counsel for Employee Benefits
Hodgson Russ LLP	Stephen H. Gross	152 West 57th Street	35th Floor	New York	NY	10019	212-751-4300	212-751-0928	sgross@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel for Hexcel Corporation
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Frank L. Gorman, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	313-465-8000	fgorman@honigman.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Robert B. Weiss, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	313-465-8000	rweiss@honigman.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Jefferies & Company, Inc.	William Q. Derrough	520 Madison Avenue	12th Floor	New York	NY	10022	212-284-2521	212-284-2470	bderrough@jefferies.com	UCC Professional
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Thomas F. Maher, Richard Duker, Gianni Russello	270 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-270-0426	212-270-0430	thomas.f.maher@chase.com richard.duker@jpmorgan.com gianni.russello@jpmorgan.com	Postpetition Administrative Agent
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Vilma Francis	270 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-270-5484	212-270-4016	vilma.francis@jpmorgan.com	Prepetition Administrative Agent
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Gordon Z. Novod	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036	212-715-9100	212-715-8000	gnovod@kramerlevin.com	Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Thomas Moers Mayer	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036	212-715-9100	212-715-8000	tmayer@kramerlevin.com	Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC
Kurtzman Carson Consultants	James Le	12910 Culver Blvd.	Suite I	Los Angeles	CA	90066	310-751-1511	310-751-1561	jle@kccllc.com	Noticing and Claims Agent
Latham & Watkins LLP	Robert J. Rosenberg	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-906-1370	212-751-4864	robert.rosenberg@lw.com	Counsel to Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
Law Debenture Trust of New York	Daniel R. Fisher	767 Third Ave.	31st Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-750-6474	212-750-1361	daniel.fisher@lawdeb.com	Indenture Trustee
Law Debenture Trust of New York	Patrick J. Healy	767 Third Ave.	31st Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-750-6474	212-750-1361	patrick.healy@lawdeb.com	Indenture Trustee
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	David D. Cleary	227 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60606	312-372-2000	312-984-7700	dcleary@mwe.com	Counsel for Rectical North America, Inc.
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Mohsin N. Khambati	227 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60606	312-372-2000	312-984-7700	mkhambati@mwe.com	Counsel for Rectical North America, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
McTigue Law Firm	J. Brian McTigue	5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W.	Suite 350	Washington	DC	20015	202-364-6900	202-364-9960	bmctigue@mctiquelaw.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
McTigue Law Firm	Cornish F. Hitchcock	5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W.	Suite 350	Washington	DC	20015	202-364-6900	202-364-9960	conh@mctiquelaw.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
Mesirow Financial	Leon Szlezinger	666 Third Ave	21st Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-808-8366	212-682-5015	lszlezinger@mesirrowfinancial.com	UCC Professional
Morrison Cohen LLP	Joseph T. Moldovan, Esq.	909 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	2127358603	9175223103	jmoldovan@morrisoncohen.com	Counsel for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Michigan
Northeast Regional Office	Mark Schonfeld, Regional Director	3 World Financial Center	Room 4300	New York	NY	10281	212-336-1100	212-336-1323	newyork@sec.gov	Securities and Exchange Commission
Office of New York State	Attorney General Eliot Spitzer	120 Broadway		New York City	NY	10271	212-416-8000	212-416-6075	ServeAG@oag.state.ny.us	New York Attorney General's Office
O'Melveny & Myers LLP	Robert Siegel	400 South Hope Street		Los Angeles	CA	90071	213-430-6000	213-430-6407	rsiegel@omm.com	Special Labor Counsel
O'Melveny & Myers LLP	Tom A. Jerman, Rachel Janger	1625 Eye Street, NW		Washington	DC	20006	202-383-5300	202-383-5414	tjerman@omm.com	Special Labor Counsel
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Jeffrey Cohen	1200 K Street, N.W.	Suite 340	Washington	DC	20005	202-326-4020	202-326-4112	garrick.sandra@pbqc.gov efile@pbqc.gov	Counsel for Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Ralph L. Landy	1200 K Street, N.W.	Suite 340	Washington	DC	20005-4026	2023264020	2023264112	landy.ralph@pbqc.gov	Chief Counsel for the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Phillips Nizer LLP	Sandra A. Riemer	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103	212-841-0589	212-262-5152	sriemer@phillipsnizer.com	Counsel for Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems
Rothchild Inc.	David L. Resnick	1251 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020	212-403-3500	212-403-5454	david.resnick@us.rothschild.com	Financial Advisor
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Robert W. Dremluk	1270 Avenue of the Americas	Suite 2500	New York	NY	10020-1801	2122185500	2122185526	rdremluk@seyfarth.com	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Shearman & Sterling LLP	Douglas Bartner, Jill Frizzley	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-8484000	212-848-7179	dbartner@shearman.com jfrizzley@shearman.com	Local Counsel to the Debtors
Simpson Thatcher & Bartlett LLP	Kenneth S. Ziman, Robert H. Trust, William T. Russell, Jr.	425 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-455-2000	212-455-2502	kziman@stblaw.com rtrust@stblaw.com wrussell@stblaw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Prepetition Administrative Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	John Wm. Butler, John K. Lyons, Ron E. Meisler	333 W. Wacker Dr.	Suite 2100	Chicago	IL	60606	312-407-0700	312-407-0411	jbutler@skadden.com jlyonsch@skadden.com rmeisler@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Thomas J. Matz	4 Times Square	P.O. Box 300	New York	NY	10036	212-735-3000	212-735-2000	kmarafio@skadden.com tmatz@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP	Daniel D. Doyle	1 North Brentwood Boulevard	Tenth Floor	St. Louis	MO	63105	314-863-7733	314-862-4656	ddoyle@spencerfane.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP	Nicholas Franke	1 North Brentwood Boulevard	Tenth Floor	St. Louis	MO	63105	314-863-7733	314-862-4656	nfranke@spencerfane.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
Stevens & Lee, P.C.	Chester B. Salomon, Constantine D. Pourakis	485 Madison Avenue	20th Floor	New York	NY	10022	2123198500	2123198505	cp@stevenslee.com cs@stevenslee.com	Counsel for Wamco, Inc.
Togut, Segal & Segal LLP	Albert Togut	One Penn Plaza	Suite 3335	New York	NY	10119	212-594-5000	212-967-4258	altoqut@teamtoqut.com	Conflicts Counsel to the Debtors
Warner Stevens, L.L.P.	Michael D. Warner	1700 City Center Tower II	301 Commerce Street	Fort Worth	TX	76102	817-810-5250	817-810-5255	mwarner@warnerstevens.com	Proposed Conflicts Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Jeffrey L. Tanenbaum, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	jeff.tanenbaum@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Martin J. Bienenstock, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	martin.bienenstock@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Michael P. Kessler, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	michael.kessler@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Wilmington Trust Company	Steven M. Cimalore	Rodney Square North	1100 North Market Street	Wilmington	DE	19890	302-636-6058	302-636-4143	scimalore@wilmingtontrust.com	Creditor Committee Member/Indenture Trustee

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Airgas, Inc.	David Boyle	259 Radnor-Chester Road, Suite 100	P.O. Box 6675	Radnor	PA	19087-8675		610-230-3064	310-687-1052	david.boyle@airgas.com	Counsel for Airgas, Inc.
Ajamie LLP	Thomas A. Ajamie	711 Louisiana	Suite 2150	Houston	TX	77002		713-860-1600	713-860-1699	tajamie@ajamie.com	Counsel for SANLUIS Rassini International, Inc.; Rassini, S.A. de C.V.
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP	Peter J. Gurfein	2029 Centure Park East	Suite 2400	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-552-6696	310-229-1001	pgurfein@akingump.com	Counsel for Wamco, Inc.
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP	Michael S. Greger	1900 Main Street	Fifth Floor	Irvine	CA	92614-7321		949-553-1313	949-553-8354	mgregger@allenmatkins.com	Counsel for Kilroy Realty, L.P.
Alston & Bird, LLP	Craig E. Freeman	90 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10016		212-210-9400	212-922-3891	craig.freeman@alston.com	Counsel for Cadence Innovation, LLC
Alston & Bird, LLP	Dennis J. Connolly; David A. Wender	1201 West Peachtree Street		Atlanta	GA	30309		404-881-7269	404-253-8554	dconnolly@alston.com dwender@alston.com	Counsel for Cadence Innovation, LLC
Ambrake Corporation	Ronald L. Jones	300 Ring Road		Elizabethtown	KY	42701		270-765-0208	270-234-2395	rjones@ambrake.com	Representative for Ambrake Corporation
American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.	Steven R. Keyes	One Dauch Drive, Mail Code 6E 2-42		Detroit	MI	48243		313-758-4868		steven.keyes@aam.com	Representative for American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.
Andrews Kurth LLP	Gogi Malik	1717 Main Street	Suite 3700	Dallas	TX	75201		214-659-4400	214-659-4401	gogimalik@andrewskurth.com	Counsel for ITW Mortgage Investments IV, Inc.
Andrews Kurth LLP	Monica S. Blacker	1717 Main Street	Suite 3700	Dallas	TX	75201		214-659-4400	214-659-4401	mblacker@andrewskurth.com	Counsel for ITW Mortgage Investments IV, Inc.
Angelo, Gordon & Co.	Leigh Walzer	245 Park Avenue	26th Floor	New York	NY	10167		212-692-8251	212-867-6395	lwalzer@angelogordon.com	
Anglin, Flewelling, Rasmussen, Campbell & Trytten, LLP	Mark T. Flewelling	199 South Los Robles Avenue	Suite 600	Pasadena	CA	91101-2459		626-535-1900	626-577-7764	mtf@afrc.com	Counsel for Stanley Electric Sales of America, Inc.
APS Clearing, Inc.	Andy Leinhoff	1301 S. Capital of Texas Highway	Suite B-220	Austin	TX	78746		512-314-4416	512-314-4462	aleinoff@amph.com	Counsel for APS Clearing, Inc.
APS Clearing, Inc.	Matthew Hamilton	1301 S. Capital of Texas Highway	Suite B-220	Austin	TX	78746		512-314-4416	512-314-4462	mhamilton@amprn.com	Counsel for APS Clearing, Inc.
Arent Fox PLLC	Mitchell D. Cohen	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019		212-484-3900	212-484-3990	Cohen.Mitchell@arentfox.com	Counsel for Pullman Bank and Trust Company
Arent Fox PLLC	Robert M. Hirsh	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019		212-484-3900	212-484-3990	Hirsh.Robert@arentfox.com	Counsel for Pullman Bank and Trust Company
Arnall Golden Gregory LLP	Darryl S. Laddin	171 17th Street NW	Suite 2100	Atlanta	GA	30363-1031		404-873-8120	404-873-8121	dladdin@agg.com	Counsel to Daishinku (America) Corp. d/b/a KDS America ("Daishinku"), SBC Telecommunications, Inc. (SBC)
Arnold & Porter LLP	Joel M. Gross	555 Twelfth Street, N.W.		Washington	D.C.	20004-1206		202-942-5000	202-942-5999	joel_gross@aporter.com	Counsel for CSX Transportation, Inc.
ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc.	Carl Galloway	250 Royal Oak Road		Cambridge	Ontario	N3H 4R6	Canada	519-653-4483	519-650-6520	cgalloway@atsautomation.com	Company
Barack, Ferrazzano, Kirschbaum Perlman, & Nagelberg LLP	Kimberly J. Robinson	333 West Wacker Drive	Suite 2700	Chicago	IL	60606		312-629-5170	312-984-3150	kim.robinson@bfkpn.com	Counsel for Motion Industries, Inc.
Barack, Ferrazzano, Kirschbaum Perlman, & Nagelberg LLP	William J. Barrett	333 West Wacker Drive	Suite 2700	Chicago	IL	60606		312-629-5170	312-984-3150	william.barrett@bfkpn.com	Counsel for Motion Industries, Inc.
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Alan K. Mills	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	317-231-7433	alan.mills@btlaw.com	Counsel for Mays Chemical Company
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	John T. Gregg	300 Ottawa Avenue, NW	Suite 500	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-742-3930	626-742-3999	john.gregg@btlaw.com	Counsel to Priority Health; Clarion Corporation of America
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Mark R. Owens	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	317-231-7433	mark.owens@btlaw.com	Counsel for Clarion Corporation of America
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Michael K. McCrory	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	317-231-7433	michael.mccrory@btlaw.com	Counsel for Gibbs Die Casting Corporation; Clarion Corporation of America
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Patrick E. Mears	300 Ottawa Avenue, NW	Suite 500	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-742-3936	616-742-3999	pmears@btlaw.com	Counsel to Armada Rubber Manufacturing Company, Bank of America Leasing & Leasing & Capital, LLC, & AutoCam Corporation
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Wendy D. Brewer	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	317-231-7433	wendy.brewer@btlaw.com	Counsel for Gibbs Die Casting Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Bartlett Hackett Feinberg P.C.	Frank F. McGinn	155 Federal Street	9th Floor	Boston	MA	02110		617-422-0200	617-422-0383	ffm@bostonbusinesslaw.com	Counsel for Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc.
Beeman Law Office	Thomas M Beeman	33 West 10th Street	Suite 200	Anderson	IN	46016		765-640-1330	765-640-1332	tom@beemanlawoffice.com	Counsel for Madison County (Indiana) Treasurer
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman	Hannah E. Greenwald	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019		212-554-1411	2125541444	hannah@blbglaw.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employes's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman	John P. Coffey	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019		212-554-1409	2125541444	sean@blbglaw.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employes's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman	Wallace A. Showman	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019		212-554-1429	212-554-1444	wallace@blbglaw.com	Counsel for SANLUIS Rassini International, Inc.; Rassini, S.A. de C.V.
Berry Moorman P.C.	James P. Murphy	535 Griswold	Suite 1900	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-1200	313-496-1300	murph@berrymoorman.com	Counsel for Kamax L.P.; Optrex America, Inc.
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Kenneth T. Law, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	650-494-2738	klaw@bbslaw.com	Counsel to UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc..
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Lawrence M. Schwab, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	650-494-2738	lschwab@bbslaw.com	Counsel to UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc.; Soletron Corporation; Soletron De Mexico SA de CV; Soletron Invoitronics; Coherent, Inc.; Veritas Software Corporation
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Patrick M. Costello, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	650-494-2738	pcostello@bbslaw.com	Soletron Corporation; Soletron de Mexico SA de CV; Soletron Invoitronics and Coherent, Inc.
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Thomas M. Gaa	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	650-494-2738	tgaa@bbslaw.com	Counsel to Veritas Software Corporation
Blank Rome LLP	Bonnie Glantz Fatell	Chase Manhattan Centre	1201 Market Street, Suite 800	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-425-6423	302-428-5110	fatell@blankrome.com	Counsel for Special Devices, Inc.
Blank Rome LLP	Marc E. Richards	The Chrysler Building	405 Lexington Avenue	New York	NY	10174		212-885-5000	212-885-5002	mrichards@blankrome.com	Counsel for DENSO International America, Inc.
Bodman LLP	Ralph E. McDowell	100 Renaissance Center	34th Floor	Detroit	MI	48243		313-393-7592	313-393-7579	rmcdowell@bodmanllp.com	Counsel for Freudenberg-NOK; General Partnership; Freudenberg-NOK, Inc.; Flextech, Inc.; Vibracoustic de Mexico, S.A. de C.V.; Lear Corporation; American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Camille W. Hill	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	315-218-8100	chill@bsk.com	Counsel for Marquardt GmbH and Marquardt Switches, Inc.; Tessy Plastics Corp.
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Charles J. Sullivan	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	315-218-8100	csullivan@bsk.com	Counsel for Diemolding Corporation
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Stephen A. Donato	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	315-218-8100	sdonato@bsk.com	Counsel for Marquardt GmbH and Marquardt Switches, Inc.; Tessy Plastics Corp; Diemolding Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Bose McKinney & Evans LLP	Jeannette Eisan Hinshaw	135 N. Pennsylvania Street	Suite 2700	Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-684-5296	317-684-5173	jhinshaw@boselaw.com	Counsel for Decatur Plastics Products, Inc. and Eikenberry & Associates, Inc.; Lorentson Manufacturing, Company, Inc.; Lorentson Tooling, Inc.; L & S Tools, Inc.; Hewitt Tool & Die, Inc.
Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC	Austin L. McMullen	1600 Division Street, Suite 700	PO Box 34005	Nashville	TN	37203		615-252-2307	615-252-6307	amcmullen@bccb.com	Counsel for Calsonic Kansei North America, Inc.; Calsonic Harrison Co., Ltd.
Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC	Roger G. Jones	1600 Division Street, Suite 700	PO Box 34005	Nashville	TN	37203		615-252-2307	615-252-6307	rgones@bccb.com	Counsel for Calsonic Kansei North America, Inc.; Calsonic Harrison Co., Ltd.
Brown & Connery, LLP	Donald K. Ludman	6 North Broad Street		Woodbury	NJ	08096		856-812-8900	856-853-9933	dludman@brownconnery.com	Counsel for SAP America, Inc.
Buchalter Nemer, A Profesional Corporation	Shawn M. Christianson	333 Market Street	25th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94105-2126		415-227-0900	415-227-0770	schristianson@buchalter.com	Counsel for Oracle USA, Inc.; Oracle Credit Corporation
Burr & Forman LLP	Michael Leo Hall	420 North Twentieth Street	Suite 3100	Birmingham	AL	35203		(205) 458-5367	(205) 244-5651	mhall@burr.com	Counsel to Mercedes-Benz U.S. International, Inc.
Cage Williams & Abelman, P.C.	Steven E. Abelman	1433 Seventeenth Street		Denver	CO	80202		303-295-0202		sabelman@cagewilliams.com	Counsel for United Power, Inc.
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP	Jonathan Greenberg	80 Pine Street		New York	NY	10005		212-701-3000	732-205-6777	jonathan.greenberg@engelhard.com	Counsel to Engelhard Corporation
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP	Robert Usadi	80 Pine Street		New York	NY	10005		212-701-3000	212-269-5420	rusadi@cahill.com	Counsel to Engelhard Corporation
Calinoff & Katz, LLP	Dorothy H. Marinis-Riggio	140 East 45th Street	17th Floor	New York	NY	10017		212-826-8800	212-644-5123	driggio@candklaw.com	Counsel for Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Aluminim Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc.
Carson Fischer, P.L.C.	Robert A. Weisberg	300 East Maple Road	Third Floor	Birmingham	MI	48009-6317		248-644-4840	248-644-1832	rweisberg@carsonfischer.com	Counsel for Cascade Die Casting Group, Inc.
Carter Ledyard & Milburn LLP	Aaron R. Cahn	2 Wall Street		New York	NY	10005		212-732-3200	212-732-3232	cahn@clm.com	Counsel for STMicroelectronics, Inc.
Clark Hill PLC	Joel D. Applebaum	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8300	313-965-8252	japplebaum@clarkhill.com	Counsel for BorgWarner Turbo Systems Inc.; Metaldyne Company, LLC
Clark Hill PLC	Shannon Deeby	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8300	313-965-8252	sdeeby@clarkhill.com	Counsel for BorgWarner Turbo Systems Inc.; Metaldyne Company, LLC
Clark Hill PLLC	Robert D. Gordon	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8572	313-965-8252	rgordon@clarkhill.com	Counsel for ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc.
Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP	Deborah M. Buell	One Liberty Plaza		New York	NY	10006		212-225-2000	212-225-3999	maofiling@cqsh.com	Counsel for Arneses Electricos Automotrices, S.A.de C.V.; Cordaflex, S.A. de C.V.
Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton LLP	James L. Bromley	One Liberty Plaza		New York	NY	10006		212-225-2000	212-225-3999	maofiling@cqsh.com	Counsel for Bear, Stearns, Co. Inc.; Citigroup, Inc.; Credit Suisse First Boston; Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.; Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.; JP Morgan Chase & Co.; Lehman Brothers, Inc.; Merrill Lynch & Co.; Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc.; UBS Securities, LLC
Cohen & Grigsby, P.C.	Thomas D. Maxson	11 Stanwix Street	15th Floor	Pittsburgh	PA	15222-1319		412-297-4706	412-209-1837	tmaxson@cohenlaw.com	Counsel for Nova Chemicals, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Cohen, Weiss & Simon LLP	Joseph J. Vitale	330 West 42nd Street		New York	NY	10036		212-356-0238	646-473-8238	jvitale@cwsny.com	Counsel for International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agriculture Implement Works of America (UAW)
Cohn Birnbaum & Shea P.C.	Scott D. Rosen, Esq.	100 Pearl Street, 12th Floor		Hartford	CT	06103		860-493-2200	860-727-0361	srosen@cb-shea.com	Counsel to Floyd Manufacturing Co., Inc.
Colbert & Winstead, P.C.	Amy Wood Malone	1812 Broadway		Nashville	TN	37203		615-321-0555	615-321-9555	amalone@colwinlaw.com	Counsel for Averitt Express, Inc.
Conlin, McKenney & Philbrick, P.C.	Bruce N. Elliott	350 South Main Street	Suite 400	Ann Arbor	MI	48104		734-971-9000	734-971-9001	Elliott@cmplaw.com	Counsel to Brazeway, Inc.
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP	Jeffrey C. Wisler, Esq.	1007 N. Orange Street	P.O. Box 2207	Wilmington	DE	19899		302-658-9141	302-658-0380	jwisler@cblh.com	Counsel to ORIX Warren, LLC
Contrarian Capital Management, L.L.C.	Mark Lee, Janice Stanton, Bill Raine, Seth Lax	411 West Putnam Avenue	Suite 225	Greenwich	CT	06830		203-862-8200	203-629-1977	mlee@contrariancapital.com stanton@contrariancapital.com yraine@contrariancapital.com solax@contrariancapital.com	Counsel to Contrarian Capital Management, L.L.C.
Coolidge, Wall, Womsley & Lombard Co. LPA	Sylvie J. Derrien	33 West First Street	Suite 600	Dayton	OH	45402		937-223-8177	937-223-6705	derrien@coolaw.com	Counsel for Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company
Coolidge, Wall, Womsley & Lombard Co. LPA	Ronald S. Pretekin	33 West First Street	Suite 600	Dayton	OH	45402		937-223-8177	937-223-6705	Pretekin@coolaw.com	Counsel for Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company
Coolidge, Wall, Womsley & Lombard Co. LPA	Steven M. Wachstein	33 West First Street	Suite 600	Dayton	OH	45402		937-223-8177	937-223-6705	wachstein@coolaw.com	Counsel for Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company
Cornell University	Nancy H. Pagliaro	Office of University Counsel	300 CCC Building, Garden Avenue	Ithaca	NY	14853-2601		607-255-5124	607-254-3556	nhp4@cornell.edu	Paralegal/Counsel for Cornell University
Covington & Burling	Susan Power Johnston	1330 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019		212-841-1005	646-441-9005	sjohnston@cov.com	Special Counsel to the Debtor
Cox, Hodgman & Giamarco, P.C.	Sean M. Walsh, Esq.	Tenth Floor Columbia Center	101 W. Big Beaver Road	Troy	MI	48084-5280		248-457-7000	248-457-7001	swalsh@chglaw.com	Counsel for Nissinbo Automotive Corporation
Curtin & Heefner, LLP	Daniel P. Mazo	250 N. Pennsylvania Avenue		Morrisville	PA	19067		215-736-2521	215-736-3647	dpm@curtinheefner.com	Counsel for SPS Technologies, LLC; NSS Technologies, Inc.; SPS Technologies Waterford Company; Greer Stop Nut, Inc.
Curtin & Heefner, LLP	Robert Szwajkos	250 N. Pennsylvania Avenue		Morrisville	PA	19067		215-736-2521	215-736-3647	rsz@curtinheefner.com	Counsel for SPS Technologies, LLC; NSS Technologies, Inc.; SPS Technologies Waterford Company; Greer Stop Nut, Inc.
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	Andrew M. Thau	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061		212-696-8898	917-368-8898	athau@cm-p.com	Counsel for Flextronics International, Inc.; Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.; Flextronics Asia-Pacific Ltd.; Flextronics Technology (M) Sdn. Bhd
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	David S. Karp	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061		212-696-6065	212-697-1559	dkarp@cm-p.com	Counsel for Flextronics International, Inc.; Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.
DaimlerChrysler Corporation	Kim Kolb	CIMS 485-13-32	1000 Chrysler Drive	Auburn Hills	MI	48326-2766		248-576-5741		krk4@daimlerchrysler.com	Counsel for DaimlerChrysler Corporation; DaimlerChrysler Motors Company, LLC; DaimlerChrysler Canada, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Daniels & Kaplan, P.C.	Jay Selanders	2405 Grand Boulevard	Suite 900	Kansas City	MO	64108-2519		816-221-3086	816-221-3006	selanders@danielsandkaplan.com	Counsel for DaimlerChrysler Corporation; DaimlerChrysler Motors Company, LLC; DaimlerChrysler Canada, Inc.
Denso International America, Inc.	Carol Sowa	24777 Denso Drive		Southfield	MI	48086		248-372-8531	248-350-7772	carol_sowa@denso-diam.com	Counsel to Denso International America, Inc.
Deputy Attorney General	Amina Maddox	R.J. Hughes Justice Complex	P.O. Box 106	Trenton	NJ	08625		609-984-0183	609-292-6266	amina.maddox@dol.lps.state.nj.us	Deputy Attorney General - State of New Jersey
DiConza Law, P.C.	Gerard DiConza, Esq.	630 Third Avenue, 7th Floor		New York	NY	10017		212-682-4940	212-682-4942	gdiConza@dlawpc.com	Counsel to Tyz-Ail Plastics, Inc.; Furukawa Electric North America APD
Dinsmore & Shohl LLP	John Persiani	1900 Chemed Center	255 East Fifth Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-977-8200	513-977-8141	john.persiani@dinslaw.com	Counsel for The Procter & Gamble Company
DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP	Richard M. Kremen Maria Ellena Chavez-Ruark	The Marbury Building	6225 Smith Avenue	Baltimore	Maryland	21209-3600		410-580-3000	410-580-3001	richard.kremen@dlapiper.com	Counsel for Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. & Constellation NewEnergy - Gas Division, LLC
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	Andrew C. Kassner	18th and Cherry Streets		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-988-2700	215-988-2757	andrew.kassner@dbrc.com	Counsel to Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P.
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	David B. Aaronson	18th and Cherry Streets		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-988-2700	215-988-2757	david.aaronson@dbrc.com	Counsel to Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P. and Quaker Chemical Corporation
Duane Morris LLP	Margery N. Reed, Esq.	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103-4196		215-979-1000	215-979-1020	dmdelphi@duanemorris.com	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company
Duane Morris LLP	Joseph H. Lemkin	744 Broad Street	Suite 1200	Newark	NJ	07102		973-424-2000	973-424-2001	jhlemkin@duanemorris.com	Counsel for NDK America, Inc./NDK Crystal, Inc.; Foster Electric USA, Inc.; JST Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Taiho Corporation of America; American Aikoku Alpha, Inc.; Sagami America, Ltd.; SL America, Inc./SL Tennessee, LLC; Hosiden America Corporation and Samtech Corporation
Duane Morris LLP	Wendy M. Simkulak, Esq.	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103-4196		215-979-1000	215-979-1020	wmsimkulak@duanemorris.com	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott LLC	Michael G. Busenkell	300 Delaware Avenue	Suite 1360	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-425-0430	302-425-0432	mbsenkell@eckertseamans.com	Counsel for Chicago Miniature Optoelectronic Technologies, Inc.
Electronic Data Systems Corporation	Ayala Hassell	5400 Legacy Dr.	Mail Stop H3-3A-05	Plano	TX	75024		212-715-9100	212-715-8000	ayala_hassell@eds.com	Representative for Electronic Data Systems Corporation
Entergy Services, Inc.	Alan H. Katz	7411 Highway 51 North		Southaven	MS	38671				akatz@entergy.com	Company
Erman, Teicher, Miller, Zucker & Freedman, P.C.	David H. Freedman	400 Galleria Offcentre	Ste. 444	Southfield	MI	48034		248-827-4100	248-827-4106	dfreedman@ermanteicher.com	Counsel for Doshi Pretti International, LLC
Ettelman & Hochheiser, P.C.	Gary Ettelman	c/o Premium Cadillac	77 Main Street	New Rochelle	NY	10801		516-227-6300	516-227-6307	gettelman@e-hlaw.com	Counsel for Jon Ballin
Fagel Haber LLC	Gary E. Green	55 East Monroe	40th Floor	Chicago	IL	60603		312-346-7500	312-580-2201	ggreen@fagelhaber.com	Counsel for Aluminum International, Inc.
Fagel Haber LLC	Lauren Newman	55 East Monroe	40th Floor	Chicago	IL	60603		312-346-7500	312-580-2201	lnewman@fagelhaber.com	Counsel for Aluminum International, Inc.
Filardi Law Offices LLC	Charles J. Filardi, Jr., Esq.	65 Trumbull Street	Second Floor	New Haven	CT	06510		203-562-8588	866-890-3061	charles@filardi-law.com	Counsel for Federal Express Corporation
Finkel Goldstein Rosenbloom & Nash LLP	Ted J. Donovan	26 Broadway	Suite 711	New York	NY	10004		212-344-2929	212-422-6836	tdonovan@finkgold.com	Counsel for Pillarhouse (U.S.A.) Inc.
Foley & Lardner LLP	Jill L. Murch	321 North Clark Street	Suite 2800	Chicago	IL	60610-4764		312-832-4500	312-832-4700	lmurch@foley.com	Counsel for Kuss Corporation
Fox Rothschild LLP	Fred Stevens	13 East 37th Street	Suite 800	New York	NY	10016		212-682-7575	212-682-4218	fstevens@foxrothschild.com	Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products, Inc.
Fox Rothschild LLP	Michael J. Viscount, Jr.	1301 Atlantic Avenue	Suite 400	Atlantic City	NJ	08401-7212		609-348-4515	609-348-6834	mviscount@foxrothschild.com	Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products, Inc.
Frederick T. Rikkers		419 Venture Court	P.O. Box 930555	Verona	WI	53593		608-848-6350	608-848-6357	fridders@rikkerslaw.com	Counsel for Southwest Metal Finishing, Inc.
Gazes LLC	Ian J. Gazes	32 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10013		212-765-9000	212-765-9675	ian@gazesllc.com	Counsel to Setech, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Gazes LLC	Eric Wainer	32 Avenue of the Americas	Suite 1800	New York	NY	10013		212-765-9000	212-765-9675	office@gazesllc.com	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Genovese Joblove & Battista, P.A.	Craig P. Rieders, Esq.	100 S.E. 2nd Street	Suite 4400	Miami	FL	33131		305-349-2300	305-349-2310	rieders@qjb-law.com	Counsel for Ryder Integrated Logistics, Inc.
Gibbons, Del Deo, Dolan, Griffinger & Vecchione	David N. Crapo	One Riverfront Plaza		Newark	NJ	07102-5497		973-596-4523	973-639-6244	dcrapo@qibbonslaw.com	Counsel for Epcos, Inc.
Goldberg, Stinnett, Meyers & Davis	Merle C. Meyers	44 Montgomery Street	Suite 2900	San Francisco	CA	94104		415-362-5045	415-362-2392	mmeyers@gsmclaw.com	Counsel for Alps Automotive, Inc.
Goodwin Proctor LLP	Allan S. Brilliant	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-813-8800	212-355-3333	abrilliant@goodwinproctor.com	Counsel for UGS Corp.
Goodwin Proctor LLP	Craig P. Druhl	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-813-8800	212-355-3333	cdruhl@goodwinproctor.com	Counsel for UGS Corp.
Gorlick, Kravitz & Listhaus, P.C.	Barbara S. Mehlsack	17 State Street	4th Floor	New York	NY	10004		212-269-2500	212-269-2540	bmehlsack@qklaw.com	Counsel for International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10; International Union of Operating Engineers Local Union Nos. 18, 101 and 832
Goulston & Storrs, P.C.	Peter D. Bilowz	400 Atlantic Avenue		Boston	MA	02110-333		617-482-1776	617-574-4112	pbilowz@goulstonstorrs.com	Counsel to Thermotech Company
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	Jay W. Eisenhofer	45 Rockefeller Center	650 Fifth Avenue	New York	NY	10111		212-755-6501	212-755-6503	jeisenhofer@gelaw.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	Sharan Nirmul	1201 North Market Street	Suite 2100	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-622-7000	302-622-7100	snirmul@gelaw.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Matthew R. Robbins	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	414-271-6308	mrr@previant.com	Counsel for International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Timothy C. Hall	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	414-271-6308	tch@previant.com	Counsel for International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
Graydon Head & Ritchey LLP	J. Michael Debblor, Susan M. Argo	1900 Fifth Third Center	511 Walnut Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-621-6464	513-651-3836	mdebblor@graydon.com	Counsel for Grote Industries; Batesville Tool & Die; PIA Group; Reliable Castings
Greensfelder, Hemker & Gale, P.C.	Cherie Macdonald J. Patrick Bradley	10 S. Broadway	Suite 200	St. Louis	MO	63102		314-241-9090	314-241-8624	ckm@greensfelder.com jpb@greensfelder.com	Counsel for ARC Automotive, Inc.
Guaranty Bank	Herb Reiner	8333 Douglas Avenue		Dallas	TX	75225		214-360-2702	214-360-1940	herb.reiner@guarantygroup.com	Counsel for American Finance Group, Inc. d/b/a Guaranty Capital Corporation
Halperin Battaglia Raicht, LLP	Alan D. Halperin Christopher J. Battaglia Julie D. Dyas	555 Madison Avenue	9th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-765-9100	212-765-0964	cbattaglia@halperinlaw.net ahalperin@halperinlaw.net jdvas@halperinlaw.net	Counsel to Pacific Gas Turbine Center, LLC and Chromalloy Gas Turbine Corporation; ARC Automotive, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Harris D. Leinwand	Harris D. Leinwand	350 Fifth Avenue	Suite 2418	New York	NY	10118		212-725-7338	212-244-6219	hleinwand@aol.com	Counsel for Baker Hughes Incorporated; Baker Petrolite Corporation
Herrick, Feinstein LLP	Paul Rubin	2 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10016		212-592-1448	212-545-3360	prubin@herrick.com	Counsel for Canon U.S.A., Inc. and Schmidt Technology GmbH
Hewlett-Packard Company	Anne Marie Kennelly	3000 Hanover St., M/S 1050		Palo Alto	CA	94304		650-857-6902	650-852-8617	anne.kennelly@hp.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Company
Hewlett-Packard Company	Glen Dumont	420 Mountain Avenue		Murray Hill	NJ	07974		908-898-4750	908-898-4137	glen.dumont@hp.com	Counsel for Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Hewlett-Packard Company	Kenneth F. Higman	2125 E. Katella Avenue	Suite 400	Anaheim	CA	92806		714-940-7120	740-940-7539	ken.higman@hp.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Company
Hewlett-Packard Company	Sharon Petrosino	420 Mountain Avenue		Murray Hill	NJ	07974		908-898-4760	908-898-4133	sharon.petrosino@hp.com	Counsel for Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Hiscock & Barclay, LLP	J. Eric Charlton	300 South Salina Street	PO Box 4878	Syracuse	NY	13221-4878		315-425-2716	315-425-8576	echarlton@hiscockbarclay.com	Counsel for GW Plastics, Inc.
Hodgson Russ LLP	Julia S. Kreher	One M&T Plaza	Suite 2000	Buffalo	NY	14203		716-848-1330	716-819-4645	jkreher@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel for Hexcel Corporation
Hodgson Russ LLP	Stephen H. Gross, Esq.	230 Park Avenue	17th Floor	New York	NY	10169		212-751-4300	212-751-0928	sgross@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel to Hexcel Corporation
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Audrey Moog	Columbia Square	555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.	Washington	D.C.	20004-1109		202-637-5677	202-637-5910	amoog@hhlaw.com	Counsel for Umicore Autocat Canada Corp.
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Edward C. Dolan	Columbia Square	555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.	Washington	D.C.	20004-1109		202-637-5677	202-637-5910	ecdolan@hhlaw.com	Counsel for Umicore Autocat Canada Corp.
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Scott A. Golden	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-918-3000	212-918-3100	sagolden@hhlaw.com	Counsel for XM Satellite Radio Inc.
Holme Roberts & Owen, LLP	Elizabeth K. Flaagan	1700 Lincoln	Suite 4100	Denver	CO	80203		303-861-7000	303-866-0200	elizabeth.flaagan@hro.com	Counsel for CoorsTek, Inc.; Corus, L.P.
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	Donald T. Baty, Jr.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7314	313-465-7315	dbaty@honigman.com	Counsel for Fujitsu Ten Corporation of America
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	E. Todd Sable	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7548	313-465-7549	tsable@honigman.com	Counsel for Valeo Climate Control Corp.; Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc. - Motors and Actuators Division; Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc. - Wipers Division; Valeo Switches & Detection System, Inc.
Hunter & Schank Co. LPA	John J. Hunter	One Canton Square	1700 Canton Avenue	Toledo	OH	43624		419-255-4300	419-255-9121	jrhunter@hunterschank.com	Counsel for ZF Group North America Operations, Inc.
Hunter & Schank Co. LPA	Thomas J. Schank	One Canton Square	1700 Canton Avenue	Toledo	OH	43624		419-255-4300	419-255-9121	tomschank@hunterschank.com	Counsel for ZF Group North America Operations, Inc.
Hunton & Williams LLP	Michael P. Massad, Jr.	Energy Plaza, 30th Floor	1601 Bryan Street	Dallas	TX	75201		214-979-3000	214-880-0011	mmassad@hunton.com	Counsel for RF Monolithics, Inc.
Hunton & Williams LLP	Steven T. Holmes	Energy Plaza, 30th Floor	1601 Bryan Street	Dallas	TX	75201		214-979-3000	214-880-0011	sholmes@hunton.com	Counsel for RF Monolithics, Inc.
Hurwitz & Fine P.C.	Ann E. Evanko	1300 Liberty Building		Buffalo	NY	14202		716-849-8900	716-855-0874	aee@hurwitzfine.com	Counsel for Jiffy-Tite Co., Inc.
Ice Miller	Ben T. Caughey	One American Square	Box 82001	Indianapolis	IN	46282-0200		317-236-2100	317-236-2219	Ben.Caughey@icemiller.com	Counsel for Sumco, Inc.
Infineon Technologies North America Corporation	Greg Bibbes	1730 North First Street	M/S 11305	San Jose	CA	95112		408-501-6442	408-501-2488	greg.bibbes@infineon.com	General Counsel & Vice President for Infineon Technologies North America Corporation
Infineon Technologies North America Corporation	Jeff Gillespie	2529 Commerce Drive	Suite H	Kokomo	IN	46902		765-454-2146	765-456-3836	jeffery.gillespie@infineon.com	Global Account Manager for Infineon Technologies North America
International Union of Operating Engineers	Richard Griffin	1125-17th Avenue, N.W.		Washington	DC	20036		202-429-9100	202-778-2641	rgriffin@iuoe.org	Counsel for International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10; International Union of Operating Engineers Local Union Nos. 18, 101 and 832
Jaffe, Raitt, Heuer & Weiss, P.C.	Paige E. Barr	27777 Franklin Road	Suite 2500	Southfield	MI	48034		248-351-3000	248-351-3082	pbarr@jaffelaw.com	Counsel for Trutron Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Jenner & Block LLP	Ronald R. Peterson	One IBM Plaza		Chicago	IL	60611		312-222-9350	312-840-7381	rpeterson@jenner.com	Counsel for SPX Corporation (Contech Division), Alcan Rolled Products-Ravenswood, LLC and Tenneco Inc.
Johnston, Harris Gerde & Komarek, P.A.	Jerry W. Gerde, Esq.	239 E. 4th St.		Panama City	FL	32401		850-763-8421	850-763-8425	gerdekomarek@bellsouth.net	Counsel for Peggy C. Brannon, Bay County Tax Collector
Jones Day	Scott J. Friedman	222 East 41st Street		New York	NY	10017		212-326-3939	212-755-7306	sifriedman@jonesday.com	Counsel for WL. Ross & Co., LLC
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP	John P. Sieger, Esq.	525 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60661		312-902-5200	312-577-4733	john.sieger@kattenlaw.com	Counsel to TDK Corporation America and MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc.
Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter Co., LPA	Kenneth R. Cookson	65 East State Street	Suite 1800	Columbus	OH	43215		614-426-5400	614-464-2634	kcookson@keglerbrown.com	Counsel for Solution Recovery Services
Keller Rohrback L.L.P.	Lynn Lincoln Sarko Cari Campen Laufenberg Erin M. Riley	1201 Third Avenue	Suite 3200	Seattle	WA	98101		206-623-1900	206-623-3384	lsarko@kellerrohrback.com claufenberg@kellerrohrback.com eriley@kellerrohrback.com	Counsel for Neal Folck, Greg Bartell, Donald McEvoy, Irene Polito, and Thomas Kessler, on behalf of themselves and a class of persons similarly situated, and on behalf of the Delphi Savings-Stock Purchase Program for Salaried Employees in the United States and the Delphi Personal Savings Plan for Hourly-Rate Employees in the United States
Keller Rohrback P.L.C.	Gary A. Gotto	National Bank Plaza	3101 North Central Avenue, Suite 900	Phoenix	AZ	85012		602-248-0088	602-248-2822	ggotto@kellerrohrback.com	Counsel for Neal Folck, Greg Bartell, Donald McEvoy, Irene Polito, and Thomas Kessler, on behalf of themselves and a class of persons similarly situated, and on behalf of the Delphi Savings-Stock Purchase Program for Salaried Employees in the United States and the Delphi Personal Savings Plan for Hourly-Rate Employees in the United States
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP	Mark I. Bane	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-808-7800	212-808-7897	mbane@kelleydrye.com	Counsel for the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP	Mark. R. Somerstein	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-808-7800	212-808-7897	msomerstein@kelleydrye.com	Counsel for the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Larry Magarik	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	212-358-0207	lmagarik@kjlabor.com	Counsel for The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Susan M. Jennik	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	212-358-0207	sjennik@kjlabor.com	Counsel for The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Thomas Kennedy	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	212-358-0207	tkennedy@kjlabor.com	Counsel for The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
King & Spalding, LLP	George B. South, III	1185 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-556-2100	212-556-2222	gsouth@kslaw.com	Counsel for Martinrea International, Inc.
Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Nicholson Graham LLP	Edward M. Fox	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-536-4812	212-536-3901	efox@klnq.com	Counsel to Wilmington Trust Company, as Indenture trustee

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Klett Rooney Lieber & Schorling	Eric L. Schnabel DeWitt Brown	The Brandywine Building	1000 West Street, Suite 1410	Wilmington	DE	19801		(302) 552-4200		schnabel@klettrooney.com dbrown@klettrooney.com	Counsel for Entergy
Krugliak, Wilkins, Griffiths & Dougherty CO., L.P.A.	Sam O. Simmerman	4775 Munson Street N.W.	P.O. Box 36963	Canton	OH	44735-6963		330-497-0700	330-497-4020	sosimmerman@kwgd.com	Counsel to for Millwood, Inc.
Kutchin & Rufo, P.C.	Edward D. Kutchin	155 Federal Street	17th Floor	Boston	MA	02110-1727		617-542-3000	617-542-3001	ekutchin@kutchinrufo.com	Counsel for Parlex Corporation
Kutchin & Rufo, P.C.	Kerry R. Northrup	155 Federal Street	17th Floor	Boston	MA	02110-1727		617-542-3000	617-542-3001	knorthrup@kutchinrufo.com	Counsel for Parlex Corporation
Lambert, Leser, Isackson, Cook & Guinta, P.C.	Susan M. Cook	309 Davidson Building	PO Box 835	Bay City	MI	48707-0835		989-893-3518		smcook@lambertleser.com	Counsel for Linamar Corporation
Latham & Watkins	Erika Ruiz	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	erika.ruiz@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Henry P. Baer, Jr.	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	henry.baer@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	John W. Weiss	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	john.weiss@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Mark A. Broude	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1384	212-751-4864	mark.broude@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Michael J. Riela	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	michael.riela@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Mitchell A. Seider	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	mitchell.seider@lw.com	UCC Professional
Lewis and Roca LLP	Rob Charles, Esq.	One South Church Street	Suite 700	Tucson	AZ	85701		520-629-4427	520-879-4705	rcharles@lrlaw.com	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.
Lewis and Roca LLP	Susan M. Freeman, Esq.	40 North Central Avenue	Suite 1900	Phoenix	AZ	85004-4429		602-262-5756	602-734-3824	sfreeman@lrlaw.com	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.
Linear Technology Corporation	John England, Esq.	General Counsel for Linear Technology Corporation	1630 McCarthy Blvd.	Milpitas	CA	95035-7417		408-432-1900	408-434-0507	jengland@linear.com	Counsel to Linear Technology Corporation
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	Diane W. Sanders	1949 South IH 35 (78741)	P.O. Box 17428	Austin	TX	78760-7428		512-447-6675	512-443-5114	austin.bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel to Cameron County, Brownsville ISD
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	Elizabeth Weller	2323 Bryan Street	Suite 1600	Dallas	TX	75201		214-880-0089	4692215002	dallas.bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel for Dallas County and Tarrant County
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	John P. Dillman	P.O. Box 3064		Houston	TX	77253-3064		713-844-3478	713-844-3503	houston_bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel in Charge for Taxing Authorities: Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District, City of Houston, Harris County
Loeb & Loeb LLP	P. Gregory Schwed	345 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10154-0037		212-407-4000		gschwed@loeb.com	Counsel for Creditor The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc. and Proposed Auditor Deloitte & Touche, LLP
Loeb & Loeb LLP	William M. Hawkins	345 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10154		212-407-4000	212-407-4990	whawkins@loeb.com	Counsel for Industrial Ceramics Corporation
Lord, Bissel & Brook	Timothy W. Brink	115 South LaSalle Street		Chicago	IL	60603		312-443-1832	312-443-896-6432	tbrink@lordbissell.com	Counsel for Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc.
Lord, Bissel & Brook	Timothy S. McFadden	115 South LaSalle Street		Chicago	IL	60603		312-443-0370	312-896-6394	tmcfadden@lordbissell.com	Counsel for Methode Electronics, Inc.
Lord, Bissel & Brook LLP	Kevin J. Walsh	885 Third Avenue	26th Floor	New York	NY	10022-4802		212-947-8304	212-947-1202	kwalsh@lordbissell.com	Counsel to Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc. and Methode Electronics, Inc.
Lord, Bissel & Brook LLP	Rocco N. Covino	885 Third Avenue	26th Floor	New York	NY	10022-4802		212-812-8340	212-947-1202	rcovino@lordbissell.com	Counsel to Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc. and Methode Electronics, Inc.
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Bruce S. Nathan	1251 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	212-262-7402	bnathan@lowenstein.com	Counsel for Daewoo International (America) Corp.
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Ira M. Levee	1251 Avenue of the Americas	18th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	212-262-7402	ilevee@lowenstein.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfonds ABP
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Kenneth A. Rosen	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	973-597-2400	krosen@lowenstein.com	Counsel for Cerberus Capital Management, L.P.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Michael S. Etikin	1251 Avenue of the Americas	18th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	212-262-7402	metkin@lowenstein.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfonds ABP
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Scott Cargill	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	973-597-2400	scargill@lowenstein.com	Counsel for Cerberus Capital Management, L.P.; AT&T Corporation
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Vincent A. D'Agostino	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	973-597-2400	vdagostino@lowenstein.com	Counsel for AT&T Corporation
Lyden, Liebenthal & Chappell, Ltd.	Erik G. Chappell	5565 Airport Highway	Suite 101	Toledo	OH	43615		419-867-8900	419-867-8909	egc@lydenlaw.com	Counsel for Metro Fibres, Inc.
MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton LLP	Richard J. Parks	100 State Street	Suite 700	Erie	PA	16507-1459		814-870-7754	814-454-4647	rparks@mjiib.com	Counsel for Ideal Tool Company, Inc.
Madison Capital Management	Joe Landen	6143 South Willow Drive	Suite 200	Greenwood Village	CO	80111		303-957-4254	303-957-2098	jlanden@madisoncap.com	Representative for Madison Capital Management
Margulies & Levinson, LLP	Jeffrey M. Levinson, Esq. Leah M. Caplan, Esq.	30100 Chagrin Boulevard	Suite 250	Pepper Pike	OH	44124		216-514-4935	216-514-4936	jml@ml-legal.com lmc@ml-legal.com	Counsel for Venture Plastics
Mastromarco & Jahn, P.C.	Victor J. Mastromarco, Jr.	1024 North Michigan Avenue	P.O. Box 3197	Saginaw	MI	48605-3197		989-752-1414		vmastromar@aol.com	Counsel for H.E. Services Company and Robert Backie and Counsel to Cindy Palmer, Personal Representative to the Estate of Michael Palmer
Masuda Funai Eifert & Mitchell, Ltd.	Gary D. Santella	203 North LaSalle Street	Suite 2500	Chicago	IL	60601-1262		312-245-7500	312-245-7467	gsantella@masudafunai.com	Counsel for NDK America, Inc./NDK Crystal, Inc.; Foster Electric USA, Inc.; JST Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Taiho Corporation of America; American Aikoku Alpha, Inc.; Sagami America, Ltd.; SL America, Inc./SL Tennessee, LLC; Hosiden America Corporation and Samtech Corporation
Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP	Jeffrey G. Tougas	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019		212-262-1910	212-506-2500	jgtougas@mayerbrownrowe.com	Counsel for Bank of America, N.A.
Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP	Raniero D'Aversa, Jr.	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019		212-262-1910	212-506-2500	rdaversa@mayerbrownrowe.com	Counsel for Bank of America, N.A.
McCarter & English, LLP	David J. Adler, Jr. Esq.	245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor		New York	NY	10167		212-609-6800	212-609-6921	dadler@mccarter.com	Counsel to Ward Products, LLC
McCarthy Tetrault LLP	John J. Salmas Lorne P. Salzman	66 Wellington Street West	Suite 4700	Toronto	Ontario	M5K 1E6		416-362-1812	416-868-0673	jsalmas@mccarthy.ca lsalzman@mccarthy.ca	Counsel for Themselves (McCarthy Tetrault LLP)
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	James M. Sullivan	340 Madison Avenue		New York	NY	10017		212-547-5477	212-547-5444	jmsullivan@mwe.com	Counsel to Linear Technology Corporation, National Semiconductor Corporation; Timken Corporation
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Stephen B. Selbst	340 Madison Avenue		New York	NY	10017		212-547-5400	212-547-5444	sselbst@mwe.com	Counsel for National Semiconductor Corporation
McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA	Jean R. Robertson, Esq.	600 Superior Avenue, East	Suite 2100	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-348-5400	216-348-5474	jrobertson@mcdonaldhopkins.com	Counsel to Brush Engineered materials
McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA	Scott N. Opincar, Esq.	600 Superior Avenue, E.	Suite 2100	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-348-5400	216-348-5474	sopincar@mcdonaldhopkins.com	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA	Shawn M. Riley, Esq.	600 Superior Avenue, E.	Suite 2100	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-348-5400	216-348-5474	sriley@mcdonaldhopkins.com	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP	Jeffrey Bernstein, Esq.	Three Gateway Center	100 Mulberry Street	Newark	NJ	07102-4079		973-622-7711	973-622-5314	jbernstein@mdmc-law.com	Counsel to New Jersey Self-Insurers Guaranty Association
McGuirewoods LLP	Elizabeth L. Gunn	One James Center	901 East Cary Street	Richmond	VA	23219-4030		804-775-1178	804-698-2186	egunn@mcquirewoods.com	Counsel for Siemens Logistics Assembly Systems, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C.	Hanan Kolko	1350 Broadway	Suite 501	New York	NY	10018		212-239-4999	212-239-1311	hkolko@msek.com	Counsel for The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communications Workers of America
Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C.	Lowell Peterson, Esq.	1350 Broadway	Suite 501	New York	NY	10018		212-239-4999	212-239-1311	lpeterson@msek.com	Counsel to United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO
Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, P.A.	M. Evan Meyers	Berkshire Building	6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 400	Riverdale Park	MD	20737-1385		301-699-5800		emeyers@mrriaw.net	Counsel for Prince George County, Maryland
Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, P.A.	Robert H. Rosenbaum	Berkshire Building	6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 400	Riverdale Park	MD	20737-1385		301-699-5800		rosenbaum@mrriaw.net	Counsel for Prince George County, Maryland
Miami-Dade County, FL	April Burch	140 West Flagler Street	Suite 1403	Miami	FL	33130		305-375-5314	305-375-1142	aburch@miamidade.gov	Paralegal Collection Specialist for Miami-Dade County
Michael Cox		Cadillac Place	3030 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 10-200	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-0140		miag@michigan.gov	Attorney General for State of Michigan, Department of Treasury
Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, Worker's Compensation Agency	Michael Cox	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909-7717		517-373-1820	517-373-2129	miag@michigan.gov	Attorney General for Worker's Compensation Agency
Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, Worker's Compensation Agency	Dennis J. Raterink	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909-7717		517-373-1820	517-373-2129	raterinkd@michigan.gov	Assistant Attorney General for Worker's Compensation Agency
Miles & Stockbridge, P.C.	Kerry Hopkins	10 Light Street		Baltimore	MD	21202		410-385-3418	410-385-3700	khopkins@milesstockbridge.com	Counsel for Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Aluminum Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc.
Miles & Stockbridge, P.C.	Thomas D. Renda	10 Light Street		Baltimore	MD	21202		410-385-3418	410-385-3700	trenda@milesstockbridge.com	Counsel for Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Aluminum Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc.
Miller Johnson	Thomas P. Sarb Robert D. Wolford	250 Monroe Avenue, N.W.	Suite 800, PO Box 306	Grand Rapids	MI	49501-0306		616-831-1748 616-831-1726	616-988-1748 616-988-1726	sarbt@millerjohnson.com wolfordr@millerjohnson.com	Counsel to Pridgeon & Clay, Inc.
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Timothy A. Fusco	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-8435	313-496-8453	fusco@millercanfield.com	Counsel for Niles USA Inc.; Techcentral, LLC; The Bartech Group, Inc.; Fischer Automotive Systems
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Jonathan S. Green	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-8452	313-496-7997	greenj@millercanfield.com	Counsel for Wells Operating Partnership, LP
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris Glovsky and Pepco, P.C.	Paul J. Ricotta	One Financial Center		Boston	MA	02111		617-542-6000	617-542-2241	piricotta@mintz.com	Counsel for Hitachi Automotive Products (USA), Inc. and Conceria Pasubio

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris Glovsky and Pepco, P.C.	Stephanie K. Hoos	The Chrysler Center	666 Third Avenue	New York	NY	10017		212-935-3000	212-983-3115	skhoos@mintz.com	Counsel of Hitachi Automotive Products (USA), Inc. and Conceria Pasubio
Molex Connector Corp	Jeff Ott	2222 Wellington Ct.		Lisle	IL	60532		630-527-4254	630-512-8610	Jeff.Ott@molex.com	Counsel for Molex Connector Corp
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Andrew D. Gottfried	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0060		212-309-6000	212-309-6001	agottfried@morganlewis.com	Counsel for ITT Industries, Inc.; Hitachi Chemical (Singapore), Ltd.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Menachem O. Zelmanovitz	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-309-6000	212-309-6001	mzelmanovitz@morganlewis.com	Counsel for Hitachi Chemical (Singapore) Pte, Ltd.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Richard W. Esterkin, Esq.	300 South Grand Avenue		Los Angeles	CA	90017		213-612-1163	213-612-2501	resterkin@morganlewis.com	Counsel to Sumitomo Corporation
Moritt Hock Hamroff & Horowitz LLP	Leslie Ann Berkoff	400 Garden City Plaza		Garden City	NY	11530		516-873-2000		lberkoff@morithhock.com	Counsel for Standard Microsystems Corporation and its direct and indirect subsidiaries Oasis SiliconSystems AG and SMSC NA Automotive, LLC (successor-in-interest to Oasis Silicon Systems, Inc.)
Morrison Cohen LLP	Michael R. Dal Lago	909 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-735-8757	917-522-3157	mdallago@morrisoncohen.com	Counsel to Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Michigan
Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, P.C.	Raymond J. Urbanik, Esq., Joseph J. Wielebinski, Esq. and Davor Rukavina, Esq.	3800 Lincoln Plaza	500 North Akard Street	Dallas	RX	75201-6659		214-855-7590 214-855-7561 214-855-7587	214-855-7584	rurbanik@munsch.com jwielebinski@munsch.com drukavina@munsch.com	Counsel for Texas Instruments Incorporated
Nantz, Litowich, Smith, Girard & Hamilton, P.C.	Sandra S. Hamilton	2025 East Beltline, S.E.	Suite 600	Grand Rapids	MI	49546		616-977-0077	616-977-0529	sandy@nlsq.com	Counsel for Lankfer Diversified Industries, Inc.
Nathan, Neuman & Nathan, P.C.	Kenneth A. Nathan	29100 Northwestern Highway	Suite 260	Southfield	MI	48034		248-351-0099	248-351-0487	Knathan@nathanneuman.com	Counsel for 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties
Nathan, Neuman & Nathan, P.C.	Susanna C. Brennan	29100 Northwestern Highway	Suite 260	Southfield	MI	48034		248-351-0099	248-351-0487	sbrennan@nathanneuman.com	Counsel for 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties
National City Commercial Capital	Lisa M. Moore	995 Dalton Avenue		Cincinnati	OH	45203		513-455-2390	866-298-4481	lisa.moore2@nationalcity.com	Vice President and Senior Counsel for National City Commercial Capital
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough	George B. Cauthen	1320 Main Street, 17th Floor	PO Box 11070	Columbia	SC	29201		803-7255-9425	803-256-7500	george.cauthen@nelsonmullins.com	Counsel for Datwyler Rubber & Plastics, Inc.; Datwyler, Inc.; Datwyler i/o devices (Americas), Inc.; Rothrist Tube (USA), Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Nix, Patterson & Roach, L.L.P.	Bradley E. Beckworth	205 Linda Drive		Daingerfield	TX	75638		903-645-7333	903-645-4415	bbeckworth@nixlawfirm.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Nix, Patterson & Roach, L.L.P.	Jeffrey J. Angelovich	205 Linda Drive		Daingerfield	TX	75638		903-645-7333	903-645-4415	jangelovich@nixlawfirm.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Nix, Patterson & Roach, L.L.P.	Susan Whatley	205 Linda Drive		Daingerfield	TX	75638		903-645-7333	903-645-4415	susanwhatley@nixlawfirm.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC	James Imbriaco	90 East Halsey Road		Parsippany	NJ	07054		973-884-6952	973-515-3244	jimbriaco@gentek-global.com	
Norris, McLaughlin & Marcus	Elizabeth L. Abdelmasieh, Esq.	721 Route 202-206	P.O. Box 1018	Somerville	NJ	08876		908-722-0700	908-722-0755	eabdelmasieh@nmmlaw.com	Counsel for Rotor Clip Company, Inc.
North Point	David G. Heiman	901 Lakeside Avenue		Cleveland	OH	44114		216-586-3939	216-579-0212	dgheiman@jonesday.com	Counsel for WL. Ross & Co., LLC
Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee	Camille Hope	P.O. Box 954		Macon	GA	31202		478-742-8706	478-746-4488	cahope@chapter13macon.com	Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee
Office of the Texas Attorney General	Jay W. Hurst	P.O. Box 12548		Austin	TX	78711-2548		512-475-4861	512-482-8341	jay_hurst@oag.state.tx.us	Counsel for The Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
Orbotech, Inc.	Michael M. Zizza, Legal Manager	44 Manning Road		Billerica	MA	01821		978-901-5025	978-667-9969	michaelz@orbotech.com	Company
O'Rourke Katten & Moody	Michael C. Moody	161 N. Clark Street	Suite 2230	Chicago	IL	60601		312-849-2020	312-849-2021	mmoody@okmlaw.com	Counsel for Ameritech Credit Corporation d/b/a SBC Capital Services
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Alyssa Englund, Esq.	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103		212-506-5187	212-506-5151	aenglund@orrick.com	Counsel to America President Lines, Ltd. And APL Co. Pte Ltd.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Anthony Princi Esq Thomas L Kent Esq	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103		212-506-5000	212-506-5151	aprinici@orrick.com tkent@orrick.com	Counsel to Ad Hoc Committee of Trade Claimants
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Frederick D. Holden, Jr., Esq.	405 Howard Street		San Francisco	CA	94105		415-773-5700	415-773-5759	fholden@orrick.com	Counsel to America President Lines, Ltd. And APL Co. Pte Ltd.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Jonathan P. Guy	The Washington Harbour	3050 K Street, N.W.	Washington	DC	20007		202-339-8400	202-339-8500	jguy@orrick.com	Counsel for Westwood Associates, Inc.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Matthew W. Cheney	The Washington Harbour	3050 K Street, N.W.	Washington	DC	20007		202-339-8400	202-339-8500	mcheney@orrick.com	Counsel for Westwood Associates, Inc.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Richard H. Wyron	The Washington Harbour	3050 K Street, N.W.	Washington	DC	20007		202-339-8400	202-339-8500	rwron@orrick.com	Counsel for Westwood Associates, Inc.
Otterbourg, Steindler, Houston & Rosen, P.C.	Melissa A. Hager	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-661-9100	212-682-6104	mhager@oshr.com	Counsel for Sharp Electronics Corporation
Otterbourg, Steindler, Houston & Rosen, P.C.	Scott L. Hazan	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-661-9100	212-682-6104	shazan@oshr.com	Counsel for Sharp Electronics Corporation
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Curtis J. Weidler	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3157	212-373-2053	cweidler@paulweiss.com	Counsel for Ambrake Corporation; Akebono Corporation
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Douglas R. Davis	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	212-757-3990	ddavis@paulweiss.com	Counsel for Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Elizabeth R. McCole	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	212-757-3990	emccole@paulweiss.com	Counsel for Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Stephen J. Shimshak	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3133	212-373-2136	sshimshak@paulweiss.com	Counsel for Ambrake Corporation
Peggy Housner		Cadillac Place	3030 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 10-200	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-0140		housnerp@michigan.gov	Assistant Attorney General for State of Michigan, Department of Treasury
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Anne Marie Aaronson	3000 Two logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	215-981-4750	aaronsona@pepperlaw.com	Counsel for Capro, Ltd, Teleflex Automotive Manufacturing Corporation and Teleflex Incorporated d/b/a Teleflex Morse (Capro)
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Linda J. Casey	3000 Two logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	215-981-4750	caseyl@pepperlaw.com	Counsel for SKF USA, Inc.
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Henry Jaffe	1313 Market Street	PO Box 1709	Wilmington	DE	19899-1709		302-777-6500	302-421-8390	jaffeh@pepperlaw.com	Counsel for SKF USA, Inc.
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Francis J. Lawall	3000 Two logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	215-981-4750	lawallf@pepperlaw.com	Counsel for Capro, Ltd, Teleflex Automotive Manufacturing Corporation and Teleflex Incorporated d/b/a Teleflex Morse (Capro)
Pierce Atwood LLP	Jacob A. Manheimer	One Monument Square		Portland	ME	04101		207-791-1100	207-791-1350	jmanheimer@pierceatwood.com	Counsel for FCI Canada, Inc.; FCI Electronics Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.; FCI USA, Inc.; FCI Brasil, Ltda; FCI Automotive Deutschland GmbH; FCI Italia S. p.A.
Pierce Atwood LLP	Keith J. Cunningham	One Monument Square		Portland	ME	04101		207-791-1100	207-791-1350	kcunningham@pierceatwood.com	Counsel for FCI Canada, Inc.; FCI Electronics Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.; FCI USA, Inc.; FCI Brasil, Ltda; FCI Automotive Deutschland GmbH; FCI Italia S. p.A.
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Karen B. Dine	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	212-858-1500	karen.dine@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel for Clarion Corporation of America
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Margot P. Erlich	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	212-858-1500	margot.erlich@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel for MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Mark D. Houle	650 Town Center Drive	7th Floor	Costa Mesa	CA	92626-7122		714-436-6800	714-436-2800	mark.houle@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel for Clarion Corporation of America
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Richard L. Epling	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	212-858-1500	richard.epling@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel for MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Robin L. Spear	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	212-858-1500	robin.spear@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel for MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Pitney Hardin LLP	Ronald S. Beacher	7 Times Square		New York	NY	10036		212-297-5800	212-682-3485	rbeacher@pitneyhardin.com	Counsel for IBJTC Business Credit Corporation
Pitney Hardin LLP	Richard M. Meth	P.O. Box 1945		Morristown	NJ	07962-1945		973-966-6300	973-966-1015	rmeth@pitneyhardin.com	Counsel for Marshall E. Campbell Company
Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, P.C.	Brett S. Moore, Esq.	100 Southgate Parkway	P.O. Box 1997	Morristown	NJ	07960		973-538-4006	973-538-5146	bsmoore@pbnlaw.com	
Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, P.C.	John S. Mairo, Esq.	100 Southgate Parkway	P.O. Box 1997	Morristown	NJ	07960		973-538-4006	973-538-5146	jsmairo@pbnlaw.com	Counsel to Neuman Aluminum Automotive, Inc. and Neuman Aluminum Impact Extrusion, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Previant, Goldberg, Uelman, Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Jill M. Hartley and Marianne G. Robbins	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	414-271-6308	jh@previant.com mgr@previant.com	Counsel for International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
Pryor & Mandelup, LLP	A. Scott Mandelup, Kenneth A. Reynolds	675 Old Country Road		Westbury	NY	11590		516-997-0999	516-333-7333	asm@pryormandelup.com kar@pryormandelup.com	Counsel for National Molding Corporation; Security Plastics Division/NMC LLC
QAD, Inc.	Jason Pickering, Esq.	10,000 Midlantic Drive		Mt. Laurel	NJ	08054		856-840-2489	856-840-2740	iko@qad.com	Counsel to QAD, Inc.
Quadrangle Debt Recovery Advisors LLC	Andrew Herenstein	375 Park Avenue, 14th Floor		New York	NY	10152		212-418-1742	866-741-2505	andrew.herenstein@quadranglegroup.com	Counsel to Quadrangle Debt Recovery Advisors LLC
Quadrangle Group LLC	Patrick Bartels	375 Park Avenue, 14th Floor		New York	NY	10152		212-418-1748	866-552-2052	patrick.bartels@quadranglegroup.com	Counsel to Quadrangle Group LLC
Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP	John A. Harris	Renaissance One	Two North Central Avenue	Phoenix	AZ	85004-2391		602-229-5200	602-229-5690	jharris@quarles.com	Counsel for Semiconductor Components Industries, Inc.
Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP	Kasey C. Nye	One South Church Street		Tucson	AZ	85701		520-770-8717	520-770-2203	knye@quarles.com	Counsel for Offshore International, Inc.; Maquilas Teta Kawi, S.A. de C.V.; On Semiconductor Corporation
Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP	Scott R. Goldberg	Renaissance One	Two North Central Avenue	Phoenix	AZ	85004-2391		602-229-5200	602-229-5690	sgoldber@quarles.com	Counsel for Semiconductor Components Industries, Inc.
Reed Smith	Elena Lazarou	599 Lexington Avenue	29th Street	New York	NY	10022		212-521-5400	212-521-5450	elazarou@reedsmith.com	Counsel for General Electric Capital Corporation, Strategic Asset Finance.
Reed Smith	Richard P. Norton	One Riverfront Plaza	1st Floor	Newark	NJ	07102		973-621-3200	973-621-3199	rnorton@reedsmith.com	Counsel for Jason Incorporated, Sackner Products Division
Republic Engineered Products, Inc.	Joseph Lapinsky	3770 Embassy Parkway		Akron	OH	44333		330-670-3004	330-670-3020	jlapinsky@republicengineered.com	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
Riddell Williams P.S.	Joseph E. Shickich, Jr.	1001 4th Ave.	Suite 4500	Seattle	WA	98154-1195		206-624-3600	206-389-1708	jshickich@riddellwilliams.com	Counsel for Microsoft Corporation; Microsoft Licensing, GP
Rieck and Crotty PC	Jerome F Crotty	55 West Monroe Street	Suite 3390	Chicago	IL	60603		312-726-4646	312-726-0647	jcrotty@rieckcrotty.com	Counsel for Mary P. O'Neill and Liam P. O'Neill
Riemer & Braunstein LLP	Mark S. Scott	Three Center Plaza		Boston	MA	02108		617-523-9000	617-880-3456	msscott@riemerlaw.com	Counsel for ICX Corporation
Riverside Claims LLC	Holly Rogers	2109 Broadway	Suite 206	New York	NY	10023		212-501-0990	212-501-7088	holly@regencap.com	Riverside Claims LLC
Robinson, McFadden & Moore, P.C.	Annemarie B. Mathews	P.O. Box 944		Columbia	SC	29202		803-779-8900	803-771-9411	amathews@robinsonlaw.com	Counsel for Blue Cross Blue Shield of South Carolina
Ropers, Majeski, Kohn & Bentley	Christopher Norgaard	515 South Flower Street	Suite 1100	Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-312-2000	213-312-2001	cnorgaard@ropers.com	Counsel for Brembo S.p.A.; Bibielle S.p.A.; AP Racing
Ropes & Gray LLP	Gregory O. Kaden	One International Place		Boston	MA	02110-2624		617-951-7000	617-951-7050	gregory.kaden@ropesgray.com	Attorneys for D-J, Inc.
Ropes & Gray LLP	Marc E. Hirschfeld	45 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10111-0087		212-841-5700	212-841-5725	marc.hirschfeld@ropesgray.com	Attorneys for D-J, Inc.
Rosen Slome Marder LLP	Thomas R. Slome	333 Earle Ovington Boulevard	Suite 901	Uniondale	NY	11533		516-227-1600		tslome@rsmllp.com	Counsel for JAE Electronics, Inc.
Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc.	Charles E. Boulbol, P.C.	26 Broadway, 17th Floor		New York	NY	10004		212-825-9457	212-825-9414	rtrack@msn.com	Counsel to Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc.
Sachnoff & Weaver, Ltd	Charles S. Schulman, Arlene N. Gelman	10 South Wacker Drive	40th Floor	Chicago	IL	60606		312-207-1000	312-207-6400	cschulman@sachnoff.com agelman@sachnoff.com	Counsel for Infineon Technologies North America Corporation
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Christopher R. Belmonte	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	212-818-9606	cbelmonte@ssbb.com	Counsel to Moody's Investors Service
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Pamela A. Bosswick	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	212-818-9606	pbosswick@ssbb.com	Counsel to Moody's Investors Service
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Daniel Weiner	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340		dweiner@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel for Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Howard Borin	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340		hborin@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel for Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Max Newman	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340		mnewman@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel for Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Ryan Heilman	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340		rheilman@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel for Dott Industries, Inc.
Schiff Hardin LLP	Michael Yetnikoff	623 Fifth Avenue	28th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-753-5000	212-753-5044	myetnikoff@schiffhardin.com	Counsel for Means Industries

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Schiff Hardin LLP	William I. Kohn	6600 Sears Tower		Chicago	IL	60066		312-258-5500	312-258-5600	wkohn@schiffhardin.com	Counsel for Means Industries
Schiffirin & Barroway, LLP	Michael Yarnoff	280 King of Prussia Road		Radnor	PA	19087		610-667-7056	610-667-7706	mvarnoff@sbclasslaw.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Schiffirin & Barroway, LLP	Sean M. Handler	280 King of Prussia Road		Radnor	PA	19087		610-667-7706	610-667-7056	shandler@sbclasslaw.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Schulte Roth & Sabel LLP	James T. Bentley	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2273	212-593-5955	james.bentley@srz.com	Counsel for Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America
Schulte Roth & Sabel LLP	Michael L. Cook	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2000	212-595-5955	michael.cook@srz.com	Counsel for Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America; D.C. Capital Partners, L.P.
Schulte Roth & Sabel LLP	Carol Weiner Levy	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2000	212-595-5955	carol.weiner.levy@srz.com	Counsel for D.C. Capital Partners, L.P.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Paul M. Baisier, Esq.	1545 Peachtree Street, N.E.	Suite 700	Atlanta	GA	30309-2401		404-885-1500	404-892-7056	pbaisier@seyfarth.com	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Robert W. Dremluk, Esq.	1270 Avenue of the Americas	Suite 2500	New York	NY	10020-1801		212-218-5500	212-218-5526	rdremluk@seyfarth.com	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	William J. Hanlon	World Trade Center East	Two Seaport Lane, Suite 300	Boston	MA	02210		617-946-4800	617-946-4801	whanlon@seyfarth.com	Counsel for le Belier/LBQ Foundry S.A. de C.V.
Sheehan Phinney Bass + Green Professional Association	Steven E. Boyce	1000 Elm Street	P.O. Box 3701	Manchester	NH	03105-2347		603-627-8278	603-641-2347	sboyce@sheehan.com	Counsel for Source Electronics, Inc.
Sheldon S. Toll PLLC	Sheldon S. Toll	2000 Town Center	Suite 2550	Southfield	MI	48075		248-358-2460	248-358-2740	lawtoll@comcast.net	Counsel for Milwaukee Investment Company
Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, LLC	Robert P. Thibeaux	5353 Essen Lane	Suite 650	Baton Rouge	LA	70809		225-757-2185	225-757-7674	rthibeaux@shergarner.com	Counsel for Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Company
Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, LLC	Robert P. Thibeaux	909 Poydras Street	28th Floor	New Orleans	LA	70112-1033		504-299-2100	504-299-2300	rthibeaux@shergarner.com	Counsel for Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Company
Shipman & Goodwin LLP	Jennifer L. Adamy	One Constitution Plaza		Hartford	CT	06103-1919		860-251-5811	860-251-5218	bankruptcy@goodwin.com	Counsel to Fortune Plastics Company of Illinois, Inc.; Universal Metal Hose Co.,
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Andrew H. Sherman	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-643-7000	212-643-6500	asherman@sillscummis.com	Counsel for Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Jack M. Zackin	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-643-7000	212-643-6500	jzackin@sillscummis.com	Counsel for Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Silver Point Capital, L.P.	Chaim J. Fortgang	Two Greenwich Plaza	1st Floor	Greenwich	CT	06830		203-542-4216	203-542-4100	cfortgang@silverpointcapital.com	Counsel for Silver Point Capital, L.P.
Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP	Barbara Ellis-Monro	1230 Peachtree Street, N.E.	Suite 3100	Atlanta	GA	30309		404-815-3500	404-815-3509	bellis-monro@sgrlaw.com	Counsel for Southwire Company
Smith, Katzenstein & Furlow LLP	Kathleen M. Miller	800 Delaware Avenue, 7th Floor	P.O. Box 410	Wilmington	DE	19899		302-652-8400	302-652-8405	kmiller@skfdelaware.com	Counsel for Airgas, Inc.
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	D. Farrington Yates	1221 Avenue of the Americas	24th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-768-6700	212-768-6800	fyates@sonnenschein.com	Counsel for Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc.
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	Robert E. Richards	8000 Sears Tower	233 South Wacker Drive	Chicago	IL	60606		312-876-8000	312-876-7934	richards@sonnenschein.com	Counsel for Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc.
Sony Electronics Inc.	Lloyd B. Sarakin - Chief Counsel, Finance and Credit	1 Sony Drive	MD #1 E-4	Park Ridge	NJ	07656		201-930-7483		lloyd.sarakin@am.sony.com	Counsel to Sony Electronics, Inc.
Sotiropoff & Abramczyk, P.C.	Robert M. Goldi	30400 Telegraph Road	Suite 444	Bingham Farms	MI	48025		248-642-6000	248-642-9001	rgoldi@sotablaw.com	Counsel for Michigan Heritage Bank; MHB Leasing, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.	Eric Marcks	One Maritime Plaza	Suite 300	San Francisco	CA	94111-3492			415-393-9887	emarcks@ssd.com	Counsel for Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd. And Furukawa Electric North America, APD Inc.
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.	Penn Ayers Butler	600 Hansen Way		Palo Alto	CA	94304		650-856-6500	650-843-8777	pabutler@ssd.com	Counsel for Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd. And Furukawa Electric North America, APD Inc.
State of California Office of the Attorney General	Sarah E. Morrison	Deputy Attorney General	300 South Spring Street Ste 1702	Los Angeles	CA	90013		213-897-2640	213-897-2802	sarah.morrison@doj.ca.gov	Attorneys for the State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control
State of Michigan Department of Labor & Economic Growth, Unemployment Insurance Agency	Roland Hwang Assistant Attorney General	3030 W. Grand Boulevard	Suite 9-600	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-2210	313-456-2201	hwangr@michigan.gov	Assistant Attorney General for State of Michigan, Unemployment Tax Office of the Department of Labor & Economic Growth, Unemployment Insurance Agency
Steel Technologies, Inc.	John M. Baumann	15415 Shelbyville Road		Louisville	KY	40245		502-245-0322	502-245-0542	jmbaumann@steeltechnologies.com	Counsel for Steel Technologies, Inc.
Stein, Rudser, Cohen & Magid LLP	Robert F. Kidd	825 Washington Street	Suite 200	Oakland	CA	94607		510-287-2365	510-987-8333	rkidd@srcm-law.com	Counsel for Excel Global Logistics, Inc.
Steinberg Shapiro & Clark	Mark H. Shapiro	24901 Northwestern Highway	Suite 611	Southfield	MI	48075		248-352-4700	248-352-4488	shapiro@steinbergshapiro.com	Counsel for Bing Metals Group, Inc.; Central Transport International, Inc.; Crown Enterprises, Inc.; Economy Transport, Inc.; Logistics Insight Corp (LINC); Universal Am-Can, Ltd.; Universal Truckload Services, Inc.
Sterns & Weinroth, P.C.	Jeffrey S. Posta	50 West State Street, Suite 1400	PO Box 1298	Trenton	NJ	08607-1298		609-3922100	609-392-7956	jposta@sternslaw.com	Counsel for Doosan Infracore America Corp.
Stevens & Lee, P.C.	Chester B. Salomon, Esq. Constantine D. Pourakis, Esq.	485 Madison Avenue	20th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-319-8500	212-319-8505	cs@stevenslee.com cp@stevenslee.com	Counsel to Tonolli Canada Ltd.; VJ Technologies, Inc. and V.J. Electronix, Inc.
Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP	Mark A. Shaiken	1201 Walnut Street		Kansas City	MO	64106		816-842-8600	816-691-3495	mshaiken@stinsonmoheck.com	Counsel to Thyssenkrupp Waupaca, Inc. and Thyssenkrupp Stahl Company
Stites & Harbison PLLC	Robert C. Goodrich, Jr.	424 Church Street	Suite 1800	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-5200	615-782-2371	madison.cashman@stites.com	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Stites & Harbison PLLC	Madison L. Cashman	424 Church Street	Suite 1800	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-5200	615-782-2371	robert.goodrich@stites.com	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Stites & Harbison, PLLC	W. Robinson Beard, Esq.	400 West Market Street		Louisville	KY	40202		502-681-0448	502-779-8274	wbeard@stites.com	Counsel to WAKO Electronics (USA), Inc. and Ambrake Corporation
Stroock & Stroock & Lavan, LLP	Joseph G. Minias	180 Maiden Lane		New York	NY	10038		212-806-5400	212-806-6006	jminias@stroock.com	Counsel for 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties
Stroock & Stroock & Lavan, LLP	Kristopher M. Hansen	180 Maiden Lane		New York	NY	10038		212-806-5400	212-806-6006	khansen@stroock.com	Counsel for 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Swidler Berlin LLP	Robert N. Steinwurtzel	The Washington Harbour	3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300	Washington	DC	20007		202-424-7500	202-424-7645	rsteinwurtzel@swidlaw.com	Attorneys for Sanders Lead Co., Inc.
Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP	Richard L. Ferrell	425 Walnut Street	Suite 1800	Cincinnati	OH	45202-3957		513-381-2838		ferrell@taftlaw.com	Counsel for Wren Industries, Inc.
Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP	W Timothy Miller Esq	425 Walnut Street	Suite 1800	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-381-2838	513-381-0205	miller@taftlaw.com	Counsel for Select Industries Corporation and Gobar Systems, Inc.
Tennessee Department of Revenue	Marvin E. Clements, Jr.	c/o TN Attorney General's Office, Bankruptcy Division	PO Box 20207	Nashville	TN	37202-0207		615-532-2504	615-741-3334	marvin.clements@state.tn.us	Tennessee Department of Revenue
Thacher Proffitt & Wood LLP	Jonathan D. Forstot	Two World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-912-7679	212-912-7751	jforstot@tpw.com	Counsel for TT Electronics, Plc
Thacher Proffitt & Wood LLP	Louis A. Curcio	Two World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-912-7607	212-912-7751	lcurcio@tpw.com	Counsel for TT Electronics, Plc
The Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.	Mr. Tetsuhiro Niizeki	6-1 Marunouchi	2-Chrome, Chiyoda-ku	Tokyo	Japan	100-8322			81-3-3286-3919	niizeki.tetsuhiro@furukawa.co.jp	Legal Department of The Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.
The Timken Corporation BIC - 08	Robert Morris	1835 Dueber Ave. SW	PO Box 6927	Canton	OH	44706-0927		330-438-3000	1-330-471-4388	robert.morris@timken.com	Representative for Timken Corporation
Thelen Reid & Priest LLP	Daniel A. Lowenthal	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-603-2000	212-603-2001	dlowenthal@thelenreid.com	Counsel for Oki Semiconductor Company
Thelen Reid & Priest LLP	David A. Lowenthal	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-603-2000	212-603-2001	dlowenthal@thelenreid.com	Counsel for American Finance Group, Inc. d/b/a Guaranty Capital Corporation
Thompson & Knight	Rhett G. Cambell	333 Clay Street	Suite 3300	Houston	TX	77002		713-654-1871	713-654-1871	rhett.cambell@tklaw.com	Counsel for STMicroelectronics, Inc.
Thompson & Knight LLP	Ira L. Herman	919 Third Avenue	39th Floor	New York	NY	10022-3915		212-751-3045	214-999-9139	ira.herman@tklaw.com	Counsel for Victory Packaging
Thompson & Knight LLP	John S. Brannon	1700 Pacific Avenue	Suite 3300	Dallas	TX	75201-4693		214-969-1505	214-969-1609	john.brannon@tklaw.com	Counsel for Victory Packaging
Thurman & Phillips, P.C.	Ed Phillips, Jr.	8000 IH 10 West	Suite 1000	San Antonio	TX	78230		210-341-2020	210-344-6460	ephillips@thurman-phillips.com	Counsel for Royberg, Inc. d/b/a Precision Mold & Tool and d/b/a Precision Mold and Tool Group
Todd & Levi, LLP	Jill Levi, Esq.	444 Madison Avenue	Suite 1202	New York	NY	10022		212-308-7400		jlevi@toddlevi.com	Counsel to Bank of Lincolnwood
Togut, Segal & Segal LLP	Albert Togut, Esq.	One Penn Plaza	Suite 3335	New York	NY	10119		212-594-5000	212-967-4258	bmcdonough@teamtogut.com	Conflicts counsel to Debtors
Traub, Bonaquist & Fox LLP	Maura I. Russell	655 Third Avenue	21st Floor	New York	NY	10017		212-476-4770	212-476-4787	DBR@tbfesq.com	Counsel for SPCP Group LLC
Tyler, Cooper & Alcorn, LLP	W. Joe Wilson	City Place	35th Floor	Hartford	CT	06103-3488		860-725-6200	860-278-3802	wilson@tylercooper.com	Counsel for Barnes Group, Inc.
Underberg & Kessler, LLP	Helen Zamboni	300 Bausch & Lomb Place		Rochester	NY	14604		585-258-2800	585-258-2821	hazamboni@underbergkessler.com	Counsel for McAlpin Industries, Inc.
Union Pacific Railroad Company	Mary Ann Kilgore	1400 Douglas Street	MC 1580	Omaha	NE	68179		402-544-4195	402-501-0127	mkilgore@UP.com	Counsel for Union Pacific Railroad Company
United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO	David Jury, Esq.	Five Gateway Center	Suite 807	Pittsburgh	PA	15222		412-562-2549	412-562-2429	djury@steelworkers-usw.org	Counsel to United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO
Varnum, Riddering, Schmidt & Howlett LLP	Michael S. McElwee	Bridgewater Place	P.O. Box 353	Grand Rapids	MI	49501-0352		616-336-6827	616-336-7000	msmcElwee@varnumlaw.com	Counsel for Furukawa Electric North America APD
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP	Robert J. Sidman, Esq.	52 East Gay Street	P.O. Box 1008	Columbus	OH	43216-1008		614-464-6422	614-719-8676	rsidman@vssp.com	
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP	Tiffany Strelow Cobb	52 East Gay Street		Columbus	OH	43215		614-464-8322	614-719-4663	ts Cobb@vssp.com	Counsel for America Online, Inc. and its Subsidiaries and Affiliates
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz	Emil A. Kleinhaus	51 West 52nd Street		New York	NY	10019-6150		212-403-1000	212-403-2000	EAKleinhaus@wlrk.com	Counsel for Capital Research and Management Company
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz	Richard G. Mason	51 West 52nd Street		New York	NY	10019-6150		212-403-1000	212-403-2000	RGMason@wlrk.com	Counsel for Capital Research and Management Company
Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis, PLLC	David E. Lemke, Esq.	511 Union Street	Suite 2700	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-6380	615-244-6804	david.lemke@wallerlaw.com	Counsel to Nissan North America, Inc.
Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis, PLLC	Robert J. Weihoelter, Esq.	511 Union Street	Suite 2700	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-6380	615-244-6804	robert.weihoelter@wallerlaw.com	Counsel to Nissan North America, Inc.
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Stephen B. Grow	900 Fifth Third Center	111 Lyon Street, N.W.	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-752-2158		growsb@wnj.com	Counsel for Behr Industries Corp.
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Gordon J. Toering	900 Fifth Third Center	111 Lyon Street, N.W.	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-752-2185	616-222-2185	gtoering@wnj.com	Counsel for Robert Bosch Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Michael G. Cruse	2000 Town Center	Suite 2700	Southfield	MI	48075		248-784-5131	248-603-9631	mcruse@wnj.com	Counsel to Compuware Corporation
Warner Stevens, L.L.P.	Michael D. Warner	301 Commerce Street	Suite 1700	Fort Worth	TX	76102		817-810-5250	817-810-5255	bankruptcy@warnerstevens.com	Counsel for Electronic Data Systems Corp. and EDS Information Services, L.L.C.
Weiland, Golden, Smiley, Wang Ekvall & Strok, LLP	Lei Lei Wang Ekvall	650 Town Center Drive	Suite 950	Costa Mesa	CA	92626		714-966-1000	714-966-1002	lekvall@wgllp.com	Counsel for Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.
Weinstein, Eisen & Weiss LLP	Aram Ordubegian	1925 Century Park East	#1150	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-203-9393	310-203-8110	aordubegian@weineisen.com	Counsel for Orbotech, Inc.
Weltman, Weinberg & Reis Co., L.P.A.	Geoffrey J. Peters	175 South Third Street	Suite 900	Columbus	OH	43215		614-857-4326	614-222-2193	gpeters@weltman.com	Counsel to Seven Seventeen Credit Union
White & Case LLP	Glenn Kurtz Gerard Uzzi Douglas Baumstein	1155 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036-2787		212-819-8200		dkurtz@ny.whitecase.com guzzi@whitecase.com dbaumstein@ny.whitecase.com	Counsel for Appaloosa Management, LP
White & Case LLP	Thomas Lauria Frank Eaton	Wachovia Financial Center	200 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 4900	Miami	FL	33131		305-371-2700	305-358-5744	tlauria@whitecase.com featon@miami.whitecase.com	Counsel for Appaloosa Management, LP
Whyte, Hirschboeck Dudek S.C.	Bruce G. Arnold	555 East Wells Street	Suite 1900	Milwaukee	WI	53202-4894		414-273-2100	414-223-5000	barnold@whdlaw.com	Counsel for Schunk Graphite Technology
Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C.	Berry D. Spears	401 Congress Avenue	Suite 2100	Austin	TX	78701		512-370-2800	512-370-2850	bspears@winstead.com	Counsel for National Instruments Corporation
Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C.	R. Michael Farquhar	5400 Renaissance Tower	1201 Elm Street	Dallas	TX	75270		214-745-5400	214-745-5390	mfarquhar@winstead.com	Counsel for National Instruments Corporation
Winthrop Couchot Professional Corporation	Marc. J. Winthrop	660 Newport Center Drive	4th Floor	Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-720-4100	949-720-4111	mwinthrop@winthropcouchot.com	Counsel for Metal Surfaces, Inc.
Winthrop Couchot Professional Corporation	Sean A. O'Keefe	660 Newport Center Drive	4th Floor	Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-720-4100	949-720-4111	sokeefe@winthropcouchot.com	Counsel for Metal Surfaces, Inc.
WL Ross & Co., LLC	Oscar Iglesias	600 Lexington Avenue	19th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-826-1100	212-317-4893	oiglesias@wlross.com	Counsel for WL Ross & Co., LLC
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC	Lillian H. Pinto	300 North Greene Street	Suite 1900	Greensboro	NC	27402		336-574-8058	336-574-4528	lpinto@wcsr.com	Counsel for Armacell
Zeichner Ellman & Krause LLP	Peter Janovsky	575 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-223-0400	212-753-0396	pjanovsky@zeklaw.com	Counsel for Toyota Tsusho America, Inc.
Zeichner Ellman & Krause LLP	Stuart Krause	575 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-223-0400	212-753-0396	skrause@zeklaw.com	Counsel for Toyota Tsusho America, Inc.

EXHIBIT C

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	PARTY / FUNCTION
Akebono Corporation (North America)	Alan Swiech	34385 Twelve Mile Road		Farmington Hills	MI	48331		248-489-7406	866-609-0888	Vice President of Administration for Akebono Corporation
Damon & Morey LLP	William F. Savino	1000 Cathedral Place	298 Main Street	Buffalo	NY	14202-4096		716-856-5500	716-856-5510	Counsel for Relco, Inc.; The Durham Companies, Inc.
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	Geoffrey C. Jarvis	1201 North Market Street	Suite 2100	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-622-7000	302-622-7100	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employes's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
King & Spalding, LLP	Alexandra B. Feldman	1185 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-556-2100	212-556-2222	Counsel for Martinrea International, Inc.
Kirkland & Ellis LLP	Geoffrey A. Richards	200 East Randolph Drive		Chicago	IL	60601		312-861-2000	312-861-2200	Counsel for Lunt Manufacturing Company
North Point	Michelle M. Harner	901 Lakeside Avenue		Cleveland	OH	44114		216-586-3939	216-579-0212	Counsel for WL. Ross & Co., LLC
Terra Law LLP	David B. Draper	60 S. Market Street	Suite 200	San Jose	CA	95113		408-299-1200	408-998-4895	Counsel for Maxim Integrated Products, Inc.

EXHIBIT D

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	PARTY / FUNCTION
Jason, Inc.	Beth Klimczak, General Counsel	411 E. Wisconsin Ave	Suite 2120	Milwaukee	WI	53202			General Counsel for Jason Incorporated
Professional Technologies Services	John V. Gorman	P.O. Box #304		Frankenmuth	MI	48734		989-385-3230	Corporate Secretary for Professional Technologies Services

EXHIBIT E

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
David E. Springer (DS 9331)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. BUTLER IN SUPPORT OF DEBTORS' MOTION
FOR ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 6004
APPROVING (I) SUPPLEMENT TO UAW SPECIAL ATTRITION PROGRAM
AND (II) IUE-CWA SPECIAL ATTRITION PROGRAM

Kevin M. Butler declares:

1. Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates are debtors and debtors-in-possession in these chapter 11 cases (collectively, the "Debtors"). I submit this declaration in support of the Debtors' Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition Program and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program ("Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 2"). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this declaration have the meanings ascribed to them in the Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 2. I hereby incorporate by reference my Declaration In Support Of The Debtors' Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. P. 6004 Approving Debtors' Human Capital Hourly Attrition Programs, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. I have personal knowledge of all of the matters discussed in this declaration, or have obtained such knowledge from employees in the Company, and am competent to testify as follows:

2. I am the Vice President, Human Resource Management, of Delphi, and am responsible for oversight of Delphi's worldwide human resources. I am also a member of the Delphi Strategy Board, Delphi's top policy-making group, and I am the executive champion for Delphi's Personnel Task Team. I began my career in the automotive industry 30 years ago, in the Chevrolet Motor Division of General Motors Corporation ("GM"). I held a series of positions with GM, including production supervisor, plant personnel manager, senior health-care administrator, senior administrator of classified-employee compensation, and manager of executive compensation. In 1989, I was named the director of human resources for GM's former Hydramatic Division operations in Ypsilanti, Michigan. In 1991, I became the director of GM Health Care Plans, and in February 1995, I was promoted to general director of GM's Health Care Initiatives. I started working for what is now known as Delphi in 1997, when I became the

general director of human resources for Delphi Delco Electronics Systems. I have held my current position as Delphi's Vice President, Human Resource Management, since January 2000.

3. In my current position with Delphi, I am responsible for oversight of Delphi's human resources worldwide. In that capacity, I oversee Delphi's relations and collective bargaining with each of the Unions representing Delphi's hourly employees (the "Unions").

4. Except as otherwise indicated, all facts set forth in this declaration are based upon my personal knowledge, my review of relevant documents, my opinion, my experience with and knowledge of Delphi's labor relations, or are based upon knowledge obtained from Delphi employees reporting to me in the course of their duties. If I were called upon to testify, I could and would testify to the facts set forth herein.

Hourly Attrition Programs

5. As I previously stated in my declaration in support of the Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 1, the Debtors' labor agreements are unsustainable. Delphi has extremely high "all in" labor costs. Other automotive parts suppliers, both union and non-union, have total labor costs of approximately \$22 per hour. Delphi currently provides its traditional hourly employees with all-in wages and benefits (including legacy pension and OPEB) of \$78.63 per hour. Understandably, when the differential between the compensation an employee receives from an employer like Delphi and the compensation the employee could receive elsewhere is so great, employers like Delphi tend to have lower voluntary employee attrition rates. It is my understanding that between 2002 and 2004, Delphi's employees voluntarily terminated their employment at a rate of less than one percent, rising to a mere 1.7% in 2005. By comparison, the economy-wide average "quit rate" is 19 to 21 percent while the manufacturing sector "quit rate" is 15 percent.

6. In order to stem the Debtors' operating losses from their U.S. operations and achieve the Debtors' transformation, Delphi's existing labor agreements must be modified in three principal respects. First, Delphi must obtain hourly wage and benefit cost levels that are competitive with other U.S. auto parts suppliers. Currently, Delphi's total hourly labor costs, including legacy retirement liabilities, for its traditional production and skilled trades employees are approximately three times the labor costs of a typical U.S. auto parts supplier. Second, Delphi must address those provisions that limit Delphi's ability to respond to market forces by selling or closing non-core or unprofitable operations, and/or by laying off excess employees. Third, Delphi must address its substantial liabilities for retirement benefits for hourly employees and its costly retiree health care plans. The Hourly Attrition Programs are designed to begin to address, either directly or indirectly, each of these issues.

7. The value of the Debtors' estates is materially dependent on maintaining customer supply through rigorous shipping compliance at world-class quality levels as well as the ability to win profitable new business (especially non-GM business). The Debtors' ability to achieve shipping compliance, world-class quality, and customer confidence for new business is affected significantly by employee sentiment, focus, and efficiency. During trilateral discussions among the Debtors, the UAW, and GM, the parties expressed mutual concern regarding the difficulty and complexity of the issues surrounding the Debtors' restructuring. Indeed, there was deep concern that the prospects for significant risk to the business could arise if the parties could not respond to certain issues until a comprehensive settlement was reached on all issues.

8. On March 22, 2006, Delphi filed the Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving Debtors' Human Capital Hourly Attrition Programs (the "Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 1") in order to facilitate soft landings for a

large percentage of Delphi's hourly workforce, and alleviate some concern related to the potential filing of a motion under sections 1113 and 1114 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 1 sought approval and authorization to implement a tripartite agreement among Delphi, GM, and the UAW that would create retirement incentives and flow back opportunities for UAW-represented Delphi employees (the "UAW Special Attrition Program"). On April 7, 2006, the Bankruptcy Court approved the UAW Special Attrition Program.

9. As I stated in my declaration in support of the Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 1, I believe that the UAW Special Attrition Program is a significant step in enabling realignment of the Debtors' global product portfolio and manufacturing footprint, as well as reduction of the Debtors' traditional-rate hourly U.S. workforce to levels needed to run its realigned U.S. operations. In that regard, the UAW Supplement and the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program are integral components of the Debtors' transformation plan that will allow the Debtors to continue transforming their workforce.

10. The Debtors believe that it is in their best interests to offer buyout programs to their hourly workforce pursuant to the UAW Supplement and the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program. If Delphi were to wait until the existing labor agreements expired in September 2007, and then attempt unilaterally to impose significant wage, benefit, and other contractual changes on the grounds that Delphi had no obligation going forward because OPEB liabilities do not vest, I believe that course of action would virtually assure a labor disruption. As a result of such labor disruption, Delphi would be forced to shut down its customers, resulting in potential claims to Delphi's estate and resulting loss of business, including non-GM business.

UAW Supplement

11. As of June 20, 2006, approximately 9,800 of 14,000 eligible UAW hourly employees have elected to participate in the UAW Special Attrition Program. The acceptance rate of approximately 70% validates the Debtors' business judgment, and provides a starting point that will allow the Debtors to begin the transformation of their legacy workforce while providing a soft landing for their employees. In light of the success of the UAW Special Attrition Program, and to facilitate attrition and provide additional soft landing opportunities to its employees, Delphi with the UAW and GM entered into a tripartite agreement that would provide additional options to employees in addition to the UAW Special Attrition Program. The UAW Supplement includes two main components: (i) the addition of a class of employees (those with 26 years of credited service) to the pre-retirement program and (ii) lump sum buyouts to sever all ties with Delphi and GM (except vested pension benefits).

12. The UAW Special Attrition Program offered special voluntary placement in a pre-retirement program to employees with at least 27 and less than 30 years of credited service. The UAW Supplement is incremental to the prior pre-retirement program by adding an option to Delphi employees with at least 26 years of credited service. The Debtors estimate that approximately 400 additional UAW represented employees would be eligible to participate in the expanded pre-retirement program.

13. The UAW Supplement also included buyout options to UAW-represented employees to sever all ties with Delphi and GM, except vested pension benefits. Under the buyout options, employees with ten or more years of seniority or credited service, whichever is greater, would be eligible for \$140,000, traditional employees with fewer than ten years of seniority would be eligible for \$70,000, and employees hired under the Supplemental New Hire

Agreement prior to March 22, 2006 would be eligible for a buyout payment amount prorated to \$40,000, in each case, less withholdings (the "UAW Buyout Payments").

14. The UAW Buyout Payments are modeled on previous agreements reached between GM and/or Ford and their unions. Ford recently offered buyouts at the \$100,000-per-employee level. As detailed in the UAW Special Attrition Program, GM offered buyouts to GM employees represented by the UAW of \$140,000 for employees with ten years of service and \$70,000 for employees with fewer than 10 years of seniority. The Debtors believe that the amount of the UAW Buyout Payments are reasonable, within the range of similar buyout payments offered in the domestic auto industry, and in the best interests of the estates. Consequently, the Debtors believe it is appropriate to offer buyouts at the same level as GM offered to UAW-represented employees. Moreover, since the UAW negotiated buyout amounts with GM in March 2006, it was the expectation and demand of the UAW that the same opportunity be made available to eligible UAW-represented Delphi employees. The buyouts offered to UAW employees will reduce the number of traditional, high-wage and benefit employees as well as eliminate future OPEB obligations for those participants.

15. Under the terms of the UAW Supplement, GM and Delphi would each pay one-half of the total cost of the UAW Buyout Payments. Although participation rates cannot be predicted with precision, the Debtors estimate that approximately 20% of eligible UAW employees, who are not otherwise eligible for retirement incentives or pre-retirement programs through the UAW Special Attrition Program, will participate in the buyouts.

IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program

16. The implementation of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program is another important step in enabling Delphi to transform its workforce from legacy wages and benefits to a competitive labor cost structure. Approximately 25% of the Debtors' hourly workforce is represented by the IUE-CWA. The Debtors believe that the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program could provide approximately 8,000 existing IUE-CWA represented hourly employees with an opportunity for "soft landings," either through the retirement attrition program or the buyout program. I therefore believe that the implementation of this program, similar to the UAW Special Attrition Program, will accelerate necessary attrition and reduce the uncertainties and concerns over the impact of a negotiated consensual resolution, or in the alternative, a potential rejection of labor agreements and modifications of retiree benefits.

17. The IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program consists of a retirement component and a buyout component. The retirement component of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Component fundamentally is patterned after terms previously approved by the Court in connection with the UAW Special Attrition Program. The IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, like the UAW Special Attrition Program, offers a \$35,000 lump sum incentive payment to employees who are eligible to retire under the normal or early voluntary provisions of the Delphi Hourly-Rate Employees Pension Plan (the "HRP"). The total cost of these incentive payments would be paid by GM and would be retroactive to cover eligible employees who have retired since October 1, 2005. While GM will not be able to assert a claim against the Debtors on account of these payments, GM has informed Delphi that it will seek compensation for these payments in connection with any comprehensive agreement with Delphi. The Debtors estimate that approximately 1,800 employees are eligible to receive the lump sum retirement incentive

payments, and estimate that approximately 50 to 75 percent of eligible employees will elect to participate. An additional retirement element of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program is a mutually satisfactory retirement opportunity for those employees 50 years old or older with at least ten years of credited service. The Debtors estimate that approximately 825 employees are eligible to participate in the MSR option, and estimate that 50 to 75 percent of eligible employees will elect to participate.

18. The IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program also offers special voluntary placement in a pre-retirement program for employees with at least 26 and less than 30 years of credited service. The Debtors estimate that approximately 390 employees are eligible to participate in the pre-retirement program, and estimate that 50 to 75 percent of eligible employees will elect to participate. All participants in the retirement options are eligible to "check the box" and transition to GM for purposes of retirement, including OPEB at levels available to GM's IUE-CWA represented retirees.

19. The buyout component of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program is very similar to the UAW Buyout Payments described above. Under the terms of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, employees (other than those at Delphi's Gadsden, Alabama Operations) with ten or more years of seniority or credited service, whichever is greater, would be eligible for \$140,000, employees with three but fewer than ten years of seniority or credited service, whichever is greater, would be eligible for \$70,000, and employees with one but fewer than three years of seniority or credited service, whichever is greater, would be eligible for a buyout payment of \$40,000, paid in a lump sum less withholdings (the "IUE-CWA Buyout Payments"). GM and Delphi would each pay one-half of the total cost of the IUE-CWA Buyout

Payments (except for any payments made to participants who are employed at Delphi's New Brunswick operations, where previously agreed upon terms apply).

20. Although participation rates cannot be predicted with precision, the Debtors estimate that approximately 20 percent of eligible IUE-CWA employees, who are not otherwise eligible for retirement incentives or pre-retirement programs through the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, will participate in the buyouts. The Debtors believe the amount of the IUE-CWA Buyout Payments are reasonable, within the range of similar buyout amounts offered in the auto industry, and in the best interests of the estates. The buyouts offered to IUE-CWA employees will reduce the number of high-wage and benefit employees as well as eliminate future OPEB obligations.

Impact Of Hourly Attrition Programs

21. Regardless of whether consensual resolution is reached or whether Delphi receives Court authority under sections 1113 and 1114 of the Bankruptcy Code to reject and subsequently modify its collective bargaining agreements and modify its retiree benefits, Delphi believes that the UAW Supplement and the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program would be beneficial to Delphi's labor cost transformation because the Hourly Attrition Programs accelerate attrition and provide most of Delphi's long-term employees represented by the UAW and the IUE-CWA alternatives to continued employment at levels sought by Delphi's proposed labor contract modifications. It should be noted, however, that entry into the UAW Supplement and the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program will be without prejudice to the rights of the Debtors and all other parties-in-interest in all other aspects of these cases, including in the current proceedings under sections 1113 and 1114 of the Bankruptcy Code or in any pension termination proceeding under ERISA and/or the Bankruptcy Code.

22. It is anticipated that the Hourly Attrition Programs will result in an increase in Delphi's minimum pension funding obligation. An increase would occur regardless of whether the Court approves the Hourly Attrition Programs because, to transform their businesses, the Debtors will need to close unprofitable U.S. plants. These closures would also result in an increase of minimum pension funding obligations.

23. GM will receive certain allowed prepetition general unsecured claims against Delphi Corporation on account of the funding of one half of the total cost of the Buyout Payments and will likely assert additional prepetition general unsecured claims related to obligations assumed and/or undertaken by GM as part of the Hourly Attrition Programs. I believe Delphi could not have offered the Hourly Attrition Programs without GM's involvement in and financial support for these Programs. Many of Delphi's hourly employees will view the option to transition to GM for the purposes of retirement and receipt of GM OPEB as more appealing than retiring as a Delphi retiree. As of June 20, 2006, of the 9,776 retirement eligible Delphi employees electing to participate in the UAW Special Attrition Program, 9,775 have elected to "check the box" and receive GM OPEB. GM's financial support also enables the Debtors to provide their most senior hourly employees sufficient incentive to retire at an accelerated rate.

24. As the lead negotiator on the Hourly Attrition Programs for Delphi, prior to the execution of the UAW Supplement and the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, I had no discussions with GM regarding GM's assertion of claims against any Debtor estate other than the estate of Delphi Corporation.

25. I also believe that the Hourly Attrition Programs have had, and will continue to have, a positive effect on the overall negotiations between the Debtors, the Unions,

and GM. The implementation of the UAW Supplement and the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program are important steps in enabling Delphi to transform its workforce from legacy wages and benefits to a competitive labor cost structure, by accelerating necessary attrition and reducing the uncertainties and concerns over the impact of a negotiated consensual resolution, or in the alternative, a potential rejection of labor agreements and modification of retiree benefits. The Hourly Attrition Programs are essential to allow the Debtors to successfully reorganize and emerge from these chapter 11 cases.

26. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements are true and correct.

Executed on June 25, 2006 in Troy, Michigan.

/s/ Kevin M. Butler
Kevin M. Butler
Vice President, Human Resource Management

EXHIBIT F

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan, III (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

DECLARATION OF DAVID L. RESNICK IN SUPPORT OF DEBTORS' MOTION
FOR ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 6004
APPROVING (I) SUPPLEMENT TO UAW SPECIAL ATTRITION PROGRAM
AND (II) IUE-CWA SPECIAL ATTRITION PROGRAM

David L. Resnick declares:

1. Delphi Corporation ("Delphi" or the "Company") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates are debtors and debtors-in-possession in these chapter 11 cases (collectively, the "Debtors"). I submit this declaration in support of the Debtors' Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition Program, and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program ("Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 2"). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this declaration shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 2. The conclusions and analysis set forth below are based on my direct experience in the Debtors' chapter 11 cases, and on my expertise in complex restructuring cases more generally. If I were called on to testify, I could and would testify to the facts set forth herein:

2. I am a Managing Director at Rothschild Inc. ("Rothschild"), which maintains an office at 1251 Avenue of the Americas, 51st Floor, New York, New York 10020.

3. Rothschild is a member of one of the world's leading independent investment banking groups, with expertise in domestic and cross-border mergers, acquisitions, restructurings, privatization advice, and other financial advisory services, and with particular experience in providing high-quality investment banking and financial advisory services to financially-troubled companies. Rothschild has significant experience in a variety of industries, including the automobile industry. Our restructuring clients include debtors, bondholders, creditors' committees, single creditor classes, and secured creditors. I have more than 20 years of experience in investment banking, specializing in restructuring. In the course of my corporate restructuring engagements, I have been responsible for advising many large companies in all aspects of the chapter 11 process. In particular, I have advised the following companies or

creditors' committees on restructuring issues in and outside of chapter 11: Delphi, PG&E, TWA, Ad-hoc Creditors' Committee of Eurotunnel, Thermadyne, Service Merchandise, NTL (advised France Telecom), Next Wave (advised FCC), Orbimage, Crown Vantage, Bradley Pharmaceuticals, James River Coal, Superior Telecom, Guilford Mills, and Outsourcing Solutions. In addition, I have advised companies in the automobile sector, including Key Plastics and Guilford Mills.

4. I received a B.A. with high honors from Wesleyan University in 1981 and my M.B.A. and J.D. degrees from the University of Chicago in 1985. From 1985 to 1990, I worked at Merrill Lynch & Co. in the investment banking division specializing in merchant banking/restructuring and M&A. From 1990 to 1996, I worked at Lazard Frères & Co., handling restructuring and M&A assignments for clients in broad range of industries. From 1996 to 2000, I worked at the Peter J. Solomon Co., where I founded and headed the firm's restructuring group. From 2000 to the present, I have been a Managing Director of Rothschild, with responsibility for leading the firm's global restructuring practice.

5. I have provided expert testimony in the following cases: Barney's Inc. (by affidavit), Bedford Fair Industries, Crown Vantage Corporation, Delphi Corporation, Edison Brothers Stores, Inc., Key Plastics, Outsourcing Solutions, Inc., Service Merchandise Company, Inc., Thermadyne Holdings Corporation, Thorn Apple Valley, Inc., Today's Man, Inc., and TransWorld Airlines. I have no publications authored within the preceding ten years. I am not being compensated for my testimony in these Delphi's chapter 11 cases, but Rothschild, Inc. is being compensated in accordance with the Bankruptcy Court's Rothschild Retention Final Order, dated November 30, 2005.

6. I have been previously recognized as an expert by this Court in this matter, including in connection with Delphi's motion for relief under sections 1113 and 1114 of the Bankruptcy Code.

7. Rothschild is directly involved in all aspects of the Debtors' restructuring. Delphi retained Rothschild on May 1, 2005 as financial advisors and investment bankers. As part of this engagement, Rothschild has been retained to, among other things: (a) to the extent Rothschild deems necessary, appropriate and feasible, or as the Company may request, review and analyze the Company's assets and the operating and financial strategies of the Company; (b) assist the Company in developing and evaluating a range of strategic alternatives to restructure the Company's legacy liabilities, including without limitation the Company's current labor costs, liabilities for pension and other post-employment benefits; (c) review and analyze the business plans and financial projections prepared by the Company including, but not limited to, testing assumptions and comparing those assumptions to historical Company and industry trends; (d) evaluate the Company's debt capacity in light of its projected cash flows and assist in the determination of an appropriate capital structure for the Company; (e) assist or participate in negotiations with the parties in interest, including, without limitation, any current or prospective creditors of, holders of equity in, or claimants against the Company and/or their respective representatives in connection with a transaction; (f) advise and attend meetings of the Company's Board of Directors, creditor groups, official constituencies and other interested parties, as the Company determines to be necessary or desirable; and (g) render such other financial advisory and investment banking services as may be reasonably requested by the Company.

8. I am submitting this Declaration to address certain aspects of the Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 2, and in particular, the negotiations with and involvement of General Motors Corporation ("GM") in the Hourly Attrition Programs.

GM's Participation In Delphi's Hourly Attrition Programs

9. On April 7, 2006, the Bankruptcy Court approved the UAW Special Attrition Program. Thereafter, Delphi has continued discussions with its unions and GM in an attempt to reach an overall consensual resolution to Delphi's transformation issues, and also to reach incremental resolutions that will assist the parties in reaching that ultimate goal.

10. On June 5, 2006, Delphi entered into a tripartite agreement with GM and the UAW to provide additional options to employees beyond those offered in the UAW Special Attrition Program (the "UAW Supplement"). The UAW Supplement added a pre-retirement option to Delphi employees with at least 26 years of credited service. The UAW Supplement also included buyout options to UAW-represented employees to sever all ties with Delphi and GM except vested pension benefits. Under the buyout options, employees with ten or more years of seniority or credited service, whichever is greater, would be eligible for \$140,000, traditional employees with less than ten years of seniority would be eligible for \$70,000, and employees hired under the Supplemental New Hire Agreement prior to March 22, 2006 would be eligible for a buyout payment amount prorated to \$40,000, in each case, less withholdings (the "UAW Buyout Payments"). GM and Delphi would each pay one-half of the total cost of the UAW Buyout Payments.

11. On June 16, 2006, Delphi reached agreement with GM and the IUE-CWA on the terms of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, which largely mirrors the UAW Special Attrition Program and the UAW Supplement.

12. I was personally involved in the discussions and negotiations with GM concerning their participation in the UAW Supplement and the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program. Discussions with GM regarding the UAW Supplement and substantially all of the discussions with GM regarding the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program were completed no later than June 4, 2006.

13. Under the Hourly Attrition Program, GM has agreed to pay half of the total cost of the Buyout Payments with respect to both the UAW and the IUE-CWA. In exchange, GM will be granted an allowed prepetition unsecured claim for the total amount of Buyout Payments actually paid by GM. This financial support is critical to making the Buyout Payment option available to employees who otherwise would have had no attrition choice under the programs. During the negotiations concerning this aspect of the programs, GM expressed its understanding that Delphi's overall interests would be served by maximizing the number of employees who would be eligible for some kind of attrition option, and ultimately agreed to provide the 50% support of the total cost of the Buyout Payments to further that goal. This financial support is a postpetition benefit to the Debtors' estates. GM agreed, however, to accept only an allowed prepetition unsecured claim for these Buyout Payments. Because GM was not otherwise obligated to make the Buyout Payments, and because GM was willing to accept a prepetition unsecured claim, as opposed to an administrative or priority claim, I recommended that Delphi accept GM's proposal to provide the Buyout Payment support, in exchange for an allowed prepetition claim. At no time during the negotiations with GM was there any discussion

with me or to my knowledge any other member of the Delphi team regarding GM's assertion of a claim on account of the Hourly Attrition Program against subsidiary Debtors.

14. As compared to other alternatives available to Delphi to fund the Buyout Payments, such as using its available cash or through its DIP financing sources, it is my view that obtaining the support from GM in exchange for a prepetition unsecured claim is clearly in the Debtors' best interests.

15. Unlike the UAW, the IUE-CWA does not have flowback rights to GM. Thus, without further consideration there would be no opportunity for IUE employees to retire as GM employees, such as was provided under the UAW Special Attrition Plan. However, under the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Plan, GM did agree to provide a "check the box" alternative to employees exercising an early retirement option to allow them to retire as GM instead of Delphi employees. For those eligible Delphi IUE-CWA employees who "check the box," GM has agreed to assume Delphi's outstanding OPEB obligations to those employees. In exchange, GM insisted that it would be allowed to assert a prepetition unsecured claim for these obligations under Delphi's indemnity of GM under the Master Separation Agreement. The Debtors agreed with the further understanding that, in the event that GM asserts such a claim, the Debtors will retain the right to object to the economic value of such claim. From the Debtors' perspective, the ability to challenge the economic value of any such asserted claim is a critical aspect in terms of protecting estate value in the claims administration process. Moreover, all other parties-in-interest retain their rights to object to the allowance of any such claim under any grounds other than the fact that the claim is assertable under the Master Separation Agreement.

16. Here again, I advised Delphi that it should accept GM's support of the IUE-CWA "check the box" option in exchange for the provision of the prepetition unsecured

claim that GM may assert. Delphi believes that the "check the box" option is an important incentive for eligible employees to participate in the attrition program. Moreover, I understand from Delphi that the "check the box" option was a necessary condition demanded by the IUE-CWA leadership in the course of reaching this agreement. Thus, GM's support in this regard is valuable and indispensable.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements are true and correct.

Executed on June 25, 2006 in New York, New York

/s/ David L. Resnick
DAVID L. RESNICK

EXHIBIT G

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
David E. Springer (DS 9331)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York, 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

DECLARATION OF JOHN D. SHEEHAN IN SUPPORT OF DEBTORS' MOTION
FOR ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 6004
APPROVING (I) SUPPLEMENT TO UAW SPECIAL ATTRITION PROGRAM
AND (II) IUE-CWA SPECIAL ATTRITION PROGRAM

John D. Sheehan declares as follows:

1. Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates are debtors and debtors-in-possession in these chapter 11 cases. I submit this declaration in support of the Debtors' Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (a) the Supplement to the UAW Special Attrition Program and (b) the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (together, the "Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 2"). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this declaration have the meanings ascribed to them in the Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 2.

2. I am the Vice President, Chief Restructuring Officer, and Chief Accounting Officer for Delphi Corporation (which, with certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, the debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases, are referred to collectively and variously herein as "Delphi" or the "Debtors"). I joined Delphi in July 2002 as Chief Accounting Officer and Controller. On March 4, 2005, I also assumed the position of acting Chief Financial Officer, a position that I held until October 8, 2005, when I was appointed Chief Restructuring Officer. I am familiar with, and have had direct personal involvement with, the events and circumstances giving rise to the Debtors' decision to seek chapter 11 protection on October 8, 2005 (the "Initial Filing Date"). Since the Initial Filing Date, I have been involved to some degree in virtually all of the significant decisions made by the Debtors in connection with these chapter 11 cases.

3. Except as otherwise indicated, all facts set forth in this declaration are based upon my personal knowledge, my review of relevant documents, my opinion, my experience with and knowledge of Delphi's financial condition, or are based upon knowledge obtained

from Delphi employees reporting to me in the course of their duties. If I were called upon to testify, I could and would testify to the facts set forth herein.

Background: The UAW Special Attrition Program

4. On March 22, 2006, the Debtors filed their Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving Debtors' Human Capital Hourly Attrition Programs (the "Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 1"). By the hearing date for the Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 1 (April 7, 2006), the Debtors, GM, and the UAW had negotiated a specific attrition program (the "UAW Special Attrition Program") that focused on incentivizing retirement-eligible hourly UAW Delphi employees to retire and offering certain pre-retirement options to UAW employees with at least 27 years of credited service. The UAW Special Attrition Program was the product of extensive negotiations between the Debtors, the UAW and GM. On April 7, 2006, this Court approved the UAW Special Attrition Program and indicated that it anticipated similar attrition terms to be offered to the Debtors' other unions.

5. I submitted a declaration in support of the Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 1, dated April 4, 2006 ("April 4 Declaration"), a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1 hereto. My April 4 Declaration explains the basis for the Debtors' business judgment that implementing hourly attrition programs would benefit the Debtors' estates financially and operationally and would promote a "soft landing" for the Debtors' union workforce in furtherance of the Debtors' transformation plan. Specifically, my April 4 Declaration explains that the Debtors carefully considered the cost of payments to eligible employees (to the extent these costs are not borne by GM) and modeled net cash flow benefits and the reduction of operating losses in comparison to the Company's projections under status quo assumptions over the next five years (i.e., in comparison with the "Steady State" projections). It is worth emphasizing that these various

financial analyses¹ modeled the impact of hourly attrition programs, at various hypothetical participation levels, for all of the Debtors' retirement-eligible union workers and was not limited to analyzing the impact of potential attrition by eligible UAW members. Although the Debtors have since revised the Steady State projections to reflect actual 2006 results, I believe that the analysis performed in March 2006 that supported the implementation of hourly attrition programs remains valid today and supports the Debtors' agreement with GM to offer the IUE-CWA similar attrition incentives for its retirement-eligible and near-retirement-eligible workforce.

6. The successful implementation of the UAW Special Attrition Program has confirmed the validity of the Debtors' business judgment. As of June 20, 2006, approximately 9,800 of approximately 14,000 eligible UAW workers have elected to participate in the UAW Special Attrition Program. This acceptance rate of 70 percent validates the Debtors' business judgment that the UAW Special Attrition Program would be a key step in the Debtors' transformation of their legacy workforce while providing a soft landing for many longstanding union employees. Delphi's Board has been updated on the beneficial net cash flow impacts of the UAW Special Attrition program in comparison with the Steady State projections (as adjusted in light of first quarter 2006 results), including a detailed presentation on this subject at its May 1, 2006 board meeting.

7. Through the Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 2, the Debtors seek authority to offer similar retirement incentives to retirement-eligible and near-retirement-eligible IUE-CWA workers. In addition, the Debtors seek through the motion to build upon the hourly attrition plan previously authorized by this Court, as explained in detail below, by offering a pre-

¹ The financial analyses relating to the Debtors' business judgment were produced to objecting parties during discovery prior to the April 7, 2006 hearing on the Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 1.

retirement program to employees with 26 years of credited service, and by offering one-time, lump sum buy-outs to its UAW and IUE-CWA work force, backed by additional financial support from GM. All of these terms are the product of intensive negotiations between Delphi, GM, and their unions over the past two months. The Debtors believe that the relief sought in the motion is essential to improving the prospects of a comprehensive, consensual resolution of the Debtors' legacy labor cost issues.

8. The implementation of the UAW Supplement and the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program will: (a) continue the progress made through the UAW Special Attrition Program, (b) accelerate necessary attrition and reduce the uncertainties and concerns over the impact of a negotiated consensual resolution, and (c) in the alternative, reduce the impact of any court-approved rejection of labor agreements and modification of retiree benefits. By creating a soft landing for a large number of Delphi's hourly employees, the Hourly Attrition Programs will better position the Debtors to pursue successfully their plan to reduce the size of their U.S. labor force consistent with their transformation plan.

The UAW Supplement

9. On April 7, 2006, the Bankruptcy Court approved the UAW Special Attrition Program. The UAW Special Attrition Program provided retirement incentives to certain Delphi employees represented by the UAW. The UAW Special Attrition Program offered three options to certain eligible UAW employees: (a) a lump sum payment of \$35,000 (paid by GM) to employees who are eligible to retire under the normal or early voluntary provisions of the Delphi Hourly-Rate Employees Pension Plan (the "HRP"), (b) mutually satisfactory retirement to employees age 50 or older with ten or more years of credited service who were eligible to retire

under the MSR provisions of the HRP, and (c) special voluntary placement in a pre-retirement program for employees with at least 27 years and less than 30 years of credited service.

10. The June 5, 2006 Supplement to the UAW-GM-Delphi Special Attrition Program Agreement ("UAW Supplement") provides two additional options for UAW employees: (i) hourly employees with at least 26 years of service and fewer than 27 years of service also would be eligible for voluntary placement in a pre-retirement program and would receive gross monthly payments of \$2,750 while in the program (representing an approximate 40 to 50 percent reduction from average monthly wages) and (ii) virtually all UAW-represented hourly employees would be offered buyouts paid in equal parts by GM and Delphi to sever all ties with Delphi and GM (other than vested pension benefits), with employees with ten or more years of seniority or credited service eligible for a \$140,000 payment, employees with fewer than ten years of seniority or credited service eligible for a \$70,000 payment, and employees hired under the Supplemental New Hire Agreement prior to March 22, 2006 eligible for a buyout payment amount prorated to \$40,000 (the "Buyout Payments").²

11. I believe it is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, and their stakeholders to provide the additional pre-retirement option offered in the UAW Supplement. The UAW Special Attrition Program offered special voluntary placement in a pre-retirement program to employees with at least 27 and fewer than 30 years of credited service. The UAW Supplement provides a new pre-retirement option to employees with 26 years of credited service (which is consistent with the GM-UAW program addressing situations in which GM's traditional wage positions are to be eliminated). The Debtors estimate that approximately 400 UAW em-

² Employees eligible to select more than one option under the UAW Special Attrition Plan or the UAW Supplement must select one option only (i.e., for example, a retirement-eligible employee cannot select both the \$35,000 lump sum payment and the Buyout Payment).

employees would be eligible to participate in the expanded pre-retirement program. The new options available under the UAW Supplement would provide the Debtors more flexibility in reshaping and reducing their workforce, while providing a soft landing option to more employees who have significant years of service. The Debtors made this option available with the benefit of knowing the level of participation to date among employees with 27 to 30 years of service (as of June 20, 2006, the participation rate in the UAW pre-retirement program was approximately 70 percent).

12. As a result of the new option under the pre-retirement program, the Debtors would increase the balance in the \$75 million segregated account established by the UAW Special Attrition Program by an additional \$15 million.

13. Further, with the benefit of knowing the results to date of participation in the UAW Special Attrition Program, the Debtors also have reasonably determined that offering Buyout Payments to UAW-represented employees, along the lines offered by GM to its UAW workers under the UAW Special Attrition Program, is in the best interests of the Debtors. Under the terms of the UAW Supplement, GM and Delphi would each pay one-half of the Buyout Payments. The Debtors estimate that approximately 20 percent of eligible UAW employees, who are not otherwise eligible for retirement through the UAW Special Attrition Program, will participate in the buyouts, at a cost of approximately \$75 million to the Debtors. GM will receive an allowed prepetition, general unsecured claim against Delphi Corporation in the aggregate amount of the total cost of the Buyout Payments actually paid by GM pursuant to the UAW Supplement. It is reasonable to assume that only a small number of retirement-eligible UAW workers who opted for the \$35,000 retirement incentive will change their minds and opt for the buyout payments instead, in light of the attendant loss of OPEB benefits.

14. The amounts of the Buyout Payments are structured similar to GM's existing buyout offer to its UAW employees (and for this reason Delphi's unions could not reasonably have been expected to agree to a lesser level). The Debtors believe that the Buyout Payments are reasonable and in the best interest of the Debtors' estates because the Debtors will be able to either reduce its workforce to the Company's need-to-run level and replace certain accepting employees with lower cost employees, thereby continuing to transform Delphi's legacy workforce. In addition, Delphi will no longer be obligated to make future OPEB payments for the UAW employees accepting the buyout.

15. The UAW Supplement's new options are enabled by financial support from GM. Implementation of the UAW Supplement would provide UAW-represented employees with additional opportunities for soft landings not previously offered under the UAW Special Attrition Program and reduce JOBS Bank and SUB (supplemental unemployment benefit) obligations pending resolution of job security and site wind down contract modifications proposed by Delphi.

The IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program

16. The IUE-CWA agreement fundamentally is patterned after terms previously approved by this Court, aside from the Buyout Payment. The IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program will provide additional soft landing opportunities to approximately 1,800 retirement-eligible employees, approximately 825 MSR-eligible employees, and approximately 390 employees with between 26 and 30 years of credited service. The IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program is another significant step toward enabling the realignment of the Debtors' global product portfolio and manufacturing footprint, as well as the reduction of the Debtors' traditional-rate hourly U.S. workforce to levels needed to run its realigned U.S. operations.

17. Delphi has agreed to establish a segregated account in the amount of \$12 million for funding obligations under the pre-retirement option for employees with at least 26 and less than 30 years of credited service.

18. The Debtors estimate that approximately 8,000 employees represented by the IUE-CWA would be eligible to participate in the Buyout Payments described above. The Debtors estimate that slightly more than 1,000 IUE-CWA-represented employees would participate in the buyout program (approximately 20 percent of those eligible employees who are not otherwise eligible for the retirement lump-sum payment or the pre-retirement program), resulting in an approximate cost of \$120 million to be shared equally by the Debtors and GM.

19. The Debtors estimate that each individual event of IUE-CWA attrition pursuant to a Buyout Payment would result in positive cash flow such that, depending upon the timing and demographics of the individuals participating, the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program may be cash flow positive beginning in 2006 and would generate positive cash flow each year thereafter. Between 2006 and 2010, the Debtors expect to generate substantial cost savings associated with the reduction in headcount provided by the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program and, in those instances in which replacements might be needed, as a result of replacing IUE-CWA eligible employees with lower-cost temporary and competitive rate employees.

20. As described in the Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 2, GM will receive a general unsecured claim against Delphi Corporation in the aggregate amount of the total cost of the UAW and IUE-CWA Buyout Payments actually paid by GM. In addition, GM may assert claims against the estate of Delphi Corporation on account of certain obligations that it assumes under the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement, including with respect to employees who choose to retire as GM employees. The Hourly Attrition Program Agreements

expressly provide, however, that nothing therein would be deemed to create an administrative or priority claim with respect to GM or to convert a prepetition claim into a postpetition claim or an administrative expense with respect to any party to the Agreement.

21. Although I have described above certain cash-flow positive aspects of the Hourly Attrition Programs, Delphi's minimum pension funding obligations would increase as a result of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program. Moreover, depending upon the number and mix of employees who elect to participate in the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation's claim for unfunded benefit liabilities in the event of a potential termination of the HRP could also increase. Nevertheless, the Debtors believe that the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program constitutes an important step forward in implementing the Debtors' transformation plan because it reduces the workforce on a voluntary basis and in a manner that increases the likelihood of a global, consensual resolution while reducing the risk of labor disruptions.

22. In sum, the Debtors believe that implementing the Hourly Attrition Programs, and the sharing of the costs of the Hourly Attrition Programs between Delphi and GM, will likely improve the Debtors' net cash flow in 2006 and each year thereafter. More importantly, the attrition program continues progress in the necessary reduction of the Debtors' unsustainable, high-cost labor force. The Debtors intend to continue seeking a resolution of their remaining labor and legacy issues, preferably through a consensual agreement but, if necessary, through the continuation of litigated proceedings under federal law including sections 1113 and 1114 of the Bankruptcy Code. Therefore, I believe that this Court's approval of the Hourly Attrition Programs is clearly in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, and all parties-in-interest.

23. I declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the foregoing statements are true and correct.

Executed on June 25, 2006 in Troy, Michigan:

/s/ John D. Sheehan
JOHN D. SHEEHAN

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
David E. Springer (DS 9331)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York, 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

DECLARATION OF JOHN D. SHEEHAN IN SUPPORT OF THE DEBTORS'
MOTION FOR ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 363(B) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 6004
APPROVING DEBTORS' HUMAN CAPITAL HOURLY ATTRITION PROGRAMS

John D. Sheehan declares as follows:

1. Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates are debtors and debtors-in-possession in these Chapter 11 cases. I submit this declaration in support of the Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving Debtors' Human Capital Hourly Attrition Programs ("Attrition Programs Motion"). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this declaration shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Attrition Programs Motion.

2. I am the Vice President, Chief Restructuring Officer, Chief Accounting Officer, and Controller for Delphi Corporation (which, with certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, the debtors, and the debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases, are referred to collectively and variously herein as "Delphi" or the "Debtors"). I joined Delphi in July 2002 as Chief Accounting Officer and Controller. On March 4, 2005, I also assumed the position of acting Chief Financial Officer, a position that I held until October 8, 2005, when I was appointed Chief Restructuring Officer. Consequently, I am familiar with, and personally was involved in, the events and circumstances giving rise to the Debtors' decision to seek chapter 11 protection on October 8, 2005 (the "Initial Filing Date"). Since the Initial Filing Date, I have been involved at some level in virtually all of the significant decisions made by the Debtors in connection with these chapter 11 cases.

3. Except as otherwise indicated, all facts set forth in this declaration are based upon my personal knowledge, my review of relevant documents, my opinion, my experience with and knowledge of Delphi's financial condition, or are based upon knowledge obtained from Delphi employees reporting to me in the course of their duties. If I were called upon to testify, I could and would testify to the facts set forth herein.

Financial Impact of Implementation of the Hourly Attrition Programs

4. As described in my Declaration in Support of Delphi's Motion for Authority to Reject Collective Bargaining Agreements Under 11 U.S.C. § 1113(c) and Modify Retiree Welfare Benefits Under 11 U.S.C. § 1114(g) dated March 31, 2006, on February 14, 2006, Delphi's management reviewed with its Board of Directors Delphi's progress in creating a five-year business plan to guide its restructuring efforts. In formulating its restructuring business plan, Delphi began by determining a "Steady State Scenario" that represents Delphi's best estimate of costs and revenue based on (a) the assumption that Delphi's existing labor agreements continued in effect, (b) the assumption that Delphi retained all of its existing lines of business, and (c) Delphi's best estimate of business volumes, pricing, and material costs based on existing economic trends. Put simply, the Steady State Scenario assumes that the Debtors continue to operate without restructuring their operations or capital structure. The Steady State Scenario did not consider the potential effect of the Hourly Attrition Programs.

5. In creating the Steady State Scenario, Delphi took a "bottom-up" approach and conducted an in-depth evaluation of each of its businesses, taking into account revenue and costs forecasts in light of changed economic conditions, including the chapter 11 filings. Delphi concluded that most of the economic trends leading to Delphi's current financial crisis – GM's loss of market share, reduced GM revenues, pressure for price-downs, higher material costs, and the like – will continue for the foreseeable future.

6. Under Delphi's Steady State Scenario, Delphi projects an operating loss of \$8.1 billion, and a net loss of \$12.9 billion over the five-year period from 2006-2010. As with its historical financial results, these losses are in large part attributable to Delphi's U.S. operations. Under the Steady State Scenario, even with the deterioration in revenue and costs that Delphi has

assumed for 2006 to 2010, Delphi's projections show that Delphi's international operations will be profitable during the period, earning approximately \$3.4 billion in operating income over the five-year period. These same projections show that Delphi's North American operations, on the other hand, would lose approximately \$11.5 billion in operating income during the same period. Delphi's North American operations perform better than the U.S. operations alone.

7. On March 22, 2006, the Debtors filed the Attrition Programs Motion. As is described in the Attrition Programs Motion, it is the product of extensive negotiations between the Debtors, the UAW and GM. Throughout those negotiations, Delphi's Board of Directors was kept apprised of the status of such negotiations, the potential outcomes, and the financial impact of the terms of the parties' likely agreement. Specifically, Delphi's professional advisors and management, including me, provided the Board of Directors with information regarding the ongoing negotiations at meetings held on March 9, March 14, and March 17, 2006. Delphi's Board of Directors was also provided with additional information regarding the Hourly Attrition Programs following the filing of the Attrition Programs Motion on March 29, 2006.

8. In modeling the financial impact of the implementation of the Hourly Attrition Plans, the Debtors carefully considered, among other things, the cost of payments to Eligible Employees under the Plans (to the extent not being borne by GM), potential pension impacts, the cost savings of reductions in Delphi's hourly workforce and of replacing Eligible Employees with lower-cost temporary and nontraditional rate employees as needed.

9. The Debtors also considered the sensitivity of their financial model by utilizing multiple sets of assumptions. In particular, the Debtors modeled the financial impact assuming each of (a) a 100 percent acceptance rate of the Programs by Eligible Employees; (b) a 75 percent acceptance rate of the Programs by Eligible Employees; and (c) a 65 percent accep-

tance rate of the Programs by Eligible Employees who are eligible to retire under the normal or early voluntary provisions of the Delphi Hourly-Rate Employees Pension Plan ("HRP") and a 55 percent acceptance rate of the Programs by Eligible Employees who are eligible to retire under the MSR (or mutually satisfactory retirement) provisions of the HRP.

10. Under each of the above-described sets of assumptions, the Debtors estimate that implementation of the Hourly Attrition Programs would generate significant positive cumulative net cash flow during the five-year period from 2006 through 2010 as compared with the Steady State Scenario. Delphi's costs with respect to the implementation of the Hourly Attrition Programs would be immediately paid back by GM's agreement to assume non-pension post-retirement employee benefit (i.e., health care coverage and life insurance benefits) obligations for Eligible Employees flowing back to GM.

11. As noted above, the analyses of the financial impact of implementation of the Hourly Attrition Programs were presented to the Board of Directors, including a detailed presentation of the financial impact models described above, on March 14, 2006. On March 17, 2006, the Board of Directors, in the exercise of its business judgment, formally approved and adopted the UAW Special Attrition Program Agreement on the terms presented to it by Delphi's professional advisors and management.

12. In addition, the Debtors also developed models of the affect of implementation of the Hourly Attrition Programs on the Debtors' projected operating income under the Steady State Scenario. The Debtors modeled the affect of implementation of the Hourly Attrition Programs on projected operating income under two sets of alternative assumptions: (a) a 100 percent acceptance rate of the Programs by Eligible Employees and (b) a 75 percent acceptance rate of the Programs by Eligible Employees. Under either set of assumptions, implementation of

the Hourly Attrition Programs would improve Delphi's financial projections in the sense of reducing (but not eliminating) the projected losses. Under even the more conservative scenario, implementation of the Hourly Attrition Programs would reduce projected operating losses over the five-year period from 2006-2010 by nearly \$2 billion.

13. However, as noted above, under the Steady State Scenario, Delphi projects an operating loss of \$8.1 billion, and a net loss of \$12.9 billion, over the same period. Thus, while implementation of the Hourly Attrition Programs is a critical first step in enabling Delphi to transform its workforce from legacy wages and benefits to a competitive labor cost structure, it does not alleviate the need for the modifications that Delphi seeks in its labor agreements pursuant to the Motion for Authority to Reject Collective Bargaining Agreements Under 11 U.S.C. § 1113(c) and Modify Retiree Welfare Benefits Under 11 U.S.C. § 1114(g) dated March 31, 2006.

Other Impacts of Implementation of the Hourly Attrition Programs

14. As described in the Attrition Program Motion, GM will likely assert claims against the Debtors related to obligations assumed and/or undertaken by GM as part of the UAW Special Attrition Program. The UAW Special Attrition Program Agreement expressly provides, however, that nothing therein would be deemed to create an administrative or priority claim with respect to GM or to convert a prepetition claim into a postpetition claim or an administrative expense with respect to any party to the Agreement. Further, entry into the UAW Special Attrition Program Agreement does not prejudice the rights of any interested party (including the Debtors and the Creditors' Committee) with respect to, among other things, the administration, reconciliation, and ultimate allowance, if ever, of such assertable claims. With certain exceptions, the Debtors believe that any claims that would be asserted by GM under the UAW

Special Attrition Program Agreement would relate to claims that GM would have otherwise asserted against Delphi under certain other agreements between GM and Delphi even absent entry into the UAW Special Attrition Program Agreement.¹

15. The Debtors also acknowledge that the Debtors' minimum pension funding obligations would increase as a result of the Hourly Attrition Programs. Moreover, depending upon the number and mix of employees who elect to participate in the Hourly Attrition Programs, the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation's claim for unfunded benefit liabilities in the event of a potential termination of the HRP could also increase.

16. Nevertheless, the Debtors believe that the Hourly Attrition Programs constitute an important first step in implementing their transformation plan. In total, the Debtors believe that implementing the Hourly Attrition Programs, and the sharing of the costs of the Hourly Attrition Programs between the Debtors and GM, will improve the Debtors' net cash flow and reduce the Debtors' projected operating losses in comparison to the status quo over the five-year period from 2006 through 2010. Therefore, I believe that this Court's approval of the Hourly Attrition Programs is clearly in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates and all parties-in-interest.

¹ It is possible that a significant portion of the claims that may be asserted by GM under the UAW Special Attrition Program Agreement would relate to GM's assumption of OPEB obligations for Eligible Employees who elect to flowback to GM. Although Appaloosa Management L.P. ("Appaloosa"), which has objected to the Attrition Programs Motion, has previously argued that Delphi's OPEB obligations would "go away because the collective bargaining agreements go away in 2007," the Debtors do not consider this argument tenable.

I declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the foregoing statements are true and correct.

Executed on April 4, 2006, in Troy, Michigan.

/s/ John D. Sheehan

John D. Sheehan

EXHIBIT H

Hearing Date: June 29, 2006
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X	
	:
In re	: Chapter 11
	:
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	: Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
	:
	:
-----X	

PROPOSED HUMAN CAPITAL HOURLY ATTRITION PROGRAMS MOTION NO.2 HEARING
AGENDA

Location Of Hearing: United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, Room 601, 6th Floor, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004-1408

The matters set for hearing are divided into the following categories for the purposes of this Proposed Agenda:

- A. Introduction
- B. Contested Matters (1 Matter)

B. Contested Matters

- 1. **"Human Capital Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 2"** – Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(B) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement To UAW Special Attrition Program, And (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No. 4269)

Response Filed: Preliminary Objection Of The Ad Hoc Equity Committee To The Debtors' Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (i) Supplement To UAW Special Attrition Program And (ii) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No. 4292);

Preliminary Objection Of Wilmington Trust Company, As Indenture Trustee, To Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement To UAW Special Attrition Program, And (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No. 4343)

Limited Objection Of The Official Committee Of Equity Security Holders In Opposition To Debtors' Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement To UAW Special Attrition Program, And (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No. 4369);

Limited Objection Of The Official Committee Of Unsecured Creditors To Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement To UAW Special Attrition Program, And (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No. 4378)

*Limited Objection Of Wilmington Trust Company,
As Indenture Trustee, To Motion For Order Under
11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004
Approving (I) Supplement To UAW Special Attrition
Program, And (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition
Program (Docket No. 4379)*

*Supplemental Limited objection Of The Ad Hoc
Equity Committee To The Debtors' Motion For
Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr.
P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement To UAW Special
Attrition Program, And (II) IUE-CWA Special
Attrition Program (Docket No. 4381);*

*Limited Objection of Wilmington Trust Company, as
Indenture Trustee, to Motion for Order Under 11
U.S.C. Section 363(B) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004
Approving (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition
Program, and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition
Program (Docket No. 4390)*

*Reply Filed: Debtors' Omnibus Reply To Objections To Motion
For Order Under 11 U.S.C. Section 363(b) And Fed.
R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement To
UAW Special Attrition Program And (II) IUE-CWA
Special Attrition Program (Docket No. 4398)*

*Related Filing: Response Of IUE-CWA In Support Of Debtors'
Motion For Approval Of IUE-CWA Special Attrition
Program (Docket No. 4364);*

*Statement Of UAW In Support Of Debtors' Motion
For Approval Of Hourly Attrition Programs (Docket
No. 4367);*

*Declaration Of Kevin M. Butler In Support Of
Debtors' Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. Section
363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I)
Supplement To UAW Special Attrition Program And
(II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No.
4393);*

*Declaration Of David L. Resnick In Support Of
Debtors' Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. Section
363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I)
Supplement To UAW Special Attrition Program And
(II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No.
4395);*

*Declaration Of John D. Sheehan In Support Of
Debtors' Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. Section
363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I)
Supplement To UAW Special Attrition Program And
(II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No.
4396);*

*Revised Exhibit A To Debtors' Omnibus Reply To
Objections To Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C.
Section 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004
Approving (I) Supplement To UAW Special Attrition
Program And (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition
Program (Docket No. 4402)*

*Status: The hearing with respect to this matter will be
proceeding.*

Dated: New York, New York
June 28, 2006

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT I

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Cohen, Weiss & Simon LLP	Joseph J. Vitale	330 West 42nd Street		New York	NY	10036	212-356-0238	646-473-8238	jvitale@cwsny.com	Counsel for International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agriculture Implement Works of America (UAW)
Davis, Polk & Wardwell	Donald Bernstein Brian Resnick	450 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-450-4092 212-450-4213	212-450-3092 212-450-3213	donald.bernstein@dpw.com brian.resnick@dpw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Postpetition Administrative Agent
Delphi Corporation	Sean Corcoran, Karen Craft Brad Eric Sheler Bonnie Steingart Vivek Melwani	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2000	248-813-2670	sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com karen.j.craft@delphi.com	Debtors
Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson	Jennifer L. Rodburg Richard J. Slivinski	One New York Plaza		New York	NY	10004	212-859-8000	212-859-4000	rodbrug@ffhsj.com slivirj@ffhsj.com	Proposed Counsel to Equity Security Holders Committee
IUE-CWA	Conference Board Chairman	2360 W. Dorothy Lane	Suite 201	Dayton	OH	45439	937-294-7813	937-294-9164		Creditor Committee Member
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Thomas Kennedy	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003	212-358-1500	212-358-0207	tkennedy@kimlabor.com	Counsel for The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Nicholson Graham LLP	Edward M. Fox	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-536-4812	212-536-3901	efox@klnq.com	Counsel to Wilmington Trust Company, as Indenture trustee
Latham & Watkins LLP	Robert J. Rosenberg	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-906-1370	212-751-4864	robert.rosenberg@lw.com	Counsel to Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
Simpson Thatcher & Bartlett LLP	Kenneth S. Ziman, Robert H. Trust, William T. Russell, Jr.	425 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-455-2000	212-455-2502	kziman@stblaw.com rtrust@stblaw.com wrussell@stblaw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Prepetition Administrative Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	John Wm. Butler, John K. Lyons, Ron E. Meisler	333 W. Wacker Dr.	Suite 2100	Chicago	IL	60606	312-407-0700	312-407-0411	jbutler@skadden.com jlyonsch@skadden.com rmeisler@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Thomas J. Matz	4 Times Square	P.O. Box 300	New York	NY	10036	212-735-3000	212-735-2000	kmarafio@skadden.com tmatz@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
United States Trustee White & Case LLP	Alicia M. Leonhard Glenn Kurtz Gerard Uzzi Douglas Baumstein	33 Whitehall Street 1155 Avenue of the Americas	21st Floor	New York New York	NY NY	10004-2112 10036-2787	212-510-0500 212-819-8200	does not take service via fax	akurtz@ny.whitecase.com guzzi@whitecase.com dbaumstein@ny.whitecase.com	Counsel to United States Trustee
White & Case LLP	Thomas Lauria Frank Eaton	Wachovia Financial Center	200 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 4900	Miami	FL	33131	305-371-2700	305-358-5744	tlauria@whitecase.com featon@miami.whitecase.com	Counsel for Appaloosa Management, LP

EXHIBIT J

Hearing Date: June 29, 2006
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
Albert L. Hogan (AH 8807)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

DEBTORS' OMNIBUS REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO MOTION FOR ORDER
UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 6004 APPROVING
(I) SUPPLEMENT TO UAW SPECIAL ATTRITION PROGRAM AND
(II) IUE-CWA SPECIAL ATTRITION PROGRAM

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates (the "Affiliate Debtors"), debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby submit this response (the "Reply") to the objections filed to the Debtors' Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition Program and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, dated June 19, 2006 (the "Motion"). Such objections include (a) the Preliminary Objection Of The Ad Hoc Equity Committee To The Debtors' Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition Program and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, dated June 21, 2006 (Docket No. 4292) (filed by Appaloosa Management L.P., Wexford Capital LLC, Lampe Conway & Co., LLC, Harbinger Capital Partners LLC, and Marathon Asset Management LLC (collectively, the "Appaloosa Group" or the "Ad Hoc Equity Committee")), supplemented by the Supplemental Objection Of The Ad Hoc Equity Committee To Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition Program and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, dated June 28, 2006 (Docket No. 4381); (b) Preliminary Objection of Wilmington Trust Company ("WTC"), as Indenture Trustee, To Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition Program and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, dated June 23, 2006 (Docket No. 4343), supplemented by the Limited Objection of Wilmington Trust Company ("WTC"), as Indenture Trustee, To Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition Program and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program , dated June 27, 2006 (Docket No. 4379); (c) the Limited Objection of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Creditors' Committee") To Motion For Order

Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition Program and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program , dated June 27, 2006 (Docket No. 4379); and (d) the Limited Objection of the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders ("Equity Committee") in Opposition to Debtors' Motion for Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement to UAW Special Attrition Program and (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, dated June 27, 2006 (Docket No. 4369) (collectively, the "Objectors" and the "Objections"). In support of this Reply, the Debtors respectfully submit herewith the declarations of Kevin M. Butler, David L. Resnick, and John D. Sheehan (each executed on June 25, 2006) in support of the Motion and further represent as follows:¹

Preliminary Statement

1. On April 7, 2006, Delphi, GM and UAW received this Court's approval of a tripartite agreement providing retirement and pre-retirement attrition incentives for certain of Delphi's hourly, UAW-represented employees (the "UAW Special Attrition Program"). In support of their Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving Debtors' Human Capital Hourly Attrition Programs ("Hourly Attrition Motion No. 1"), the Debtors estimated that approximately 13,000 UAW-represented Delphi employees would have the opportunity to participate in the UAW Special Attrition Program. In fact, as of Friday, June 23, 2006, approximately 87% (over 12,600) of Delphi's UAW employees have elected to participate in these programs.² While the success of this program does not alter the

¹ Capitalized terms used but not defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

² Although some of these participants are entitled to revoke their election of an option under the UAW Special Attrition Program, the Debtors do not expect that a material number of employees will do so.

need for Delphi's restrictive collective bargaining agreements to be transformed, the fact that the vast majority of Delphi's UAW employees were able to consider voluntary attrition options and exercise their individual judgment about what was best for their individual circumstances in light of the economic and competitive realities of Delphi's transformation plan will be a cornerstone of Delphi's restructuring.

2. The robust participation of Delphi's UAW employees validates the Debtors' business judgment that offering attrition programs clearly benefits Delphi's labor reorganization by providing voluntary "soft landings" to high-wage hourly employees at a time when the Debtors and their unions are working hard to negotiate a comprehensive, consensual resolution of Delphi's unsustainable labor cost structure. As the UAW acknowledges in its Statement Of UAW In Support Of Debtors' Motion For Approval Of Hourly Attrition Programs (Docket No. 4367) ("UAW Statement"), this participation rate is "a measure of the degree to which Delphi employees are concerned about the uncertain prospects for future employment given Delphi's stated transformation plans and the overall economic climate in the automotive supply industry generally" and counsels in favor of expanding the attrition programs. (UAW Statement ¶ 2.)

3. Indeed, it is telling that not a single Objector actually objects to the labor transformation represented by, or any specific element of, the attrition programs brought by the Debtors to this Court in the Motion. Instead, the Objectors uniformly use the Objections as a strategic platform to unreasonably, unwisely and inappropriately bring forward a plan of reorganization negotiation into a labor transformation motion. It is unreasonable because neither the Motion nor the record supports the notion that this hearing is about the Debtors' claims against or defenses against the affirmative claims of General Motors Corporation, its former

parent and largest OEM customer. It is unwise because the very labor transformation that all of the Objectors desperately want to occur in order to preserve – and likely create – value for the Debtors' estates is put at risk by the Objectors' almost fanatical opposition to GM (which appears to be undertaken without any realistic assessment of the constructive role GM can play in this reorganization).³ It is inappropriate because most of the Objectors appear to either misunderstand the relief sought in the Motion – or choose to intentionally mischaracterize the relief sought. (For example, the Motion does not seek to allow any claim of any priority to GM in connection with any pre-existing claim that GM might be able to assert and, in fact, relegates GM's agreement to assume "check the box" exposure for IUE-CWA members to nothing more than an assertable general unsecured claim limited to a preexisting claims channel as to which virtually all of the Objectors maintain can be blocked entirely or equitably subordinated.)

4. During the April 7 hearing on the Hourly Attrition Motion No. 1, this Court stated:

[I]t's my view that having approved this agreement, it is quite likely, unless there really are different material considerations involving the debtor's rights against GM and/or the other unions, that I would promptly approve comparable agreements with the other unions.

Tr. Apr. 7, 2006, at 229:8-15. On May 5, 2006, this Court entered an order authorizing and approving the UAW Special Attrition Program. The Court issued an amended order on May 12, 2006 in response to technical issues that GM had raised.

5. The Debtors subsequently engaged in intensive and ultimately successful negotiations with GM and the Debtors' second-largest union, the IUE-CWA, and, as a result, are

³ For example, all but five members of the UAW workforce who elected voluntary attrition also elected to "check the box" and retire on GM's balance sheet – an opportunity fashioned from whole cloth by the UAW, GM and the Debtors which could not have been "forced" on any party including GM.

now able to offer Delphi's IUE-CWA-represented employees with retirement attrition incentives analogous to those previously offered to the UAW. As acknowledged by the IUE-CWA in its Response Of IUE-CWA In Support Of Debtors' Motion For Approval Of IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No. 4364) ("IUE-CWA Response"), these negotiations were "complex and sometimes difficult." (IUE-CWA Response ¶ 1). The Debtors have also succeeded in negotiating substantial financial support from GM that enables Delphi to extend to additional UAW and IUE-CWA employees the opportunity to sever ties with Delphi and GM through lump-sum buyouts that GM had previously offered its own UAW workers under the UAW Special Attrition Program.

6. On June 5, 2006, Delphi reached agreement on the terms of additional programs to supplement the UAW Special Attrition Program through negotiations among Delphi, GM, and UAW (the "UAW Supplement"). On June 16, 2006, Delphi reached agreement on the terms of the IUE-CWA-GM-Delphi Special Attrition Program (the "IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program"), through negotiations among Delphi, GM, and IUE-CWA.⁴ On June 19, 2006, the Debtors filed the Motion seeking entry of an order under section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 6004 authorizing the Debtors to enter into (i) the Supplement to the UAW-GM-Delphi Special Attrition Program Agreement by and among Delphi, GM, and the UAW dated March 22, 2006 (the "UAW Supplement Agreement"), and (ii) the Agreement by and among Delphi, GM, and the IUE-CWA governing the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (the "IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement").⁵ The Debtors obtained relief from the

⁴ Collectively, the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, the UAW Special Attrition Program, and the UAW Supplement are referred to herein as the "Hourly Attrition Programs."

⁵ The proposed Hourly Attrition Programs Order (the "Proposed Order") is attached hereto as Exhibit B. Copies of the UAW Supplement Agreement and the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement are
(cont'd)

Court at the June 19, 2006 omnibus hearing to file the Motion on ten days notice later that evening. All of the Objectors were present at the omnibus hearing and no Objector opposed the scheduling order approved by the Court.⁶ The Debtors obtained the scheduling relief and seek in the Motion a waiver of the ten day stay provided under Bankruptcy Rule 6004(g) for essentially the same showing of cause. The attrition programs are an important element of labor transformation. Given what the Court and the Objectors understand to be the unavoidable delay in the IUE-CWA negotiations leading up to the agreed program, the IUE-CWA program is weeks behind the UAW program and must be implemented immediately. As importantly, Delphi and its unions need to be able to move beyond the attrition programs to negotiating a comprehensive labor transformation solution during the summer recess in the 1113/1114 hearing which resumes on August 11, 2006. The Debtors believe that any delay in the implementation of the relief sought in the Motion will be confusing to its hourly employees and disruptive to the labor transformation process – the success of which is an indispensable precondition to the formulation of a reorganization plan which maximizes the business enterprise value available to its stakeholders.

7. It is important to highlight that there are only two material differences between the relief sought in the Motion and that which was approved previously by the Court. First, the UAW, IUE-CWA, GM and the Delphi Corporation have agreed to expand the menu of voluntary attrition options to include a buyout provision. Not a single objector takes issue with

(cont'd from previous page)

attached to the Proposed Order. While these programs are the product of negotiations between Delphi, GM, and each of these major unions, neither GM nor either of the interested unions are parties to this Motion.

⁶ Order Shortening Notice Period And Establishing Objection Deadline And Hearing Date On Debtors' Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6004 Approving (I) Supplement To UAW Special Attrition Program, And (II) IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program (Docket No. 4281).

paying from \$140,000 to \$40,000 per employee for employees (depending on seniority and classification) to voluntarily give up their employment and all retirement claims that the employee may have (except for vested pension benefits). Delphi Corporation elected to enter into this agreement in its reasonable business judgment because it is directly liable for CBA obligations, pension obligations and retiree obligations including OPEB. In determining how to fund the potential cost of the program – presumably in the hundreds of millions of dollars – Delphi could have elected to self-fund the program using postpetition borrowing lines or current estate liquidity to fund the entire program. Such an approach would by nature cause the funding to be “senior” to the claims of every Objector. Instead, Delphi was able to induce GM to fund half of the costs of the program and to accept a prepetition unsecured claim (as opposed to an administrative claim). While GM did not agree to this approach as a matter of altruism – GM will benefit from the reduction in potential benefit guarantee exposure if the guarantee were ever triggered – GM had absolutely no legal obligation to advance postpetition funds to Delphi and Delphi is not waiving any claims of any kind for itself or anyone else vis a vis any existing contractual obligations that GM may have or with respect to any pre-existing claim that GM might be able to exert.⁷

8. Aside from the new buyout option, the IUE-CWA and UAW programs as they relate to Delphi are virtually identical (with one exception discussed below). For example, the relevant portions of paragraph 7 of the UAW program are replicated nearly verbatim in

⁷

Another enhancement in the UAW Supplement is the addition of another eligible class for the preretirement program (i.e., employees with at least 26 years but less than 27 years of seniority or credited service). This enhancement also is included in the IUE-CWA program. However, no element of the other aspects of the preretirement program was affected, except that Delphi Corporation will reserve addition funds to finance the program since the preretirement program wage payments are entirely self-funded by Delphi Corporation without GM involvement.

paragraph 3 of the IUE-CWA program, including the concept in paragraph 3(b) that "any obligations assumed by GM under this Program with respect to OPEB . . . or active health care and life insurance . . . shall be conclusively deemed to be comprehended by, included within, and shall constitute a prepetition, general unsecured claim assertable by GM against the estate of Delphi Corporation under . . . the Master Separation Agreement." Moreover, the reservation of rights to parties in interest, including the statutory committees, is virtually identical in the prior approved program and the proposed program. What is different is that, unlike the broader claims channels that GM could use in the UAW program to assert claims (i.e., a prepetition indemnity agreement related to the benefit guaranty, the Master Separation Agreement and the Employee Matters Agreement relating to flowbacks), the IUE-CWA program limits GM's opportunities to assert claims to a single channel because the other two channels do not exist as to the IUE-CWA. In consideration for inducing GM to accept this limitation (and to drop its demand that a new program document be created pursuant to which it could assert a claim), the Debtors agreed that they would not (for themselves only and with a full reservation of rights for the statutory committees and all other parties) object to the GM claim in the single Master Separation Agreement claim channel -- with an extraordinarily important exception. The Debtors reserved the right to litigate the proper allowable economic value of an OPEB claim and not simply be tied to an actuarial valuation linked to a balance sheet accounting standard. Thus, the Debtors continued to reserve the precise issue that the Objectors have litigated in various contexts in this case—the proper claims valuation and treatment of OPEB—while leaving to all other parties any other claims allowance litigation.

9. As explained fully herein, the UAW Supplement provides certain expanded options with respect to the UAW Special Attrition Program, which will provide

additional UAW-represented employees with voluntary "soft landing" opportunities as the Debtors implement their transformation plan. The IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program largely mirrors the UAW Special Attrition Program taken together with the UAW Supplement.

10. The Debtors exercised due care in analyzing the potential costs and benefits of each of the features of the Hourly Attrition Programs in the course of determining that the Hourly Attrition Programs are in the best interests of the Debtors' estates. As this Court knows, based on the contested hearing on the Hourly Attrition Motion No. 1, Delphi's Board of Directors (the "Board") received numerous detailed presentations regarding the Hourly Attrition Programs prior to this Court's approval of the Hourly Attrition Motion No. 1. Thereafter, as discussed further herein, the Debtors' management team and advisors have updated the Board regularly on progress under the UAW Special Attrition program and on the incremental attrition programs (i.e., the lump-sum buyouts and additional pre-retirement incentives) that the Debtors have subsequently negotiated with their unions and GM.

11. No Objector challenges the business judgment to offer soft landings to the Debtors' labor force through the Hourly Attrition Programs. The Creditors' Committee, the Equity Committee, and the Appaloosa Group have each filed limited objections focused on GM claims matters. The only disagreements that are unresolved with respect to these limited objections are whether the proposed Order, as drafted, expands the claims GM might otherwise be able to assert, to the supposed detriment of the Debtors' creditors and equity holders. In addition, WTC has once again objected based on the argument that Delphi Corporation (which WTC concedes is a signatory to collective bargaining agreements with UAW and IUE-CWA (WTC Lim. Obj. ¶ 14)) should not fund any attrition programs or generate any claims in connection with the attrition programs. WTC's counsel spins this legal assertion without any

balanced assessment of the fabric of the indenture and underlying offering memoranda and related documents that provide the factual context of the bondholders' investments in Delphi Corporation and while choosing to essentially ignore (or alternatively claim the irrelevance of) such facts as Delphi Corporation being the employer under applicable labor law, the signatory to the relevant collective bargaining agreements and the legal sponsor and obligor with respect to retirement benefits including the relevant pension and healthcare plans.⁸

12. As discussed fully below, the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other stakeholders. The Debtors' decision to enter into the UAW Supplement Agreement and IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement constitutes a reasonable exercise of their business judgment. Moreover, the limited objections regarding potential claims by GM also should be denied because they are either premature or fail to acknowledge the potential value and benefit of GM's financial contribution under the terms of the Hourly Attrition Programs.

Argument

A. The Hourly Attrition Programs Reflect A Sound Exercise Of Business Judgment

1. The Applicable Legal Standard

⁸ Although WTC claims that Delphi Corporation's business judgment is "incomprehensible" for this reason, it concedes that "implementation of the Attrition Programs appears to be a sound exercise of business judgment by DAS [Delphi Automotive Systems LLC], which will realize tangible benefits in the form of a smaller and less expensive unionized workforce." (WTC Lim. Obj. ¶ 27.) On the other hand, discovery taken on June 28, 2006 of WTC's Rule 30(b)(6) witness makes clear that WTC, itself, undertook no analysis of the risk to Delphi Corporation or its affiliates in the event that the attrition program was not approved or labor transformation was not achieved. Moreover, WTC conceded that it is prosecuting its Objection without the instruction of bondholders under the relevant indenture and without WTC having reviewed the offering memoranda, Form 10-Ks or other documents that would evidence what liabilities bondholders were "investing into" at the time that the offerings occurred or subsequently. Said another way, the WTC Rule 30(b)(6) deponent could not offer a single factual basis in support of its Objection relying instead solely upon the legal argument constructed by WTC's counsel.

13. Bankruptcy Code section 363(b) permits a debtor-in-possession, after notice and a hearing, to "use, sell, or lease, other than in the ordinary course of business, property of the estate." 11 U.S.C. § 363(b)(1). Courts may authorize a debtor to use estate property pursuant to section 363(b)(1) whenever the debtor, in good faith, has provided a "good business reason" that the proposed usage will ultimately "aid in the reorganization." In re Lionel Corp., 722 F.2d 1063, 1071 (2d Cir. 1983). The Code provides the court "considerable discretion" in addressing such a section 363(b) motion, and the Court's factual findings will stand unless clearly erroneous. In re Montgomery Ward Holding Corp., 242 B.R. 147, 152-53 (Bankr. D. Del. 1999).

14. The Second Circuit has held that, while the Bankruptcy Court sits as an "overseer of the wisdom with which the bankruptcy estate's property is being managed by the . . . debtor-in-possession," it must nevertheless resist becoming "the arbiter of disputes between creditors and the estate." In re Orion Pictures Corp., 4 F.3d 1095, 1098-99 (2d Cir. 1993). The Court's consideration of a debtor's section 363(b) motion is a summary proceeding, intended merely as a means to "efficiently review the . . . debtor's decision[s] . . . in the course of the swift administration of the bankruptcy estate. It is not the time or place for prolonged discovery or a lengthy trial with disputed issues." Orion Pictures, 4 F.3d at 1098-99.

15. The debtor has the burden of establishing a valid business purpose for the use of estate property outside the ordinary course of business. Lionel, 722 F.2d at 1070-71. Once the debtor has done so, a presumption arises that the debtor's decision was made on an informed basis, in good faith, and in an honest belief that the action was in the best interests of the estate. In re Integrated Res., Inc., 147 B.R. 650, 656 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1992). Thereafter, "[p]arties opposing the proposed exercise of a debtor's business judgment have the burden of

rebutting the presumption of validity." Id. To satisfy its burden, it is not enough for an objector simply to raise and argue an objection. Rather, an objector "is required to produce some evidence respecting its objections." Lionel, 722 F.2d at 1071.

16. As a rule, the debtor's business judgment "should be approved by the court unless it is shown to be 'so manifestly unreasonable that it could not be based upon sound business judgment, but only on bad faith, or whim or caprice.'" In re Aerovox, Inc., 269 B.R. 74, 81 (Bankr. D. Mass 2001) (quoting In re Logical Software, Inc., 66 B.R. 683 (Bankr. D. Mass. 1986)).

17. Further, the Court's role should not be to rewrite the terms of an agreement reached between Delphi and third parties. In re Global Crossing Securities and ERISA Litig., 225 F.R.D. 436, 455 (S.D.N.Y. 2004) ("Although the Court must make its own independent evaluation of the settlement, the Court must also assess the settlement as it stands, without modifying its terms . . . and without substituting its 'business judgment for that of counsel, absent evidence of fraud or overreaching.'") (citing In re Warner Commc'ns Sec. Litig., 798 F.2d 35, 37 (2d Cir. 1986)).

18. Courts have given deference to a debtor's business decisions when those decisions follow thorough financial analyses and the considered deliberation of senior management and directors. In re Adelphia Commc'ns Corp., No. 02-41729 (REG), 2003 WL 22316543, at *31 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Mar. 4, 2003); In re Global Crossing Ltd., 295 B.R. 726, 744-46 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2003); In re Brooklyn Hosp. Ctr., Inc., 341 B.R. 405, 412 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2006). Furthermore, Courts have also found that arms-length negotiations between entities that result in agreement is evidence of the exercise of sound business judgment. In re Eaglepicher Holdings, Inc., No. 05-12601, 2005 WL 4030132, at *4 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio Aug 26,

2005). As discussed below, the Debtors here have engaged in extensive and often-contentious discussions with GM and their unions. These negotiations have been conducted under the continual auspices of both senior management and the Board. (Sheehan Dep. Tr. 12:21-13:9). After much deliberation and analysis, the final product of those negotiations resulted in unanimous Board support for the Supplemental Programs. (Sheehan Dep. Tr. 204:20-24; 208:2-5.)

2. The Role Of "Soft Landings" In The Restructuring Process

19. In order to stem the Debtors' operating losses from their U.S. operations and achieve the Debtors' transformation, Delphi's existing labor agreements must be modified in three principal respects. First, Delphi must obtain hourly wage and benefit cost levels that are competitive with other U.S. auto parts suppliers. Currently, Delphi's total hourly labor costs, including legacy retirement liabilities, for its traditional production and skilled trades employees are approximately three times the labor costs of a typical U.S. auto parts supplier. Second, Delphi must address those provisions that limit Delphi's ability to respond to market forces by selling or closing non-core or unprofitable operations, and/or by laying off excess employees. Third, Delphi must address its substantial liabilities for retirement benefits for hourly employees and its costly retiree health care plans. The Hourly Attrition Programs are designed to begin to address, either directly or indirectly, each of these issues. (Butler Dec. ¶6.)

20. The value of the Debtors' estates is materially dependent on maintaining customer supply through rigorous shipping compliance at world-class quality levels as well as the ability to win profitable new business (especially non-GM business). Although Delphi must modify its labor agreements, it cannot operate or maximize enterprise value without the ultimate support of its employees. Therefore, as stated in Delphi's March 31, 2006 press release, while

the Debtors, through their Court filings on March 31, 2006, have taken necessary procedural steps to enable action that may become necessary at some point in the future, Delphi is singularly focused on reaching a consensual resolution with all of its unions and GM before any court hearing is necessary.

21. Delphi negotiated the terms of and entered into the UAW Supplement Agreement and the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement in the spirit of fostering the prospects of a comprehensive, consensual resolution. The UAW Supplement and IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program are the product of extensive and complex negotiations among the Debtors, UAW, IUE-CWA, and GM. The Debtors' ability to achieve shipping compliance, world-class quality, and customer confidence for new business is affected significantly by employee sentiment, focus, and efficiency. During trilateral discussions among the Debtors, GM, and their major unions, the parties expressed mutual concern regarding the difficulty and complexity of the issues surrounding the Debtors' restructuring. Indeed, there was deep concern that the prospects for significant risk to the business could arise if the parties could not respond to certain issues until a comprehensive settlement was reached on all issues. (Butler Dec. ¶ 7.) The Hourly Attrition Programs are a mutual agreement that Delphi believes is a reasonable and one that will benefit the estates and aid in the reorganization. Moreover, entry into the UAW Supplement Agreement and IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement has had, and will continue to have, a positive effect on the overall negotiations between Delphi, its unions, and GM. (Butler Dec. ¶ 17.)

22. Delphi maintains that the Hourly Attrition Programs are beneficial for Delphi's labor reorganization because they will incentivize attrition and prevent most of Delphi's

long-term employees from feeling the full weight of the necessary modifications to Delphi's labor agreements.

23. In light of the Debtors' transformation plan described in Delphi's March 31, 2006, press release, the Hourly Attrition Programs' "soft landings" should ease the sudden impact upon employees from the economic hardship that they might otherwise face as a result of Delphi's financial situation and enhance Delphi and its unions' ability to achieve a consensual resolution of Delphi's remaining labor issues that will be ratifiable by the unions' members. Even if Delphi must proceed in chapter 11 and obtain Court authority to reject and subsequently modify its collective bargaining agreements and modify its retiree benefits, to continue its ongoing operations, Delphi must reach a resolution with its unions that the unions' membership will ratify and will keep employees working. Delphi believes that creating a "soft landing" for excess or high cost employees is critical to facilitating the structure of and subsequent ratification of any modified labor agreement that Delphi ultimately reaches with its unions.

24. Finally, the Debtors anticipate that the Hourly Attrition Programs will generate positive cash flow over the next four years resulting from the reductions in the Debtors' workforce and cost savings from utilizing lower-rate employees. (Sheehan Dec. ¶¶ 22, 14, 19.)

25. Delphi could not achieve this "soft landing" without GM's support. Many of Delphi's hourly employees may view the option to retire as a GM retiree as more appealing than retiring as a Delphi retiree. In addition, GM's financial support enables the Debtors to provide their most senior hourly employees appropriate incentives to retire at an accelerated rate. Should the Motion not be approved, the Debtors may not have a future opportunity to achieve these "soft landings." GM is not obligated to enter a deal with Delphi and will only enter a deal if it receives some benefit under such agreement.

3. The UAW Supplement Will Benefit The Debtors'
Estates And The Reorganization Process

26. As of June 23, 2006, approximately 12,600 of 14,000 eligible UAW hourly employees have elected to participate in the UAW Special Attrition Program. The acceptance rate of approximately 87% validates the Debtors' business judgment and provides a starting point that will allow the Debtors to begin the transformation of their legacy workforce while providing a soft landing for their employees. In light of the success of the UAW Special Attrition Program, and to facilitate attrition and provide additional soft landing opportunities to its employees, Delphi with the UAW and GM entered into a tripartite agreement that would provide additional options to employees in addition to the UAW Special Attrition Program. The UAW Supplement includes two main components: (i) the addition of a class of employees (those with 26 years of credited service) to the pre-retirement program and (ii) lump sum buyouts to sever all ties with Delphi and GM (except vested pension benefits). (Butler Dec. ¶ 11.)

27. The UAW Special Attrition Program offered special voluntary placement in a pre-retirement program to employees with at least 27 and less than 30 years of credited service. The UAW Supplement is incremental to the prior pre-retirement program by adding an option to Delphi employees with at least 26 years of credited service. Thus, additional high-wage and long-serving union employees will have the opportunity to "check the box" and retire on terms akin to GM's UAW employees. The Debtors estimate that approximately 400 additional UAW represented employees would be eligible to participate in the expanded pre-retirement program. (Butler Dec. ¶ 12.) In connection with the new option under the pre-retirement program, the Debtors would increase the balance in the \$75 million segregated account established by the UAW Special Attrition Program by an additional \$15 million. (Sheehan Dec. ¶ 12.)

28. The UAW Supplement also includes buyout options to UAW-represented employees to sever all ties with Delphi and GM, except vested pension benefits. Under the buyout options, employees with ten or more years of seniority or credited service, whichever is greater, would be eligible for \$140,000, traditional employees with fewer than ten years of seniority would be eligible for \$70,000, and employees hired under the Supplemental New Hire Agreement prior to March 22, 2006 would be eligible for a buyout payment amount prorated to \$40,000, in each case, less withholdings (the "UAW Buyout Payments").

29. The UAW Buyout Payments are modeled on previous agreements reached between GM and/or Ford and their unions. Ford recently offered buyouts at the \$100,000-per-employee level. As detailed in the UAW Special Attrition Program, GM offered buyouts to GM employees represented by the UAW of \$140,000 for employees with ten years of service and \$70,000 for employees with fewer than 10 years of seniority. The Debtors believe that the amount of the UAW Buyout Payments are reasonable, within the range of similar buyout payments offered in the domestic auto industry, and in the best interests of the estates. Consequently, the Debtors believe it is appropriate to offer buyouts at the same level as GM offered to UAW-represented employees. Moreover, since the UAW negotiated buyout amounts with GM in March 2006, it was the expectation and demand of the UAW that the same opportunity be made available to eligible UAW-represented Delphi employees. The buyouts offered to UAW employees will reduce the number of traditional, high-wage and benefit employees as well as eliminate future OPEB obligations for those participants. (Butler Dec. ¶ 14.)

30. Under the terms of the UAW Supplement, GM and Delphi would each pay one-half of the total cost of the UAW Buyout Payments. Although participation rates cannot be

predicted with precision, the Debtors estimate that approximately 20% of eligible UAW employees, who are not otherwise eligible for retirement incentives or pre-retirement programs through the UAW Special Attrition Program, will participate in the buyouts. At estimated participation levels, the Debtors estimate that the cost to each of the Debtors and GM of the UAW Buyouts will be approximately \$75 million, with GM receiving an allowed, prepetition claim for amounts it actually pays for the UAW Buyouts. (Sheehan Dec. ¶ 13.) The buyout program will save Delphi money by removing unnecessary workers and allowing the replacement of necessary workers with lower cost employees, who can be released when no longer needed, consistent with the Debtors' transformation plan. (Butler Dep. Tr. 76:3-13.)

31. In sum, the benefits to the Debtors of the UAW Buyouts outweigh the costs, in light of (i) the added ability to provide voluntary attrition opportunities to high-wage employees, (ii) the added operational flexibility in reshaping the workforce around core operations, (iii) the elimination of associated OPEB benefits, and (iv) the 50 percent subsidization of the program through "new money" from GM in return for a prepetition, unsecured claim.

4. The IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Will Benefit
The Debtors' Estates And The Reorganization Process

32. The implementation of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program is another important step in enabling Delphi to transform its workforce from legacy wages and benefits to a competitive labor cost structure. Approximately 25% of the Debtors' hourly workforce is represented by the IUE-CWA. The Debtors believe that the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program could provide approximately 8,000 existing IUE-CWA represented hourly employees with an opportunity for "soft landings," either through retirement attrition programs or the buyout program. The implementation of this program, similar to the UAW Special Attrition

Program, is expected to accelerate necessary attrition and reduce the uncertainties and concerns over the impact of a negotiated consensual resolution, or in the alternative, a potential rejection of labor agreements and modifications of retiree benefits. (Butler Dec. ¶ 16.)

33. The IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program consists of a retirement component and a buyout component. The retirement component of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program fundamentally is patterned after terms previously approved by the Court in connection with the UAW Special Attrition Program. The IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, like the UAW Special Attrition Program, offers a \$35,000 lump sum incentive payment to employees who are eligible to retire under the normal or early voluntary provisions of the Delphi Hourly-Rate Employees Pension Plan (the "HRP"). The total cost of these incentive payments would be paid by GM and would be retroactive to cover eligible employees who have retired since October 1, 2005. While GM will not be able to assert a claim against the Debtors on account of these payments, GM has informed Delphi that it will seek compensation for these payments in connection with any comprehensive agreement with Delphi. The Debtors estimate that approximately 1,800 employees are eligible to receive the lump sum retirement incentive payments, and estimate that approximately 50 to 75 percent of eligible employees will elect to participate. An additional retirement element of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program is a mutually satisfactory retirement opportunity for those employees 50 years old or older with at least ten years of credited service. The Debtors estimate that approximately 825 employees are eligible to participate in the MSR option, and estimate that 50 to 75 percent of eligible employees will elect to participate. (Butler Dec. ¶ 17.)

34. The IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program also offers special voluntary placement in a pre-retirement program for employees with at least 26 and less than 30 years of

credited service. The Debtors estimate that approximately 390 employees are eligible to participate in the pre-retirement program, and estimate that 50 to 75 percent of eligible employees will elect to participate. All participants in the retirement options are eligible to "check the box" and transition to GM for purposes of retirement, including OPEB at levels available to GM's IUE-CWA represented retirees. (Butler Dec. ¶ 18.)

35. The buyout component of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program is very similar to the UAW Buyout Payments described above. Under the terms of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, employees (other than those at Delphi's Gadsden, Alabama Operations) with ten or more years of seniority or credited service, whichever is greater, would be eligible for \$140,000, employees with three but fewer than ten years of seniority or credited service, whichever is greater, would be eligible for \$70,000, and employees with one but fewer than three years of seniority or credited service, whichever is greater, would be eligible for a buyout payment of \$40,000, paid in a lump sum less withholdings (the "IUE-CWA Buyout Payments," together with the UAW Buyout Payments, the "Buyout Payments"). GM and Delphi would each pay one-half of the total cost of the IUE-CWA Buyout Payments (except for any payments made to participants who are employed at Delphi's New Brunswick operations, where previously agreed upon terms apply). (Butler Dec. ¶ 19.)

36. Although participation rates cannot be predicted with precision, the Debtors estimate that approximately 20 percent of eligible IUE-CWA employees, who are not otherwise eligible for retirement incentives or pre-retirement programs through the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, will participate in the buyouts. At this level of participation, the Debtors estimate that the cost to each of the Debtors and GM will be \$60 million. (Sheehan Dec. ¶ 18.) The Debtors believe the amount of the IUE-CWA Buyout Payments are reasonable,

within the range of similar buyout amounts offered in the auto industry, and in the best interests of the estates. The buyouts offered to IUE-CWA employees will reduce the number of high-wage and benefit employees as well as eliminate future OPEB obligations. (Butler Dec. ¶ 20.)

37. The Debtors estimate that each individual event of IUE-CWA attrition pursuant to the IUE-CWA Buyout Payments would result in positive cash flow such that, depending upon the timing and demographics of the individuals participating, the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program may be cash flow positive beginning in 2006 and would generate positive cash flow each year thereafter. Between 2006 and 2010, the Debtors expect to generate substantial cost savings associated with the reduction in headcount provided by the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program and, in those instances in which replacements might be needed, as a result of replacing IUE-CWA eligible employees with lower-cost temporary and competitive rate employees. (Sheehan Dec. ¶ 19.)

38. It is anticipated that the Hourly Attrition Programs will result in an increase in Delphi's minimum pension funding obligation. An increase would occur regardless of whether the Court approves the Hourly Attrition Programs because, to transform their businesses, the Debtors will need to close unprofitable U.S. plants. These closures would also result in an increase of minimum pension funding obligations. (Butler Dec. ¶ 22.)

39. GM will receive certain allowed prepetition general unsecured claims against Delphi Corporation on account of the funding of one half of the total cost of the Buyout Payments and will likely assert additional prepetition general unsecured claims related to obligations assumed and/or undertaken by GM as part of the Hourly Attrition Programs. Delphi could not have offered the Hourly Attrition Programs, however, without GM's involvement in and financial support for these Programs. Many of Delphi's hourly employees will view the

option to transition to GM for the purposes of retirement and receipt of GM OPEB as more appealing than retiring as a Delphi retiree. As of June 20, 2006, of the 9,776 retirement eligible Delphi employees electing to participate in the UAW Special Attrition Program, 9,775 have elected to "check the box" and receive GM OPEB. GM's financial support also enables the Debtors to provide their most senior hourly employees sufficient incentive to retire at an accelerated rate. (Butler Dec. ¶ 23.)

40. In sum, the Debtors believe that the Hourly Attrition Programs constitute an important step in implementing their transformation plan. Particularly, the Debtors believe that implementing the Hourly Attrition Programs, and the anticipated sharing of the costs of the Hourly Attrition Programs between the Debtors and GM, including GM's commitment to provide significant financial support toward the UAW Supplement and IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement, will improve the Debtors' net cash flow and reduce the Debtors' projected operating losses in comparison to the status quo over the five-year period from 2006 through 2010. Even more, the Hourly Attrition Programs are a critical step to facilitating the Debtors' labor reorganization and transformation plan and will only increase the prospects for a comprehensive, consensual resolution of the Debtors' labor cost issues.

B. The Objectors' Arguments Should Be Overruled And The Relief Granted

1. Objections Regarding GM's Claims

41. Pursuant to the UAW Supplement and IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement, GM has agreed to assume a substantial portion of the costs associated with implementation of the Hourly Attrition Programs. Specifically, GM has agreed to pay one-time, lump-sum incentive payments in the amount of \$35,000 to employees who are eligible to retire under the normal or early voluntary provisions of the Delphi Hourly-Rate Employees Pension

Plan (the "HRP") in exchange for their agreement to retire and grant a release of claims.⁹

Furthermore, GM has agreed to assume OPEB obligations for employees who "check the box" and flowback to GM for purposes of retiring. GM has also committed to subsidize any health care coverage differential for Delphi employees participating in the Delphi pre-retirement program. Finally, GM has committed to paying one-half of the lump-sum buyouts offered to the Debtors' UAW and IUE-CWA employees. GM's financial commitment to Delphi employees under the Hourly Attrition Programs is so substantial that Delphi's entry into these programs would likely not have been feasible without GM's commitments.

42. Delphi's entry into the UAW Supplement and IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement are critical steps in the Debtors' efforts to realign their global product portfolio and manufacturing footprint, as well as to reduce the Debtors' traditional-rate hourly U.S. workforce to levels needed to run its realigned U.S. operations.

43. Nevertheless, and despite the financial obligations GM has agreed to assume under the Special Attrition Programs, the Creditors' Committee, the Equity Committee, the Appaloosa Group, and WTC (collectively, the "Objectors") assail the terms that GM negotiated from Delphi as a condition of GM's financial support. The Objectors do not acknowledge that GM's financial support of the UAW Special Attrition Program is critical to the overall viability of the Program or that GM was under no obligation to provide such financial support to Delphi. Rather, each of such Objectors appears to take the untenable position that Delphi should have been able to receive all of the benefits of the Hourly Attrition Programs without providing GM with any corresponding claims. To expect GM to take on what will likely

⁹ GM will likely seek "credit" to recoup these contributions in any comprehensive settlement but GM's obligations are not conditioned on such a settlement.

amount to hundreds of millions of dollars in obligations without receiving any benefit whatsoever simply does not acknowledge the respective leverage of the parties or the commercial realities of the Debtors' situation.

44. The Objectors focus two provisions of the UAW Supplement Agreement and the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement that would (a) grant GM an allowed unsecured, prepetition claim with respect to amounts actually paid by GM in connection with lump-sum buyout payments and (b) allow GM to assert unsecured, prepetition claims in connection with liabilities GM incurs with respect to OPEB obligations for IUE-CWA employees who "check the box." These arguments are without merit, for they ignore the realities of the complex bargaining and negotiations out of which the supplemental Hourly Attrition Programs arose.

45. With respect to GM's payments in support of lump-sum buyouts, the Objectors present a false choice between either granting GM an allowed, pre-petition claim or remaining silent on that issue and reserving all rights. In reality, the choice that the Debtors had to make was between relying on debtor-in-possession ("DIP") financing to fund the buyouts by themselves, or taking direct, GM financial support in exchange for an allowed prepetition, unsecured claim. To the extent that the DIP financing is utilized to fund these payments, any payments by the Debtors to fund the program from the proceeds of such financing would give rise to administrative claims (while providing GM the same speculative, OPEB-related "benefits" flowing from buyouts emphasized by the Objectors). Had the Debtors chosen to fund the Buyout Programs in this fashion, they plainly would have had the authority to implement them under the business judgment standard of section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code. Instead, the program as

proposed gives rise to a general prepetition unsecured claim for GM rather than an administrative claim to a DIP lender. The benefits of this structure to the estate are perfectly clear.

46. Under the Hourly Attrition Programs, GM has agreed to pay half of the total cost of the Buyout Payments with respect to both the UAW and the IUE-CWA. This financial support is critical to making the Buyout Payment option available to employees who otherwise would have had no attrition choice under the programs. During the negotiations concerning this aspect of the programs, GM expressed its understanding that Delphi's overall interests would be served by maximizing the number of employees who would be eligible for some kind of attrition option, and ultimately agreed to provide the 50 percent support of the total cost of the Buyout Payments to further that goal. This financial support is a postpetition benefit to the Debtors' estates. GM agreed, however, to accept only an allowed prepetition unsecured claim for these Buyout Payments. Because GM was not otherwise obligated to make the Buyout Payments, and because GM was willing to accept a prepetition unsecured claim, as opposed to an administrative or priority claim, the Debtors' financial advisors recommended that Delphi accept GM's proposal to provide the Buyout Payment support, in exchange for an allowed prepetition claim. (Resnick Dec. ¶ 13.) As compared to other alternatives available to Delphi to fund the Buyout Payments, such as using its available cash or through its DIP financing sources, the Debtors concluded that obtaining the support from GM in exchange for a prepetition unsecured claim is clearly in the Debtors' best interests. (Id. ¶ 14.)

47. Second, with respect to GM's potential OPEB claims, the Debtors have expressly reserved the right to object to the economic value of any such claim even though the Debtors concede that the claim could be asserted under the Master Separation Agreement. IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement ¶ 2. Unlike the UAW, the IUE-CWA does not have

flowback rights to GM. Thus, without further consideration there would be no opportunity for IUE employees to retire as GM employees, such as was provided under the UAW Special Attrition Plan. However, under the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Plan, GM did agree to provide a "check the box" alternative to employees exercising an early retirement option to allow them to retire as GM instead of Delphi employees. For those eligible Delphi IUE-CWA employees who "check the box," GM has agreed to assume Delphi's outstanding OPEB obligations to those employees. In exchange, GM insisted that it would be allowed to assert a prepetition unsecured claim for these obligations under Delphi's indemnity of GM under the Master Separation Agreement. The Debtors agreed with the further understanding that, in the event that GM asserts such a claim, the Debtors will retain the right to object to the economic value of such claim. From the Debtors' perspective, the ability to challenge the economic value of any such asserted claim is a critical aspect in terms of protecting estate value in the claims administration process. Moreover, all other parties-in-interest retain their rights to object to the allowance of any such claim under any grounds other than the fact that the claim is assertable under the Master Separation Agreement.

48. The Debtors determined, with the assistance of their financial advisors, that they should accept GM's support of the IUE-CWA "check the box" option in exchange for the provision of the prepetition unsecured claim that GM may assert. Delphi believes that the "check the box" option is an important incentive for eligible employees to participate in the attrition program. Moreover, the "check the box" option was a necessary condition demanded by the IUE-CWA leadership in the course of reaching this agreement. Thus, GM's support in this regard is valuable and indispensable. (Id. ¶¶ 15-16.)

49. The Objectors' specific legal arguments relating to the validity of the Debtors' agreement to allow (or acknowledge the source of) certain pre-petition, unsecured GM claims are equally without merit.

50. Contrary to the UCC's Objection, the Motion does not seek authority to settle a pending dispute. Rather, it seeks Court approval to establish a new program for Delphi's employees designed to serve the best interests of the Debtors' estates. The buyouts under the program by themselves will result in a significant savings over time. GM's contribution to the pool of funds that will finance the buyouts provide a substantial, additional benefit to the Debtors.

51. The Debtors have not proposed, nor does the Motion purport to effect, a settlement of any dispute over GM's claims against the Debtors' estates generally. Bankruptcy Rule 9019 does not apply here. Even if it did apply, the Hourly Attrition Programs would fall above the lowest point in the "range of reasonableness." In re W. T. Grant Co., 699 F.2d 599, 613 (2d Cir. 1983). The attrition programs provide for an exchange of value that serves the best interests of the Debtors' estates. Under the programs, GM will contribute new funds equal to half of the amount required to fund the buyouts. GM has no existing or prior obligation to make these contributions; they constitute an infusion of new money into the Debtors' estates. In exchange for its new funds, GM will receive only an allowed general unsecured prepetition claim. Against Delphi, rather than the administrative claim that Delphi would incur from a DIP lender providing these funds. Had Delphi and GM arrived at such an agreement in settlement of a dispute, these terms would easily satisfy the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 9019.

52. Furthermore, the terms provided to GM under the Special Attrition Programs are narrowly-tailored, reasonable, and preserve substantial rights for all parties-in-

interest to later challenge any claims that GM may assert related to the obligations which it has agreed to assume under the Hourly Attrition Programs. Paragraph 10 of the proposed Order provides:

10. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in the Motion, the UAW Supplement, the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement, this Court's approval of such agreements, the performance of any obligation thereunder, or any other document shall prejudice the right of any party-in-interest (including, without limitation, the Debtors, the Creditor's Committee, and the Equity Committee) to challenge the allowability, amount, or priority of any claims asserted by GM (including, without limitation, all defenses, objections, offsets, counterclaims, bases for disallowance, subordination or recharacterization, all avoidance rights under chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code, and all remedies with respect thereto), except that (i) GM's claims, if any, with respect to OPEB obligations assumed under paragraph 2 of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement or active health care and life insurance obligations assumed under paragraph 3.d. of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement shall not be subject to objection on the basis that the claims were not assertable under Delphi's general indemnity of GM under the Master Separation Agreement and (ii) GM's claims with respect to GM Buyout Payments are allowed as provided in paragraph 8 hereof and shall not be subject to any objection by any party for any reason. Notwithstanding the forgoing, neither Delphi nor any of the other Debtors may object on any grounds to the allowance of GM's claims, if any, with respect to OPEB obligations assumed under paragraph 2 of the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement; provided, however, that all of the Debtors reserve the right to object to the economic value of such claims (in the nature of assumptions such as discount rate, health care trend rates, mortality, other withdrawal rates, and current and future expected benefit plan design changes). Except as expressly provided above in this paragraph 10, GM's claims remain subject to defenses under the Master Separation Agreement or on any other ground. GM may not assert any claim of any kind arising under or relating to the UAW Supplement or the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement against any Debtor other than Delphi, and the foregoing exception shall not impair any right or remedy that may exist with respect to the enforceability of avoidability of any such agreement. Further, nothing in the Motion, the UAW Supplement, the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement, this Court's approval of any such agreements, the performance of any obligation thereunder, or any other document shall prejudice any right or remedy of any Debtor against any other Debtor with respect to the allocation of Delphi's obligations under either of these agreements or claims asserted against Delphi thereunder, all of which rights are expressly preserved.

53. The UAW Supplement and IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program

Agreement are the product of extensive negotiations among Delphi, GM, UAW and the IUE-

CWA spanning multiple days of round-the-clock face-to-face meetings. Importantly, the Debtors could not have implemented such an attrition program on a stand-alone basis (i.e., without the cooperation and support of GM, UAW and IUE), nor do the Debtors have the ability to force non-consensual reductions in workforce (outside of the section 1113 process). Therefore, entry into a tripartite agreement with the GM and its unions was essential to the Debtors' ability to enact these important plans.

54. The Appaloosa Group alleges that the Debtors cannot allow GM claims on account of the Buyout Payments because section 502(e) of the Bankruptcy Code prohibits the allowance of such claims at this time. The Appaloosa Group has completely misunderstood how this program works and thus misapplies section 502(e) of the Bankruptcy Code. Indeed, GM does not get an allowed claim until it makes a payment on account of the buyout program, at which time the claim will not be contingent. Accordingly, section 502(e) does not apply to the Buyout Payments.

55. The Appaloosa Group also alleges that pursuant to section 502(e) the Debtors are prohibited from allowing GM claims on account of OPEB. This argument is wholly inapplicable. The debtors are not allowing GM's assertable OPEB claims at this time, but merely affording GM the right to assert a prepetition general unsecured claim under the general indemnity under the Master Separation Agreement. The explicit reservation of rights by the Debtors to object to the economic value of the OPEB claims is affirmative evidence that such claims are not allowed at this time. See IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program ¶ 2. In addition, all other parties-in-interest retain their rights to object to such claims on any grounds other than that they may be asserted under the general indemnity provision of the Master Separation

Agreement. See id. Consequently, section 502(e) of the Bankruptcy Code is in applicable and the Appaloosa Group's objection on this ground should be overruled.

56. The alleged Section 502 violations are a red herring – objectors argue that because the buyouts are not yet funded that no claim can be allowed – that is not the case in financing orders approved by the Bankruptcy Court or adequate protection orders where future obligations will be occurred and not yet funded or a variety of other circumstances. Similarly, the assertion that some speculative future chapter 5 assertion against GM bars GM from transferring new value to the estate for an allowed claim that is subordinated in priority to what the Code would otherwise require turns Section 502(d) on its head. The buyout funding also does not constitute a claim for reimbursement or contribution; even it did, the claim here is limited to actual future funding.

57. Finally, the Creditors' Committee requests that "the Court grant the Committee authority to prosecute on behalf of the Debtors any and all of the Debtors' Claims and Defenses and other rights that may reduce the amount or priority of, or otherwise eliminate, GM's claims to which the Debtors have waived objections under the Programs." Committee Limited Objection at ¶ 33. This request is, at best, premature. The Committee has not established that the Debtors have colorable claims that would reduce the priority of or otherwise eliminate the GM claims at issue in this Motion, and, more importantly, the Committee has not established that pursuing such claims at this juncture – even if colorable – would "maximize the value of [the Debtors'] estate." Committee Limited Objection at ¶ 31. Indeed, the Debtors' have here exercised their business judgment to determine that allowance of certain GM claims in exchange for new value from GM's participation in the Programs *is* in the best interest of the

estate. That is precisely the business judgment that the Court is evaluating under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b).

2. Objections Regarding The Debtors' Business Judgment

58. Whether directly or indirectly, the Objectors, while styling their objections as "limited," are fundamentally questioning the Debtors' business judgment to agree with GM on central aspects of the Hourly Attrition Programs. As explained more fully above, the appropriate standard for review of a debtor's motion to use estate property outside the ordinary course of business is whether the debtor has provided, in good faith, a sound business reason as to why the proposed use of estate property will aid in the debtor's reorganization. Once such a showing is made, an objecting party must overcome the substantial deference provided to a debtor's exercise of its business judgment by demonstrating that the debtor's business judgment is so manifestly unreasonable that it could not possibly be based upon sound business judgment. The Debtors respectfully assert that the Debtors have clearly met their burden under section 363(b) with respect to the relief sought in the Motion, while neither the Appaloosa Group nor any other objecting party has come forward with any evidence of "manifest unreasonableness."

59. As a threshold matter, the Debtors' business judgment here is entitled to a deferential standard of review because the Debtors' management and Board engaged in a good faith process of diligently studying the potential impacts of attrition programs. Prior to filing the Hourly Attrition Motion No. 1 (seeking authority to implement the UAW Special Attrition Program), the Debtors carefully considered the cost of payments to eligible employees (to the extent these costs are not borne by GM) and modeled net cash flow benefits and the reduction of operating losses in comparison to the Company's projections under status quo assumptions over the next five years (i.e., in comparison with the "Steady State" projections). It is worth

emphasizing that these various financial analyses¹⁰ modeled the impact of hourly attrition programs, at various hypothetical participation levels, for all of the Debtors' retirement-eligible union workers and was not limited to analyzing the impact of potential attrition by eligible UAW members. Although the Debtors have since revised the Steady State projections to reflect actual 2006 results, the analysis performed in March 2006 that supported the implementation of hourly attrition programs remains valid today and supports the Debtors' agreement with GM to offer Delphi's IUE-CWA-represented employees attrition incentives similar to those already offered to the UAW. (Sheehan Dec. ¶ 7.)

60. As negotiations with the IUE-CWA ensued following this Court's approval of the UAW Special Attrition Program, the Debtors' senior labor negotiators kept Delphi's senior management team informed of the progress of those negotiations. (Sheehan Dep. Tr. 195:14-20.) Each incremental feature of the Hourly Attrition Programs were vetted first by Delphi's senior management team, and then presented to the Board for approval. (Sheehan Dep. Tr. 12:21 – 13:9.) By the time that the Debtors moved this Court for authority to implement the UAW Special Attrition Program, GM was not prepared to finance any portion of lump-sum buyouts for Delphi's UAW-represented employees. (Sheehan Dep. Tr. 232:20 – 233:5 (explaining that for this reason, "Delphi didn't go forward with the 70-140 at that time.")) As Delphi's chief labor negotiator testified, however, lump-sum buyouts have been analyzed and discussed by the Debtors' management and Board as early as January or February 2006. (Butler Dep. Tr. 19:4-6.) The Debtors studied GM's buyout experience and modeled the potential impacts conservatively, based on doubling GM's participation rates. (Id. 61:25 – 62:8.) The

¹⁰ The financial analyses relating to the Debtors' business judgment were produced to objecting parties during discovery prior to the April 7, 2006 hearing on the Hourly Attrition Programs Motion No. 1.

record thus reflects that all aspects of the Hourly Attrition Program received due consideration and analysis by the Debtors and Delphi's Board.

61. The UAW Supplement and the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program were discussed in detail during a special Board Meeting on June 2, 2006. (Sheehan Dep. Tr. 17:12-18.) Kevin Butler, Delphi's head of human resources and chief labor negotiator, reviewed the proposals for the Board from a labor perspective, and Robert Dellinger, Delphi's Chief Financial Officer, reviewed the proposals from a cost/benefit perspective. (Sheehan Dep. Tr. 200:3-6.) The Board was supportive and believed that management was making good progress towards transforming the Debtors' workforce. (Id. 204:20-24.) The Board passed the programs – both the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program and UAW Supplemental Program – unanimously. (Id. 208:2-5.)

62. Fundamentally, the Debtors have determined that, to reorganize successfully, they must "transform 100 percent of the non-competitive wage employees to competitive wage status." (Butler Dep. Tr. 11:21 – 12:3.) Delphi's Board recognizes this fact. (Sheehan Dep. Tr. 204: 7-10.) This explains the fundamental purpose of the Motion, which is to provide additional soft landing opportunities, beyond the UAW Special Attrition Program previously authorized by this Court, to help further transform the Debtors' labor force.

63. There is ample justification for Delphi's determination to enter into the Hourly Attrition Programs. Implementation of the Hourly Attrition Programs constitutes a significant step to enable realignment of the Debtors' global product portfolio and manufacturing footprint. Furthermore, hourly attrition programs, while representing only one element in the Debtors' overall effort to address the Debtors' current uncompetitive U.S. cost structure, evidences crucial progress in the Debtors' negotiations of a consensual resolution with three key

constituencies – GM, UAW and the IUE-CWA. By providing a substantial number of Delphi's employees with a "soft landing," implementation of the Hourly Attrition Programs directly addresses the concerns of the Debtors' employees, customers, and other key constituent groups, thereby providing distinct operational benefits. Finally, because GM has agreed to shoulder a significant portion of the obligations arising as a result of the UAW Supplement and IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program Agreement, the Debtors' implementation of the Hourly Attrition Programs will generate significant financial benefits, both by way of enhanced cash flow and decreased operating losses over the five-year period from 2006 through 2010 versus the status quo.

3. WTC's Objections Related To Potential Claims

64. By its Objection, WTC once again alleges that potential obligations undertaken by Delphi Corporation, and claims granted against Delphi Corporation, should be borne by Affiliate Debtors. This is the same objection that WTC made to the Debtors' motion for authority to transfer its battery manufacturing facility in New Brunswick, New Jersey and enter into an attrition plan with the IUE-CWA for its hourly employees at that facility. See Limited Objection Of Wilmington Trust Company, As Indenture Trustee, To Motion For Order Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002 And 6004 Authorizing And Approving Debtors' Entry Into Transfer Agreement With Johnson Controls, Inc. Providing For (A) Sale Of Acquired Assets Free And Clear Of Liens, Claims, And Encumbrances (B) Continuation And Transition Of Supply To Johnson Controls, Inc. Of Battery Products Out Of Fitzgerald Facility And (C) Implementation Of Attrition Plan With Respect To New Brunswick Facility In Accordance With IUE-CWA Memorandum (Docket No. 4098).

65. WTC's Objection is premature and should be denied. At the June 19, 2006 hearing on that motion, when presented with this issue, this Court posed the following question to counsel for the Debtors and WTC: "Have you discussed the issue of reserving rights as to claims over in respect to the, you know, the inter-company claim issue that Wilmington Trust raises?" See June 19, 2006 Tr. at 111:14-17. After a short discussion on the record with counsel for both parties, this Court added: "[I]t just strikes me that if you want to get into which of these debtors ultimately is legally responsible it should be done in a different setting than this." See id. at 114:9-12. Likewise here, WTC's objection is no reason to scuttle the substantial progress made between the Debtors, GM, and their unions in negotiating soft landings for a substantial number of high-wage employees.

66. The Debtors further submit that the language contained in paragraph 10 of the proposed order obviates the need for WTC's Objection. The proposed order is explicit: "[N]othing in the Motion, the UAW Supplement, the IUE-CWA Special Attrition Program, [the] Court's approval of any such agreements, the performance of any obligation thereunder, or any other document shall prejudice the right or remedy of any Debtor against any other Debtor with respect to the allocation of Delphi's obligations under [the attrition plan] or claims asserted against Delphi thereunder, all of which rights are expressly preserved." (emphasis added). Considering this Court's statements regarding the issue that WTC raises in its Objection, and further considering that the proposed order reserves WTC's rights with respect to the subject matter of its Objection, the Debtors submit that WTC has not raised an appropriate ground for this Court to deny the relief the Debtors have requested under 11 U.S.C. § 363(b).

Conclusion

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) overruling the Objections, (b) granting the Motion, and (c) granting the Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
June 28, 2006

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
David E. Springer (DS 9331)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Exhibit A

***Objections To The Hourly Special Attrition Programs Motion No. 2 (the “Motion”)
Organized by Objection¹***

	OBJECTION ASSERTED	OBJECTING PARTY	RESOLUTION, RESPONSE, OR PROPOSAL
1.	The Debtors have not sufficiently shown a reasonable exercise of the Debtors' business judgment or that the benefits of the relief sought in the Motion outweigh the costs.	Docket No. 4292 – Appaloosa Group	The Debtors have met the standard under applicable case law with respect to approval of the Motion under section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors have reasonably determined that the Debtors' estates will benefit from the relief sought in the Motion.
2.	The Debtors should not generate claims or financial obligations against Delphi Corporation absent a showing of benefits to Delphi Corporation, as opposed to its operating subsidiaries.	Docket Nos. 4343 & 4379 – WTC Docket Nos. 4292 & 4381 – Appaloosa Group	The argument regarding the assignment of claims and the legal relationship between Delphi Corporation and its operating entities is not a basis to deny the relief requested in the Motion.
3.	The Debtors have failed to demonstrate that the allowance of certain prepetition claims by GM and certain supposed waivers of defenses against GM is warranted.	Docket No. 4369 – Equity Committee Docket No. 4378 – Creditors' Committee Docket No. 4381 – Appaloosa Group	The Order, as drafted, provides sufficient protection to interested parties and adequate reservation of rights to object to claims in light of the direct, post-petition financial contribution contemplated by GM under the Hourly Special Attrition Programs.
4.	GM OPEB claims are contingent and therefore barred under § 502(e) of the Bankruptcy Code prohibits the allowance of contingent claims for reimbursement for which the Debtors are co-liaible.	Docket No. 4381 – Appaloosa Group	
5.	The allowance of GM's claims is impermissible under § 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.	Docket No. 4378 – Creditors' Committee Docket No. 4381 – Appaloosa Group	The Debtors have met the standard under applicable case law with respect to approval of the Motion under section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code.

¹ This chart reflects all objections entered on the docket as of June 27, 2006.

	OBJECTION ASSERTED	OBJECTING PARTY	RESOLUTION, RESPONSE, OR PROPOSAL
6.	Creditors' Committee receives authority pursuant to §§ 1103 and 1109 of the Bankruptcy Code to prosecute on behalf of the Debtors against GM any and all of the Estates' Claims and Defenses.	Docket No. 4378 – Creditors' Committee	The Order, as drafted, provides sufficient protection to interested parties in light of General Motors' financial contribution to the Hourly Special Attrition Programs.
7.	The proposed Order should be amended to provide that GM's claims are not conclusive, and any party in interest reserves the right to challenge those claims on any grounds, including under section 502(d) of the Bankruptcy Code.	Docket No. 4369 – Equity Committee	The Order, as drafted, provides sufficient protection to interested parties in light of GM's financial contribution to the Hourly Special Attrition Programs.

DOCKET # **OBJECTING PARTY**

4292 Appaloosa Management L.P., Wexford Capital LLC, Lampe Conway & Co.,
4381 LLC, Harbinger Capital Partners LLC, and Marathon Asset Management
 LLC (collectively, the "Appaloosa Group")

4343 Wilmington Trust Company ("WTC")
4379

4369 Official Committee of Equity Security Holders ("Equity Committee")

4378 Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors ("Creditors' Committee")

EXHIBIT K

Exhibit A

***Objections To The Hourly Special Attrition Programs Motion No. 2 (the “Motion”)
Organized by Objection¹***

	OBJECTION ASSERTED	OBJECTING PARTY	RESOLUTION, RESPONSE, OR PROPOSAL
1.	The Debtors have not sufficiently shown a reasonable exercise of the Debtors' business judgment or that the benefits of the relief sought in the Motion outweigh the costs.	Docket No. 4292 – Appaloosa Group	The Debtors have met the standard under applicable case law with respect to approval of the Motion under section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors have reasonably determined that the Debtors' estates will benefit from the relief sought in the Motion.
2.	The Debtors should not generate claims or financial obligations against Delphi Corporation absent a showing of benefits to Delphi Corporation, as opposed to its operating subsidiaries.	Docket Nos. 4343 & 4379 – WTC Docket Nos. 4292 & 4381 – Appaloosa Group	The argument regarding the assignment of claims and the legal relationship between Delphi Corporation and its operating entities is not a basis to deny the relief requested in the Motion.
3.	The Debtors have failed to demonstrate that the allowance of certain prepetition claims by GM and certain supposed waivers of defenses against GM is warranted.	Docket No. 4369 – Equity Committee Docket No. 4378 – Creditors' Committee Docket No. 4381 – Appaloosa Group	The Order, as drafted, provides sufficient protection to interested parties and adequate reservation of rights to object to claims in light of the direct, post-petition financial contribution contemplated by GM under the Hourly Special Attrition Programs.
4.	GM claims are contingent and therefore barred under § 502(e) of the Bankruptcy Code, which prohibits the allowance of contingent claims for reimbursement for which the Debtors are co-liable.	Docket No. 4381 – Appaloosa Group	The Section 502(e) argument fundamentally misunderstands the way the attrition programs would operate, because any allowed GM claim will not be contingent at the time GM makes any buyout payment and any potential OPEB claims are not being "allowed" – to the contrary, the agreements expressly reserve substantial rights to object to such claims.

¹ This chart reflects all objections entered on the docket as of June 27, 2006.

	OBJECTION ASSERTED	OBJECTING PARTY	RESOLUTION, RESPONSE, OR PROPOSAL
5.	The allowance of GM's claims is impermissible under § 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.	Docket No. 4378 – Creditors' Committee Docket No. 4381 – Appaloosa Group	The Debtors have met the standard under applicable case law with respect to approval of the Motion under section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code.
6.	Creditors' Committee receives authority pursuant to §§ 1103 and 1109 of the Bankruptcy Code to prosecute on behalf of the Debtors against GM any and all of the Estates' Claims and Defenses.	Docket No. 4378 – Creditors' Committee	The Order, as drafted, provides sufficient protection to interested parties in light of General Motors' financial contribution to the Hourly Special Attrition Programs.
7.	The proposed Order should be amended to provide that GM's claims are not conclusive, and any party in interest reserves the right to challenge those claims on any grounds, including under section 502(d) of the Bankruptcy Code.	Docket No. 4369 – Equity Committee	The Order, as drafted, provides sufficient protection to interested parties in light of GM's financial contribution to the Hourly Special Attrition Programs.

DOCKET # **OBJECTING PARTY**

4292 Appaloosa Management L.P., Wexford Capital LLC, Lampe Conway & Co.,
4381 LLC, Harbinger Capital Partners LLC, and Marathon Asset Management
 LLC (collectively, the "Appaloosa Group")

4343 Wilmington Trust Company ("WTC")
4379

4369 Official Committee of Equity Security Holders ("Equity Committee")

4378 Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors ("Creditors' Committee")